



Exclusive $\pi^+\pi^-$ photoproduction with polarized target and beam at CLAS

Alessandra Filippi
INFN Torino, Italy


On behalf of the CLAS Collaboration



HADRON2023, Genova, Italy - June 5, 2023

HADRON
2023

The light baryon (N^* , Δ) spectrum in the Constituent Quark Model





- ▶ Quarks confined into colorless hadrons




- ▶ Description by first principle QCD and constituent Quark Models:
 - Blue lines: expected states
 - ▶ Yellow/orange boxes: observations

The light baryon spectrum: experimental status




- ▶ Lowest lying N^* and Δ^* resonances
 - ▶ 1.3-2 GeV mass range: **second resonant region**
 - ▶ Overlapping states in the same mass region
 - ▶ Broad widths (short lifetimes)
 - ▶ Shared decay modes
- ▶ Most of the available information from pion/kaon beams experiments
 - ▶ Missing states: too small couplings with mesons
- ▶ How to disentangle each signal and spot missing resonances?
 - ▶ Difficult task if based only on the measurement of cross-sections
 - ▶ **Use new approaches: analysis of polarization observables (additional information: spin)**
 - ▶ Perform precision measurements in as many reactions as possible

N^*/Δ^* in photoproduction reactions



Photonuclear cross sections



- ▶ Photon induced reaction could favor the formation of missing resonances which might couple strongly to the γN vertex
- ▶ γ reactions not studied extensively in the past - lack of good enough (energy/intensity) photon beams
- ▶ Dominant contributions to the “second resonant region”: double-pion and η channels
 - ▶ Double-pion photoproduction: good tool to investigate this mass region



Photoproduction of $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs from protons with circularly polarized beam

S. Strauch et al. (CLAS) PLR95 (2005), 162003

- ▶ CLAS data: $1.35 < W < 2.30$ GeV
 - ▶ Missing resonances predicted to lie in the region $W > 1.8$ GeV
- ▶ Circularly polarized photon beam, no polarization specified for target and recoil proton
- ▶ First measurement of beam-helicity asymmetry distributions as a function of the helicity angle:

$$I^{\odot} = \frac{1}{P_{\gamma}} \frac{\sigma^{+} - \sigma^{-}}{\sigma^{+} + \sigma^{-}}$$

- ▶ Odd trend in all W sub-ranges
- ▶ Compared with models based on electroproduction of double-charged pions including a set of quasi-two body intermediate states (Moiseev et al.):
 - $\pi\Delta, \rho N, \pi N(1520), \pi N(1680)$ + contributions from $\Delta(1600), N(1700), N(1710), N(1720)$
 - The agreement is not satisfactory, calls for a more detailed description
 - The I^{\odot} observable is critically sensitive to interferences




Experimental method – polarized beam and target


- CLAS-g14 data taking (2011-2012): *circularly polarized* photon beam with momentum up to 2.5 GeV/c interacting on a *cryogenic HD longitudinally polarized* target

- Beam:** circularly polarized photons by bremsstrahlung from a longitudinally polarized electron beam (>85%) through a gold foil radiator
 - Circular: \uparrow/\downarrow (960 Hz flip frequency)
 - Energy dependent γ polarization



- Target:** “brute-force + aging” polarization method (< 30%)
 - Longitudinal (along beam direction): \Rightarrow/\Leftarrow
 - Fixed in different data-sets
 - Protons/neutrons




$$x = \frac{E_\gamma}{E_{beam}}$$



$$\delta_\odot = P_{el} \frac{4x - x^2}{4 - 4x + 3x^2}$$



Study of polarization observables in the $\vec{\gamma}\vec{N} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-N$ reaction



- ▶ Differential cross-section expressed as a function of polarization observables, weighted by the extent of beam δ_{\odot} and/or target Λ polarization
- ▶ The trend of the polarization observables depends on the resonance content in a given energy range
- ▶ Polarization observables are bilinear combinations of partial amplitudes (Roberts, Oed PRC71 (2005), 0552001): very sensitive to interference effects

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_i} = \sigma_0 \{ (1 + \Lambda_z \cdot \mathbf{P}_z) + \delta_{\odot} (\mathbf{I}^{\odot} + \Lambda_z \cdot \mathbf{P}_z^{\odot}) \}$$

Polarization observables extraction

Problem: extract from the number of collected events the I^\odot, P, P^\odot observables as a function of the Φ azimuthal angle in the helicity reference system, in W energy ranges

$$P_z = \frac{1}{\Lambda_z} \frac{[N(\rightarrow\Rightarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Rightarrow)] - [N(\rightarrow\Leftarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Leftarrow)]}{[N(\rightarrow\Rightarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Rightarrow)] + [N(\rightarrow\Leftarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Leftarrow)]}$$

$$I^\odot = \frac{1}{\delta_\odot} \frac{[N(\rightarrow\Rightarrow) + N(\rightarrow\Leftarrow)] - [N(\leftarrow\Rightarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Leftarrow)]}{[N(\rightarrow\Rightarrow) + N(\rightarrow\Leftarrow)] + [N(\leftarrow\Rightarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Leftarrow)]}$$

$$P_z^\odot = \frac{1}{\Lambda_z \delta_\odot} \frac{[N(\rightarrow\Rightarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Leftarrow)] - [N(\rightarrow\Leftarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Rightarrow)]}{[N(\rightarrow\Rightarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Leftarrow)] + [N(\rightarrow\Leftarrow) + N(\leftarrow\Rightarrow)]}$$

