Recent results of baryon electromagnetic form factors at BESIII

Weiping Wang (On behalf of the BESIII Collaboration)

Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz (JGU) Helmholtz-Institute Mainz (HIM) University of Science and Technology of China (USTC)

20th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure Genova, Italy, 5-9 June 2023









Weiping Wang @ HADRON2023, Genova

07.06.2023

Electromagnetic form factors (EMFFs)

Fundamental properties of the nucleon

- Connected to charge, magnetization distribution
- Crucial testing ground for models of the nucleon internal structure



The nucleon electromagnetic vertex Γ_{μ} describing the hadron current:

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(p',p) = \gamma_{\mu}F_{1}(q^{2}) + \frac{i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}}{2m_{p}}F_{2}(q^{2})$$

Sachs FFs: $G_{E}(q^{2}) = F_{1}(q^{2}) + \tau\kappa_{p}F_{2}(q^{2}), \ G_{M}(q^{2}) = F_{1}(q^{2}) + \kappa_{p}F_{2}(q^{2})$

Normalization of FF: $q^2 = 0$: $G_E = F_1(0)$, $G_M = \mu_N$ $q^2 = 4m_N^2$: $G_E = G_M$

Pair production of baryon

EMFFs parameterize the pair production cross section in e^+e^-

$$\frac{d\sigma_{B\overline{B}}(s)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta C}{4s} \left[|G_M(s)|^2 \left(1 + \cos^2 \theta\right) + \frac{4m_B^2}{s} |G_E(s)|^2 \sin^2 \theta \right] \equiv N_0 (1 + \alpha_B \sin^2 \theta)$$

Ratio $R_{em} = |G_E/G_M|$ reflects polar angle distribution of produced baryon! $|G_E|$ and $|G_M|$ can be separately evaluated after determining N_0 and α_B .

After the integration over the polar angle θ

$$\sigma_{B\overline{B}}(s) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2\beta C}{3s} \left[|G_M(s)|^2 + \frac{2m_B^2}{s} |G_E(s)|^2 \right]$$

The so-called effective form factor could be defined in terms of EMFFs:

$$|G_{\rm eff}(s)| = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{\sigma_{B\bar{B}}(s)}{\frac{4\pi\alpha^2\beta C}{3s}\left(1 + \frac{2m_B^2}{s}\right)}}{\frac{1}{s}}} = \sqrt{\frac{|G_M(s)|^2 + \frac{2m_B^2}{s}|G_E(s)|^2}{1 + \frac{2m_B^2}{s}}}$$

Effective FF reflects the magnitude of production cross section of baryon!

Experimental access of Time-like form factors



	Energy Scan	Initial State Radiation
E _{beam}	discrete	fixed
L	low at each beam energy	high at one beam energy
σ	$\frac{d\sigma_{\boldsymbol{p}\overline{\boldsymbol{p}}}}{d(\cos\theta)} = \frac{\alpha^2\beta C}{4q^2} [G_M ^2 (1+\cos^2\theta)$	$rac{d^2 \sigma_{p\overline{p}\gamma}}{dx d heta_{\gamma}} = W(s, x, heta_{\gamma}) \sigma_{p\overline{p}}(q^2)$
	$+ \frac{4m_p^2}{q^2} G_E ^2 \sin^2 \theta$]	$W(s, x, heta_{\gamma}) = rac{lpha}{\pi x} (rac{2-2x+x^2}{\sin^2 heta_{\gamma}} - rac{x^2}{2})$
q^2	single at each beam energy	from threshold to s

Data collected at BESIII



Proton form factors

ISR approach with detected and undetected ISR photon using 7.5 fb⁻¹ integrated luminosity.

SA-ISR(un-tagged): PRD 99, 092002 (2019) LA-ISR(tagged): PLB 817, 136328 (2019)



> From threshold to $q^2 = 4.0 \text{ GeV}^2$, average cross section 840 pb

> Point-like cross section at threshold, $\sigma_{\text{point}} = \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{3m_B^2 \tau} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2\tau} \right] = 845 \text{ pb}$

Proton form factors

Scan technique from 2.00 to 3.08 GeV, using data of 688.5 pb^{-1}

 $[G_E/G_M]$ is determined with high accuracy, comparable with space-like region. $[G_E]$ and $[G_M]$ are separated by analyzing the polar angle distribution.



PRL 124, 042001 (2020)

Oscillation feature confirmed in $|G_{eff}|$



|G_{eff}| data are fitted with the model: the monopole decrease with a damped oscillation : E. Tomasi-Gustafsson et al., PRL. 114, 232301 (2015), PRC 103, 035203 (2021)

$$|G_{\rm eff}|(s) = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\left(1 + \frac{s}{a_0}\right) \left(1 - \frac{s}{0.71 \,\,{\rm GeV}^2}\right)^2} + b_0 e^{-b_1 p(s)} \cos[b_2 p(s) + b_3]$$

Oscillation feature in the cross section line-shape! Contribution from intermediate states?

