## Pion-nucleon sigma term by the pion deep bound states

Satoru Hirenzaki (Nara Women' s Univ.) Natsumi Ikeno (Tottori Univ., TEXAS,)



Time/Place : 17:30-17:50, 5 June (Mon.) 2023, DAD Room 1A

Meson bound states: Interest and Motivation

## **1. Exotic Many Body Physics:**

Interaction, Structure, Formation

Extension of the research area of nuclear physics

# **2. Meson properties at finite density:** Aspect of QCD symmetries



Meson bound states: Interest and Motivation

**1. Exotic Many Body Physics:** 

Interaction, Structure, Formation

Extension of the research area of nuclear physics

## **2. Meson properties at finite density:** Aspect of QCD symmetries

Chiral symmetry

Spontaneous, Explicit breaking@Vacuum

Partial restoration @Nuclear density



## Structure of the pionic atoms

## > Klein-Gordon equation: $[-\nabla^2 + \mu^2 + 2\mu V_{\text{opt}}(r)]\phi(\mathbf{r}) = [E - V_{\text{coul}}(r)]^2\phi(\mathbf{r})$

#### ➢ Pion-Nucleus Optical Potential : $2\mu V_{\text{opt}}(r) = -4\pi [b(r) + \varepsilon_2 B_0 \rho^2(r)] + 4\pi \nabla \cdot [c(r) + \varepsilon_2^{-1} C_0 \rho^2(r)] L(r) \nabla$ s-wave term p-wave term 10 $b(r) = \varepsilon_1 \{ b_0 \rho(r) + b_1 \ [\rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)] \}$ Vcoul+ReVopt $c(r) = \varepsilon_1^{-1} \{ c_0 \rho(r) + c_1 [\rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)] \}$ **ImV**opt <sup>D</sup>otential [MeV] 0 $L(r) = \{1 + \frac{4}{3}\pi\lambda[c(r) + \varepsilon_2^{-1}C_0\rho^2(r)]\}^{-1}$ M. Ericson, T. E. O Ericson, Ann. Phys.36(66)496 -10 R. Seki, K. Masutani, PRC27(83)2799

-20

Vcoul

10

Radius of <sup>121</sup>Sn

20

r [fm]

<sup>121</sup>Sn

40

30

- $\checkmark$  Strong interaction s-wave terms are repulsive
- $\checkmark$  Pocket structure near the nuclear surface

# Optical pot. by Dyson eq.

Ex.) Kolomeitsev, Kaiser, & W. Weise, Phys.Rev.Lett. 90 ('03)  $\Pi_{tot}(\omega; \rho_p, \rho_n) = \Pi(\omega) + \Delta \Pi_S(\omega; \rho_p, \rho_n) \qquad (ChPT up to NNLO) + (Pheno. 2-body abs.) + \Pi_P(\omega; \rho_p, \rho_n), \qquad + (Pheno. Pwave)$ 







Strong interaction effects are large



## **EXAMPLE** Experimental Results @ RIKEN/RIBF

Spectroscopy of Pionic Atoms in  ${}^{122}Sn(d, {}^{3}He)$  Reaction and Angular Dependence of the Formation Cross Sections

T. Nishi, K. Itahashi et al., (piAF Collaboration) PRL120, 152505 (2018)



1s and 2p states in Sn



First observation of the angular dependence of (d,3He) reaction in experiment

Theory: Qualitative agreement Quantitative No... Further improvement is needed

FIG. 4. (Top panel) Determined pionic-*nl*-state formation cross sections  $I_{nl}(\theta)$  for different  $\theta$  ranges. Statistical errors are shown by the boxes and systematic errors in addition by the bars. The deduced cross sections are compared with the theoretical calculations [19,28]. (Bottom panel)  $I_{2p}(\theta)/I_{1s}(\theta)$ . Systematic errors are canceled by taking the ratios.