- Related to differential cross-section asymmetries
- Depending on the relative beam/target spin configurations
- Two data sets with opposite target (\Rightarrow/\Leftarrow) polarizations needed (with proper normalization)

Polarization asymmetries in ϕ_{hel} bins

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_i} = \sigma_0 \{ (1 + \Lambda_z \cdot \mathbf{P}_z) + \delta_{\odot} (\mathbf{I}^{\odot} + \Lambda_z \cdot \mathbf{P}_z^{\odot}) \}$$

- ▶ This equation (Roberts et al., PRC 718(2005), 055201) can be split in four depending on the orientation of beam helicity and target polarization (along z)
- ▶ Two data sets with opposite target polarization need to be used (but properly normalized)
- ▶ The system of equations can be solved analytically extracting, in every bin, I^{\odot} , P_z , P_z^{\odot} and σ_0

$$N_{exp}^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_0 \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} [1 + \Lambda_z P_z + \delta_{\odot} (I_{\odot} + \Lambda_z P_z^{\odot})]$$

$$N_{exp}^{\leftarrow\rightarrow} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_0 \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} [1 + \Lambda_z P_z - \delta_{\odot} (I_{\odot} + \Lambda_z P_z^{\odot})]$$

$$N_{exp}^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_0 \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} [1 - \Lambda_z P_z + \delta_{\odot} (I_{\odot} - \Lambda_z P_z^{\odot})]$$

$$N_{exp}^{\leftarrow\leftarrow} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_0 \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} [1 - \Lambda_z P_z - \delta_{\odot} (I_{\odot} - \Lambda_z P_z^{\odot})]$$




$$I_{\odot} = \frac{\frac{N_1^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} - N_1^{\leftarrow\rightarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 1}} + \frac{\Lambda_{z1}}{\Lambda_{z2}} \cdot \frac{L_{eff1}}{L_{eff2}} \cdot \frac{N_2^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} - N_2^{\leftarrow\leftarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 2}}}{(N_1^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} + N_1^{\leftarrow\rightarrow}) + \frac{\Lambda_{z1}}{\Lambda_{z2}} \cdot \frac{L_{eff1}}{L_{eff2}} (N_2^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} + N_2^{\leftarrow\leftarrow})}$$

$$P_z^{\odot} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{z2}} \cdot \frac{\frac{N_1^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} - N_1^{\leftarrow\rightarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 1}} - \frac{L_{eff1}}{L_{eff2}} \cdot \frac{N_2^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} - N_2^{\leftarrow\leftarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 2}}}{(N_1^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} + N_1^{\leftarrow\rightarrow}) + \frac{\Lambda_{z1}}{\Lambda_{z2}} \cdot \frac{L_{eff1}}{L_{eff2}} (N_2^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} + N_2^{\leftarrow\leftarrow})}$$

$$P_z = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{z2}} \cdot \frac{(N_1^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} + N_1^{\leftarrow\rightarrow}) - \frac{L_{eff1}}{L_{eff2}} \cdot (N_2^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} + N_2^{\leftarrow\leftarrow})}{(N_1^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} + N_1^{\leftarrow\rightarrow}) + \frac{\Lambda_{z1}}{\Lambda_{z2}} \cdot \frac{L_{eff1}}{L_{eff2}} (N_2^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} + N_2^{\leftarrow\leftarrow})}$$


Data selection – exclusive $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$ reaction

Description	Cut
Particle multiplicity	1 negative, 2 positives
Time coincidence	Time coincidence between: 1 proton, 1 π^+ , 1 π^-
2π z-vertex in HD target	$-9.5 < z_{\text{vertex}} < -5.8$ cm
2π pId: β_{corr}	$p_{\pi^\pm} / \sqrt{p_{\pi^\pm}^2 + (m_\pi - 80 \text{ [MeV]})^2} \leq \beta_{\pi^\pm}^{\text{corr}} \leq p_{\pi^\pm} / \sqrt{p_{\pi^\pm}^2 + (m_\pi + 80 \text{ [MeV]})^2}$ $p_p / \sqrt{p_p^2 + (m_p - 200 \text{ [MeV]})^2} \leq \beta_p^{\text{corr}} \leq p_p / \sqrt{p_p^2 + (m_p + 200 \text{ [MeV]})^2}$
2π pId: $ \Delta\beta $	$ \Delta(\beta_p) < 0.08$ $p_{\pi^\pm} \leq 500 \text{ [MeV/c]} : \Delta(\beta_{\pi^\pm}) < 0.08$ $p_{\pi^\pm} \geq 500 \text{ [MeV/c]} : \Delta(\beta_{\pi^\pm}) < 0.2$
2π fiducial cuts	π^+ & π^- & p within fiducial volume
Missing mass for proton pId	$0.824 \leq \text{m.m.}(\pi^+\pi^-) \leq 1.052 \text{ [GeV/c}^2\text{]}$
Total missing mass	$\text{m.m.}(\pi^+\pi^-p) < 0 \text{ [GeV/c}^2\text{]}$
Fermi momentum	$p_F < 100 \text{ MeV/c}$
Coplanarity	$ \text{coplanarity} < 10^\circ$




