

Exotic hadrons with heavy quarks in EFT approach

A.V. Nefediev

Josef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia



Hadron 2023

イロト 不同下 イヨト イヨト

- 32

1/28

Long-term fruitful collaboration with colleagues from Germany, China, Spain is gratefully acknowledged!

- M. L. Du, V. Baru, X. K. Dong, E. Epelbaum, A. Filin, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, J. Nieves, Q. Wang, "Role of left-hand cut contributions on pole extractions from lattice data: Case study for T_{cc}(3875)⁺", arXiv:2303.09441
- X. K. Dong, F. K. Guo, A. Nefediev, J. T. Castellà, "Chromopolarizabilities of fully-heavy baryons," Phys. Rev. D 107,034020 (2023)
- V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, A. A. Filin, C. Hanhart, A. V. Nefediev, "Emergence of heavy quark spin symmetry breaking in heavy quarkonium decays," Phys. Rev. D 107, 014027 (2023)
- C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, "Do near-threshold molecular states mix with neighboring QQ states?," Phys. Rev. D 106, 114003 (2022)
- M. L. Du, V. Baru, X. K. Dong, A. Filin, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, J. Nieves, Q. Wang, "Coupled-channel approach to T⁺_{cc} including three-body effects," Phys. Rev. D 105, 014024 (2022)
- V. Baru, X. K. Dong, M. L. Du, A. Filin, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, J. Nieves, Q. Wang, "Effective range expansion for narrow near-threshold resonances," Phys. Lett. B 833, 137290 (2022)
- V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, A. A. Filin, C. Hanhart, A. V. Nefediev, "Is $Z_{cs}(3982)$ a molecular partner of $Z_c(3900)$ and $Z_c(4020)$ states?," Phys. Rev. D 105, 034014 (2022)
- X. K. Dong, V. Baru, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, B. S. Zou, "Is the existence of a J/ψJ/ψ bound state plausible?," Sci. Bull. 66, 2462-2470 (2021)
- V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, A. A. Filin, C. Hanhart, R. V. Mizuk, A. V. Nefediev, S. Ropertz, "Insights into $Z_b(10610)$ and $Z_b(10650)$ from dipion transitions from $\Upsilon(10860)$," Phys. Rev. D **103**, 034016 (2021)
- X. K. Dong, V. Baru, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, A. Nefediev, "Coupled-Channel Interpretation of the LHCb Double-J/ψ Spectrum and Hints of a New State Near the J/ψJ/ψ Threshold," Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 132001 (2021)
- V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, A. A. Filin, C. Hanhart, A. V. Nefediev, Q. Wang, "Spin partners W_{b,J} from the line shapes of the Z_b(10610) and Z_b(10650)," Phys. Rev. D 99, 094013 (2019)
- V. Baru, E. Epelbaum, J. Gegelia, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, A. V. Nefediev, "Remarks on the Heavy-Quark Flavour Symmetry for doubly heavy hadronic molecules," Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 46 (2019)
- Q. Wang, V. Baru, A. A. Filin, C. Hanhart, A. V. Nefediev, J. L. Wynen, "Line shapes of the Z_b(10610) and Z_b(10650) in the elastic and inelastic channels revisited," Phys. Rev. D 98, 074023 (2018)

Hadronic physics before and after 2003

Consensus before 2003:

- Quark model provides a decent description of low-lying hadrons
- Quark model works surprisingly well even for light flavours
- Heavy flavours (c and b) comply with nonrelativistic theory
- Relativistic corrections improve the description
- Experiment gradually fills "missing states"
- Lattice provides additional/alternative source of information

Hadronic physics before and after 2003

Consensus before 2003:

- Quark model provides a decent description of low-lying hadrons
- Quark model works surprisingly well even for light flavours
- Heavy flavours (c and b) comply with nonrelativistic theory
- Relativistic corrections improve the description
- Experiment gradually fills "missing states"
- Lattice provides additional/alternative source of information