## Deeply Bound Pionic Atom data by (d,3He)

\* Multi-states (1s, 2p, , ,) observation for each nuclei

\* Cross section data (Formation spectra)

\* High sensitivity (expected) to the sigma term

( \* Long isotope chain information for Sn )



The value of  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  has not been determined accurately enough:  $\sigma_{\pi N} = 25 \sim 60 \text{ MeV}$ 

=> It seems to be very interesting to determine the  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  value by the deeply bound pionic atoms.

 $\sigma_{\pi N}$  term in the optical potential

$$> Pion-Nucleus optical potential 2\mu V_{opt}(r) = -4\pi [b(r) + \varepsilon_2 B_0 \rho^2(r)] + 4\pi \nabla \cdot [c(r) + \varepsilon_2^{-1} C_0 \rho^2(r)] L(r) \nabla b(r) = \varepsilon_1 \{b_0 \rho(r) + b_1 [\rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)]\} c(r) = \varepsilon_1^{-1} \{c_0 \rho(r) + c_1 [\rho_n(r) - \rho_p(r)]\} L(r) = \{1 + \frac{4}{3}\pi \lambda [c(r) + \varepsilon_2^{-1} C_0 \rho^2(r)]\}^{-1}$$

5

-144

-142

Q [MeV]

-140

-138

$$b_1(\rho) = b_1^{\text{free}} \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\pi N}}{m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \rho \right)^{-1}, \qquad b_0(\rho) = b_0^{\text{free}} - \varepsilon_1 \frac{3}{2\pi} (b_0^{\text{free}2} + 2b_1^2(\rho)) \left( \frac{3\pi^2}{2} \rho \right)^{1/3}$$

The σ<sub>πN</sub> value determined by the existing pionic atom data was reported:
 χ<sup>2</sup> fitting for (all) atomic data (BE, Width)
 σ<sup>FG</sup><sub>πN</sub> = 57 ± 7 MeV, E. Friedman and A. Gal, Phys. Lett. B **792**, 340 (2019).
 E. Friedman and A. Gal, Acta Phys. Polon. B **51**, 45-54 (2020).

We especially focus on the observables of the high-precision deeply bound pionic states

### Sensitivity of the deeply bound pionic atom observables to the pion-nucleon sigma term $\sigma_{\pi N}$



$$b_1(\rho) = b_1^{\text{free}} \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\pi N}}{m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2} \rho \right)^{-1},$$
  
$$b_0(\rho) = b_0^{\text{free}} - \varepsilon_1 \frac{3}{2\pi} (b_0^{\text{free}2} + 2b_1^2(\rho)) \left( \frac{3\pi^2}{2} \rho \right)^{1/3}$$

FIG. 1: Density dependence of the  $b_0(\rho)$  and  $b_1(\rho)$  for the different  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  term.

#### Sensitivity of the deeply bound pionic atom observables to the pion-nucleon sigma term $\sigma_{\pi N}$



FIG. 1: Density dependence of the  $b_0(\rho)$  and  $b_1(\rho)$  for the different  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  term





13 FIG. 2: Binding energies (B.E.) and widths ( $\Gamma$ ) of the pionic 1s and 2p states in <sup>123</sup>Sn as a function of  $\sigma_{\pi N}$ . The results correspond to the calculation with the parameter set (I).

### Sensitivity of the deeply bound pionic atom observables to the pion-nucleon sigma term $\sigma_{\pi N}$

**Table 3.** The calculated average shifts of the observables of the deeply bound pionic states are shown in keV for the 1 MeV change of the  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  value  $\Delta \sigma_{\pi N} = 1$  MeV.  $\Delta (B_{\pi}(1s) - B_{\pi}(2p))$  and  $\Delta (\Gamma_{\pi}(1s) - \Gamma_{\pi}(2p))$  indicate the average shifts of the differences of the binding energies and widths between the 1s and 2p states for the  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  change  $\Delta \sigma_{\pi N} = 1$  MeV, respectively.