Particle ID for $\pi^+\pi^-$ and p based on TOF


Further selection on $(\pi^+\pi^-)$ missing mass to identify the proton



Total missing mass cut



Missing momentum cut: reject reactions without spectator at rest




Coplanarity cut for pion pairs

Experimental angular distributions


- ▶ Inputs: azimuthal angular distributions (ϕ_{hel})
- ▶ Bin by bin: number of events selected with
 - ▶ Given helicity (positive/negative in the same data set)
 - ▶ Given target polarization (in different data sets)
 - ▶ Selection in W energy ranges (~ 100 MeV wide window)
 - ▶ Counts to be properly normalized between different data sets

- ▶ Slight differences when selecting different combinations of helicities/target polarization: origin of the investigated asymmetries


Set w/ positive target polarization



Set w/ negative target polarization



preliminary




Evaluation of experimental beam-helicity asymmetries E^*

- ▶ E^* can be extracted from all available data samples (with similar experimental conditions)
- ▶ For each data set:

$$E^* = \frac{1}{\delta_{\odot}} \frac{N^+ - N^-}{N^+ + N^-}$$

- ▶ The E^* values agree with previous measurements with polarized beam only (blue points)
- ▶ Systematic errors (grey bars) from the spread of values obtained with different data sets


Blue points from S. Strauch et al., CLAS Coll., PRL⁹⁵ (2005), 162003



Preliminary results - I^{\odot} on proton


- ▶ According to general symmetry principles I^{\odot} is expected to be an *odd* function of the helicity angle
 - ▶ It depends only on the ratio of target polarizations
- ▶ The trend is in reasonable agreement with the earlier observations by CLAS based on a different data-set (E^* with unpolarized target)

Blue points from S. Strauch et al., CLAS Coll., PRL **95** (2005), 162003



Preliminary results – P_z on proton


- ▶ No other results available for comparisons: first results ever
- ▶ P_z expected to be odd based on partial amplitudes symmetry
 - ▶ Vanishing at zero angle: coplanarity condition
 - ▶ When the helicity angle is oriented in the bottom hemisphere a sign flip occurs in Roberts' equations and, consequently, in the parity of the solutions
- ▶ Improvingly symmetric odd trend with W increase
 - ▶ The lack of left/right symmetry could be due to instrumental reasons (different acceptance, ...)




Preliminary results – P_z^\odot on proton

- ▶ No other results available for comparisons: first results ever
- ▶ P_z^\odot expected to be even based on partial amplitudes symmetry
- ▶ P_z^\odot is compatible with zero (within errors)
 - ▶ Large statistical uncertainties obtained from the error propagation of the system solutions – small extent overall of target polarization (23% max.)


P_z^\odot vs $\phi_{hel}(\pi^+)$, $W = (1.65 \pm 0.09)$ GeV




P_z^\odot vs $\phi_{hel}(\pi^+)$, $W = (1.70 \pm 0.05)$ GeV




P_z^\odot vs $\phi_{hel}(\pi^+)$, $W = (1.75 \pm 0.05)$ GeV




P_z^\odot vs $\phi_{hel}(\pi^+)$, $W = (1.80 \pm 0.05)$ GeV



P_z^\odot vs $\phi_{hel}(\pi^+)$, $W = (1.85 \pm 0.05)$ GeV



P_z^\odot vs $\phi_{hel}(\pi^+)$, $W = (1.90 \pm 0.05)$ GeV



Summary and outlook

- ▶ Double-pion photoproduction with polarized beam and/or target as a novel tool to extract information about the baryonic spectrum
 - ▶ γp channel
 - Analysis completed on the richest data sets, extraction of results for other available compatible data sets pairs underway
 - Final evaluation of systematics in progress (take care of correlations among the sets)
 - ▶ Outlook: γn channel – in progress
 - Same data analysis chain used for γp to be applied to the $\pi^+\pi^-n(p)$ final state
 - Use the same W binning and overall analysis approach
 - Stay tuned: some novel results upcoming!
- ▶ The interpretation of results in terms of partial amplitudes contributions calls for new models updating the interference patterns and reproducing the new observables
 - ▶ So far, none of the available reaction models agrees satisfactorily with the extracted asymmetries