Situation after 2003:

- X(3872) observed by Belle with properties at odds with quark model
- Number of such unconventional hadrons with heavy quarks grows fast
- New branch of hadrons spectroscopy exotic XYZ states

"Exotic" versus "ordinary"

- "Ordinary" hadron $= \bar{Q}Q$ meson or QQQ baryon
- "Exotic" hadron = not ordinary hadron
- Simplest exotic hadron = tetraquark $(Q\bar{Q}q\bar{q})$

Compact tetraquarks (bound by confinement)

Hadro-Quarkonium (compact $ar{Q}Q$ core plus light-quark cloud)



 $(Q\bar{Q})$

Hadronic molecule (extended object)

"Exotic" versus "ordinary"

- "Ordinary" hadron $= \bar{Q}Q$ meson or QQQ baryon
- "Exotic" hadron = not ordinary hadron
- Simplest exotic hadron = tetraquark $(Q\bar{Q}q\bar{q})$

Compact tetraquarks (bound by confinement)



Hadro-Quarkonium (compact $ar{Q}Q$ core plus light-quark cloud)



Hadronic molecule (extended object)

Molecule = large probability to observe resonance in hadron-hadron channel

Spectrum of charmonium



≣ •⁄) ৭. ে 4 / 28



Spectrum of bottomonium



୬ <u>୯</u> 4 / 28

Effect of hadronic loops

$$|\Psi
angle = egin{pmatrix} \sqrt{Z}|\psi_0
angle \ \chi(m{p})|H_1H_2
angle \end{pmatrix}$$



5 / 28

Effective field theory for hadronic molecules



Interaction potential between heavy hadrons:

• Includes all relevant interactions

$$\times$$
 + π + \cdots

A D N A D N A D N A D N

- Complies with relevant symmetries
- Incorporates coupled-channel dynamics
- Expanded in powers of p^2/Λ^2 and truncated at necessary order (LO, NLO...)
- Iterated to all orders via (multichannel) Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$T = V - VGT$$

Effective field theory for hadronic molecules

Free parameters:

- Low-energy constants
- Couplings to hadronic channels

Input (combined analysis):

- Line shapes (Dalitz plots)
- Partial branchings

Output:

- Pole position M_0 ("mass" = Re (M_0) , "width" = $2 \times Im(M_0)$)
- Nature of state (compositeness as a cross check)

Predictions:

- New properties of "old" state: line shapes, partial widths,...
- Properties of "new" states: poles, line shapes, partial widths,...
- Chiral extrapolations (lattice data interpretation)

Heavy quark symmetry

- Exotic XYZ states contain heavy quarks (HQ)
- In the limit $m_Q \to \infty$ ($m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$) spin of HQ decouples \implies Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry (HQSS)
- For realistic m_Q 's HQSS is approximate but accurate symmetry of QCD
- HQSS relates properties of states with different HQ spin orientation
 ⇒ Spin partners

Heavy quark symmetry

- Exotic XYZ states contain heavy quarks (HQ)
- In the limit $m_Q \to \infty$ ($m_Q \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$) spin of HQ decouples \implies Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry (HQSS)
- For realistic m_Q 's HQSS is approximate but accurate symmetry of QCD
- HQSS relates properties of states with different HQ spin orientation
 ⇒ Spin partners





Multiple individual analises \implies Single combined analysis

Twins $Z_b(10610)$ & $Z_b(10650)$ I = 1 $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$ Minimal quark content: $\bar{b}b\bar{q}q$

> $\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi Z_b^{(\prime)} \to \pi \left[B\bar{B}^{(*)} \right]$ $\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi Z_b^{(\prime)} \to \pi \left[\pi h_b(1, 2P) \right]$ $\Upsilon(10860) \to \pi Z_b^{(\prime)} \to \pi \left[\pi \Upsilon(1, 2, 3S) \right]$