## Shifts of the observables for 1 MeV change of sigma term.

[keV]	<sup>123</sup> Sn	<sup>111</sup> Sn
$\frac{ \Delta B_{\pi}(1s) }{ \Delta \Gamma_{\pi}(1s) }$	6.2 5.9	7.5 12.9
$\frac{ \Delta B_{\pi}(2p) }{ \Delta \Gamma_{\pi}(2p) }$	1.7 2.5	1.7 3.6
$\begin{aligned}  \Delta(B_{\pi}(1s) - B_{\pi}(2p))  \\  \Delta(\Gamma_{\pi}(1s) - \Gamma_{\pi}(2p))  \end{aligned}$	4.5 3.4	5.8 9.3

# Interesting Observables \* B(1s)-B(2p)

\* Width (1s)



Fig. 4. The mass number dependence of the calculated shifts of the observables of the deeply bound pionic states is shown for the 1 MeV change of the  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  value  $\Delta \sigma_{\pi N} = 1$  MeV in Sn isotopes.

Sensitivities of Deeply Bound pionic atom observables -- Calculated senseitivity vs. Experimental Errors --

## \* Typical error of data

80 keV for B(1S) 10-15keV BE(1s) – BE(2p) 40 keV for Width (1s) Sensitivities of Deeply Bound pionic atom observables -- Calculated senseitivity vs. Experimental Errors --

## \* Typical error of data

80 keV for B(1S) 10-15keV BE(1s) – BE(2p) 40 keV for Width (1s)

\* Expected uncertainties for sigma term are

$$B(1s) \sim 10 \text{ MeV}$$
  
 $B(1s) - B(2p) \sim 2.5 \text{ MeV}$   
 $Width(1s) (^{111}Sn ) \sim 3 \text{MeV}$ 

## Formation spectra



**Fig. 5.** Formation cross sections of the deeply bound pionic atoms in <sup>123</sup>Sn by the <sup>124</sup>Sn(d, <sup>3</sup>He) reactions are shown at different scattering angles of the emitted <sup>3</sup>He nucleus in the laboratory frame as  $\theta_{dHe}^{Lab} = 0^{\circ}$ , 1°, 2°, respectively. The results are obtained with the density-dependent  $b_0(\rho)$  and  $b_1(\rho)$  parameters with three different  $\sigma_{\pi N}$  values as indicated in the figure. The experimental energy resolution is assumed to be  $\Delta E = 150$  keV. The contributions from the quasi-free pion production are not included in the theoretical spectra.





$$b_0(\rho) = b_0^{\text{free}} - \varepsilon_1 \frac{3}{2\pi} (b_0^{\text{free2}} + 2b_1^2(\rho)) \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{2}\rho\right)^{1/3} \quad b_1(\rho) = b_1^{\text{free}} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\pi N}}{m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2}\rho\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{18}$$

# Summary

## Deeply bound pionic atoms:

- Exotic many-body systems
- Useful system to study the pion properties in nuclei and partial restoration of chiral symmetry
- Precise information is expected for pion-nucleon sigma term
- Progress on the systematic and precise studies (e.g., Sn isotope target at RIKEN/RIBF)
- Theoretical calculations need to be improved for more precise discussions based on experimental data in future.



# Some memos for pi atom

Basic Story (Prediction, Observation, Feedback)

- Observe meson in nucleus (B.E., Width, , , )
- Deduce in-medium meson properties ( b1, , , )
- Relate them to fundamental parameters

(Condensate, , , )

Some points

\* States with <u>well-defined quantum numbers</u>

(something like "selection rule")

- \* Exclusive information (s-wave isovector int., , )
- \* <u>Reliable connection</u> between Theoretical formula and Exp. Result
- \* <u>Model independent</u> theoretical treatment (... for feedback/fitting)

In reality, we need some phenomenological pieces.

