

Shedding light on X(3930) and X(3960) states with the $B^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi \omega$ reaction

Albert Feijoo

Institut de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centre Mixt U. de València-CSIC

Melahat Bayar

Department of Physics, Kocaeli University Luciano Abreu

Institutos de Física, Universidade Federal de Bahia Miguel Albaladejo, Juan Nieves and Eulogio Oset Institut de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centre Mixt U. de València-CSIC



Observation of a resonant structure close to the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ threshold in $B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^+$ decay



$J^{PC} = 0^{++};$	$M = 3955 \pm 6 \pm 11$	MeV ; $\Gamma = 48 \pm 17 \pm$	$= 10 \ MeV$
--------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------

Component	J^{PC}	M_0 (MeV)	Γ_0 (MeV)	F (%)	$S(\sigma)$
X(3960)	0++	$3956 \pm 5 \pm 10$	$43\pm13\pm8$	$25.4 \pm 7.7 \pm 5.0$	12.6(14.6)
$X_0(4140)$	0^{++}	$4133\pm6\pm6$	$67\pm17\pm7$	$16.7 \pm 4.7 \pm 3.9$	3.8(4.1)
$\psi(4260)$	1	4230 [59]	55 [59]	$3.6\pm0.4\pm3.2$	3.2(3.6)
$\psi(4660)$	1	4633 [31]	64[31]	$2.2\pm0.2\pm0.8$	3.0(3.2)
NR	0^{++}	-	-	$46.1 \pm 13.2 \pm 11.3$	3.1(3.4)

LHCb Collaboration, arXiv:2210.15153

Is there enough evidence to invoke a new $X_0(3960)$ state?

Introduction: facts to think about and plausible hypothesis



- Remarkable closeness to the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ threshold (3937 MeV) \rightarrow signal of a resonance below threshold
- LHCb collaboration observed a signal ($X_0(3930)$) in the D^+D^- measuring $B^+ \rightarrow D^+D^-K^+$ decay $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$; $M'_0 = 3924 \pm 2 \ MeV$; $\Gamma'_0 = 17 \pm 5 \ MeV$ R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 102, 112003 (2020); Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 242001 (2020)
- If the $X_0(3930)$ state coupled also coupled to $D_s^+ D_s^-$, we would see a reflection of it in the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ invariant mass distribution...
- A recent LQCD calculation obtained a bound state below D⁺_sD⁻_s threshold with quantum numbers 0⁺⁺ coupling both to D⁺_sD⁻_s (strongly) and D⁺D⁻ (weakly) channels
 S. Prelovsek, S. Collins, D. Mohler, M. Padmanath, and S. Piemonte, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2021) 035

A dynamical calculation of the D⁺D⁻ and the D⁺_sD⁻_s in coupled channels could make this state appear M. Bayar, A. Feijoo, E. Oset, Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023) 3, 034007 T. Ji, X.-K. Dong, M. Albaladejo, M.-L. Du, F.-K. Guo, J. Nieves, Phys. Rev. D Ser. 106, 094002 (2022)

Formalism: Dynamics of the $\overline{D}D$ and $\overline{D}_s D_s$ in coupled channels





	<i>M</i> [MeV]	Г [MeV]	$ g_{\bar{D}D} $ [MeV]	$ g_{\bar{D}_s D_s} $ [MeV]
 Pole I	3699.04		14509.0	5707.2
Pole II ($X_0(3930)$)	3932.72	12.32	2889.5	10018.0

 $B^- \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^-$ decay processes





 B^- decay via internal emission at the quark level



Tree-level contribution + Primary production of pair D^+D^- and the $D_s^+D_s^-$ followed by rescattering

Invariant mass distributions



$$\frac{d \Gamma}{dM_{\text{inv}}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4M_B^2} p_{K^-} p_{\tilde{D}_i} |\tilde{t}_i|^2$$

HADRON 2023 June 5 - 9, 2023, Genova, Italy.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{t}_{D^+D^-} &= C \left(1 + G_{D\bar{D}}(M_{inv}) T_{D\bar{D},D\bar{D}}(M_{inv}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G_{D_s\bar{D}_s}(M_{inv}) T_{D_s\bar{D}_s,D\bar{D}}(M_{inv}) \right) \\ \tilde{t}_{D_s^+D_s^-} &= C \left(1 + \sqrt{2} G_{D\bar{D}}(M_{inv}) T_{D\bar{D},D_s^+D_s^-}(M_{inv}) \\ &+ G_{D_s\bar{D}_s}(M_{inv}) T_{D_s^+D_s^-,D_s^+D_s^-}(M_{inv}) \right) \end{split}$$

Partial width ratio assuming the same X resonance decaying to different final states:

 $\frac{\Gamma(X \to D^+ D^-)}{\Gamma(X \to D_s^+ D_s^-)} = \frac{\mathcal{B}^{(1)} \mathcal{F}_X^{(1)}}{\mathcal{B}^{(2)} \mathcal{F}_X^{(2)}} = 0.29 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.08$ LHCb Collaboration, arXiv:2210.15153

6

 $B^- \to K^- J/\psi \omega$ decay



The measurement of the $J/\psi\omega$ invariant mass in the $B^+ \to K^+ J/\psi\omega$ decay can provide valuable information about the existence of the X(3960)

- unpublished data available for this reaction yet with poor statistics Andreassi, Master's thesis, Rome U., 11 (2014)
- The $J/\psi\omega$ threshold (3880 MeV) is open well below the region of interest, therefore one can see either one peak or two peaks, refuting or proving the single signal hypothesis

Assuming that only one state, X(3930), is present in that energy region, we study $B^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi\omega$ decay that after comparison with future observations can or cannot rule out the need of new X(3960) L. M. Abreu, M. Albaladejo, A. F., E. Oset and J. Nieves, *Eur.Phys.J.C* 83 (2023) 4, 309

• A triangle loop diagram can connect the $J/\psi\omega$ with the $\overline{D}D$ and the $D_s^+D_s^-$ components (and their inherent dynamics)



 $B^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi \omega$ decay formalism: internal emission mechanism





 $B^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi \omega$ decay formalism: external emission mechanism

 $B^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi \omega$ decay: $J/\psi \omega$ invariant mass and its contribution to the X(3930) width

L. M. Abreu, M. Albaladejo, A. F., E. Oset and J. Nieves, Eur. Phys. J.C 83 (2023) 4, 309

11

D Vniver§itat d València

CSIC

CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a study of the $\overline{D}D$, \overline{D}_sD_s dynamics in coupled channels, two states found, one of them identified with the $X_0(3930)$ coupling mostly to $D_s^+ D_s^-$ and more weakly to $\overline{D}D$.

The D^+D^- , $D^+_s D^-_s$ invariant mass distributions in $B^- \to D^+ D^- K^-$ and $B^- \to D^+_s D^-_s K^-$ decays have been calculated and showed that:

- the $X_0(3930)$ produces and enhancement in the $D_s^+ D_s^-$ invariant mass distribution close to its threshold •
- The shape and the relative integrated strength (compared to the D^+D^- one) is in agreement with the • experimental one

There is no need to invoke a new $X_0(3960)$ state

The study of the $B^- \rightarrow K^- J/\psi \omega$ decay as a tool to see if the $X_0(3930)$ state is the same or not as the $X_0(3960)$. We present results for our assumption (no need of $X_0(3960)$) for the $J/\psi\omega$ invariant mass distribution for different parametrizations finding clear effects produced by the $X_0(3930)$ in all cases.

Future comparison with experimental measurements could confirm or refute our hypothesis.

Thank you for your attention!