 Z_b 's ($J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$) and W_{bJ} 's ($J^{PC} = J^{++}$) in decays of $\Upsilon(10860)$



Coupled-channel problem

Elastic potential:

 $V_{\text{el-el}} = V_{\text{CT}}(\text{to order } O(p^0))$

Coupled channels:

$$1^{+-}: B\bar{B}^{*}(^{3}S_{1}, -), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}(^{3}S_{1})$$

$$0^{++}: B\bar{B}(^{1}S_{0}), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}(^{1}S_{0})$$

$$1^{++}: B\bar{B}^{*}(^{3}S_{1}, +)$$

$$2^{++}: B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}(^{5}S_{2})$$

Coupled-channel problem

Elastic potential:

$$V_{\text{el-el}} = V_{\text{CT}}(\text{to order } O(p^2)) + V_{\pi}$$

Coupled channels:

$$1^{+-}: B\bar{B}^{*}({}^{3}S_{1}, -), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{3}S_{1}), B\bar{B}^{*}({}^{3}D_{1}, -), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{3}D_{1})$$

$$0^{++}: B\bar{B}({}^{1}S_{0}), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{1}S_{0}), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{5}D_{0})$$

$$1^{++}: B\bar{B}^{*}({}^{3}S_{1}, +), B\bar{B}^{*}({}^{3}D_{1}, +), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{5}D_{1})$$

$$2^{++}: B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{5}S_{2}), B\bar{B}({}^{1}D_{2}), B\bar{B}^{*}({}^{3}D_{2}),$$

$$B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{1}D_{2}), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{5}D_{2}), B^{*}\bar{B}^{*}({}^{5}G_{2})$$

Lippmann-Schwinger equation ($V^{\text{eff}} = V_{\text{el-el}} + \sum_{\text{inel}} V_{\text{el-inel-el}}$):

$$T_{\alpha\beta}(M,\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}') = V_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}') - \sum_{\gamma} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} V_{\alpha\gamma}^{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}) G_{\gamma}(M,\boldsymbol{q}) T_{\gamma\beta}(M,\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}')$$

12 / 28

Fitted line shapes for Z_b 's



Predicted line shapes for W_{bJ} 's



EFT z_b/W_{bJ} z_{cs} T_{cc}^+ Role of pions



- Blue dashed line prediction of the pionless theory
- Black solid line prediction of the full theory with pions



Expectations

• Twin bottomonium-like Z_b states $(I=1,\ J^{PC}=1^{+-})$ as $B^{(*)}\bar{B}^*$ molecules

 $\begin{aligned} Z_b(10610) &\sim & B\bar{B}^* \sim 0^-_{\bar{q}b} \otimes 1^-_{\bar{b}q} \sim 1^-_{\bar{b}b} \otimes 0^-_{\bar{q}q} + 0^-_{\bar{b}b} \otimes 1^-_{\bar{q}q} \\ Z'_b(10650) &\sim & B^*\bar{B}^* \sim 1^-_{\bar{q}b} \otimes 1^-_{\bar{b}q} \sim 1^-_{\bar{b}b} \otimes 0^-_{\bar{q}q} - 0^-_{\bar{b}b} \otimes 1^-_{\bar{q}q} \end{aligned}$

• Similar pattern in the spectrum of charmonium

$Z_c(3900)$	\sim	$D\bar{D}^*$
$Z'_{c}(4020)$	\sim	$D^*\bar{D}^*$

- Flavour SU(3) for light quarks
 - ⇒ Accurate for couplings & potentials
 - \implies Explicit breaking via $m_s \gg m_{u,d}$
 - \implies Simple relation between potentials in I = 1/2 and I = 1 channels

Expectations

• Twin bottomonium-like Z_b states $(I=1,\ J^{PC}=1^{+-})$ as $B^{(*)}\bar{B}^*$ molecules