### Ref. O.Morimatsu and K. Yazaki, NPA435(1985)727-737

where  $\varepsilon_{\alpha} = E_{\alpha} - E_{i}$  is the nucleon separation energy for the state  $|\alpha\rangle$ , and G is the Green function for the optical potential U, satisfying the equation

$$G = G_0 + G_0 UG \tag{10}$$

with  $G_0$  denoting the free Green function for  $\Sigma$ .

Taking the imaginary part of eq. (10), we obtain the following identity:

$$\operatorname{Im} G = (1 + G^{+}U^{+}) \operatorname{Im} G_{0}(1 + UG) + G^{+} \operatorname{Im} UG.$$
(11)

The first term on the r.h.s. of eq. (11) represents the contribution from the escape of the  $\Sigma$  from the nucleus, while the second term is due to the conversion of the  $\Sigma$ into  $\Lambda$  because the imaginary part of U is due to this conversion effect. Let us define the following quantities:

$$S_{\text{tot}}(E) = -\tilde{f} \operatorname{Im} Gf$$
$$= -\sum_{\alpha} \operatorname{Im} \int d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}' f_{\alpha}^{*}(\mathbf{r}') G(E - \varepsilon_{\alpha}; \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (12)$$

→ 
$$S_{\rm esc}(E) = -\tilde{f}(1 + G^+ U^+) \, {\rm Im} \, G_0(1 + UG) f,$$
 (13)

→ 
$$S_{con}(E) = -\tilde{f}G^+ \operatorname{Im} UGf.$$
 (14)

## Model independent analysis (here low density expressions)

## In-medium pion and partial restoration of chiral symmetry

D. Jido<sup>a,\*</sup>, T. Hatsuda<sup>b</sup>, T. Kunihiro<sup>a,c</sup>

Physics Letters B 670 (2008) 109-113

$$\frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^*}{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle} \simeq \left(\frac{b_1}{b_1^*}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \gamma \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right) \qquad \text{, where} \qquad Z_\pi^{*1/2} \equiv \left(\frac{G_\pi^*}{G_\pi}\right)^{1/2} = 1 - \gamma \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$$

\* Model independent (low density expression)
\* Z<sub>π</sub> : wave function renormalization
\* Equivalent to GOR
\* m<sub>π</sub>\* not necessary (but scattering length)

In-medium GOR

$$\left(F_{\pi}^{t}\right)^{2} m_{\pi}^{*2} = -2m_{q} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^{*}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(\frac{F_{\pi}^{t}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{m_{\pi}^{*}}{m_{\pi}}\right)^{2} = \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^{*}}{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}$$

→ Adopt these theoretical relations at the effective density

## Parameter correlation and Effective density

R. Seki, K. Masutani, Phys. Rev. C27(1983)2799



## Parameter correlation and Effective density

 $S(r) = \rho(r) |R_{nl}(r)|^2 r^2$ 

208Pb

1s

2p

3d

R<sup>ov</sup><sub>peak</sub>

1s

2p

3d

6

r fm

7

8

9 10

T. Yamazaki,

S. Hirenzaki PLB557(03)20

0.0012

0.0010

0.0008

0.0006

0.0004

0.0002

0.0000

0.15

0.10

ρ<sub>e</sub> 0.05

0.00

0.003

0.002

0.001

0.000

0

1

2

з

4 5

R(r) [<sup>2</sup> 1 /fm<sup>3</sup>

 $\rho(\mathbf{r}) \left[ 1 / \mathbf{fm}^3 \right]$ 

 $p(\mathbf{r}) \left| \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 \mathbf{r}^2 \left[ 1 / \mathbf{fm}^4 \right]$ 

 $\pi^{-}$  density

Nuclear density

Overlapping

Peak positions of the overlapping density are almost same for all states.

• The effective nuclear density  $\rho e$  is almost same,  $\rho e \sim 1/2\rho_0$  for all states.

=> consistent with the expectation from the contour plot