07.06.2023

Weiping Wang @ HADRON2023, Genova

Oscillation feature in $|G_E/G_M|$



 $|G_E/G_M|$ data can be well described by a function combining the monopole decrease with a damped oscillation

oscillation feature in the polar angle distribution of the outgoing proton!

 $|G_E/G_M|$ data are fitted with the model:

$$|G_E/G_M|(s) = \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2(s)/r_0} \Big[1 + r_1 e^{-r_2 \omega(s)} \sin(r_3 \omega(s)) \Big]$$

E. Tomasi-Gustafsson et al., PRL. 114, 232301 (2015), PRC 103, 035203 (2021)

Neutron form factors

► High luminosity 18 scan data sets from 2.0 and 3.08 GeV, 674.9 pb⁻¹ ► Pure neutral channel $e^+e^- \rightarrow n\bar{n}$, only EMC and/or TOF info. ► Sophisticated background suppression: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, beam-associated



Neutron form factors

- Combine 3 categories to get the best measurement
- ➢ Unprecedented precision achieved, smaller than 8% at 2.396 GeV
- Clearly clarify the "puzzle" that photon-neutron coupling larger than photon-proton coupling which exists over 20 years.



Nature Phys. 17 (2021) 11, 1200-1204

Oscillation feature in $|G_{eff}|$

- ➤ Oscillation around the dipole law: $|G_{eff}^{p,n}(s)| = G_D^{p,n}(s) + G_{osc}^{p,n}(s)$
- Simultaneous fit on proton and neutron data with the sharing frequency but different phase: $G_{\text{osc}}^{p,n}(s) = b_0^{p,n} e^{-b_1^{p,n} p(s)} \cos[b_2 p(s) + b_3^{p,n}]$
- Fitted well but a phase shift around $(125 \pm 12)^{\circ}$ is observed



Oscillation feature in $|G_{eff}|$

Recent SND measurement suggests a different frequency:



Additional experimental and theoretical inputs are desired!

$|G_E|$ and $|G_M|$ of neutron

 $> |G_E|$ and $|G_M|$ are obtained in 5 energy intervals due to the limited statistics, $|G_E/G_M|$ distribution is not fitted due to the large uncertainties

- ▶ BESIII $|G_M|$ values are smaller than that of FENICE by a factor of 2-3
- Data is compared with various models: pQCD, modified dipole, VMD and dispersion relations (DR), where the DR model gives good consistency



Hyperon form factors



Hyperon form factors

BESIII also measured the $|G_E/G_M|$ for the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ process which is the first access of the form factors of Λ_c^+



 $|G_E/G_M|$ is consistent with 1 near the production threshold

Results are limited in a narrow c.m. energy region near threshold

07.06.2023

Weiping Wang @ HADRON2023, Genova

Phase between G_E and G_M of hyperon

Complex form of TL EMFFs:

 $\succ G_E = |G_E| e^{i\Phi_E}, G_M = |G_M| e^{i\Phi_M}$

≻ Relative phase: $\Delta \Phi = \Phi_E - \Phi_M$

 \Box A non-zero relative phase results in the **polarization** effect of the hyperons: $P_y \propto \sin \Delta \Phi$

The **angular** distribution of daughter baryon produced in the hyperon weak decay is: y_1



Hyperon decays to B+P, where the polarization of hyperon can be measured, so does the relative phase between G_E and G_M !

Phase between G_E and G_M of hyperon



 $|G_E/G_M| = 0.96 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$ Relative phase angle is measured to be $\Delta \Phi = 37^{\circ} \pm 12^{\circ} \pm 6^{\circ} \text{ at } \sqrt{s} = 2.396 \text{ GeV}$

 Λ_c^+ transverse polarization is $\sin \Delta \phi$ = -0.28±0.13±0.03 with a statistical significance of 2.1 σ at \sqrt{s} = 4.6 GeV

First complete determination of baryon time-like EMFFs

Summary

- > Fruitful physics results of baryon EMFFs at BESIII: $p\bar{p}$, $n\bar{n}$, $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$, $\Lambda_c^+\bar{\Lambda}_c^-$
- > Oscillation feature confirmed in $|G_{eff}|$ of p and n
- Solution feature discerned in $|G_E/G_M|$ distribution of proton, but not in neutron or hyperon due to limited data The origin of these features is still unknown!
- > Relative phase angle of hyperons EMFFs measured for Λ , Λ_c^+ Confirmed that time-like EMFFs of baryons are complex!
- ➢ More results from BESIII are on the way

Thank you!