 $\begin{aligned} Z_b(10610) &\sim & B\bar{B}^* \sim 0^-_{\bar{q}b} \otimes 1^-_{\bar{b}q} \sim 1^-_{\bar{b}b} \otimes 0^-_{\bar{q}q} + 0^-_{\bar{b}b} \otimes 1^-_{\bar{q}q} \\ Z'_b(10650) &\sim & B^*\bar{B}^* \sim 1^-_{\bar{q}b} \otimes 1^-_{\bar{b}q} \sim 1^-_{\bar{b}b} \otimes 0^-_{\bar{q}q} - 0^-_{\bar{b}b} \otimes 1^-_{\bar{q}q} \end{aligned}$

• Similar pattern in the spectrum of charmonium

$Z_c(3900)$	\sim	$D\bar{D}^*$
$Z'_{c}(4020)$	\sim	$D^*\bar{D}^*$

- Flavour SU(3) for light quarks
 - \implies Accurate for couplings & potentials
 - \implies Explicit breaking via $m_s \gg m_{u,d}$
 - \implies Simple relation between potentials in I = 1/2 and I = 1 channels

Expect: Z_{bs} ($\sqrt{s} \gtrsim 11.2$ GeV) and Z_{cs} ($\sqrt{s} \gtrsim 4.5$ GeV) molecular states exist

Introduction Prerequisites EFT $Z_b/W_{b,J}$ Z_{cs} T_{cc}^+ X(6200)/X(6900) Conclused Conclusion of the temperature of temperature

Z_{cs} @ BES III (Phys.Rev.Lett. 126 (2021) 10, 102001)



18 / 28

Z_{cs} @ **BES III** (Phys.Rev.Lett. 126 (2021) 10, 102001)





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

 Z_{cs}

Fit results and different scenarios



 $Z_{cs}(3982)$

HQSS























Introduction

 $_{b}/W_{bJ}$

 Z_{cs}

X(6200)/

Conclusions





 T_{cc}^+



22 / 28





22 / 28

X(6200)/X(6900)Double- J/ψ spectrum X(6200) vs X(6900)I = 0 $J^{PC} = 0^{++}/2^{++}$ Minimal guark content: cccc

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日



Double- J/ψ production @ LHCb (Sc.Bull. 65 (2020) 1983)







< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Only *S*-wave channels (no $J/\psi h_c$, $\psi(2S)h_c$, $\chi_{c0}\chi_{c1}$)



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

No heavy exchanges (no $\chi_{c0}\chi_{c0}$, $\chi_{c1}\chi_{c1}$)



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Only HQSS-allowed channels (no h_ch_c)



 Introduction

isites

 Z_b/W_{bJ}

 Z_{cs}

 $T_{cc}^+ X(6$

X(6200)/X(6900)

Conclusions

Models, fits & poles



26 / 28

X(6200)/X(6900)

Models, fits & poles



- Poles above double- J/ψ threshold are vaguely fixed by data
- X(6200) near the double- J/ψ threshold is robust
- Molecular model for X(6200) is plausible and compatible with data



X(6200)/X(6900)

Models, fits & poles



- Poles above double- J/ψ threshold are vaguely fixed by data
- X(6200) near the double- J/ψ threshold is robust
- Molecular model for X(6200) is plausible and compatible with data













・ロ ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ・ 1 目 ・ 1 目 ・ 1 日 + 1 日 + 1

Conclusions

- Collider experiments at energies above open-flavour thresholds started new era in hadronic physics
- Threshold phenomena, coupled channels, pion exchange are important
- Multibody unitarity and analyticity of amplitude need to be preserved
- Line shapes of non-Breit-Wigner form is current reality
- From "mass" and "width" to pole position and residues (couplings)
- EFT is model-independent, systematically improvable analysis and prediction tool
- Results of EFT analysis are input for QCD-inspired models
- Lattice simulations are important to fill the gap in experimental data