



BINGO: a new proposal for background reduction in $0\nu\beta\beta$ bolometric experiments

Hawraa Khalife for the BINGO collaboration

XX International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes

23-27 Oct 2023 - Venice

What are we searching for?

Neutrinoless double-beta decay: $(A, Z) \rightarrow (A, Z+2) + 2e^{-}$

- Hypothetical nuclear transition
- Not allowed in SM
- Current half-lives limits 10²⁴-10²⁶ yr

Matteo Agostini talk



Its observation will:

- Ascertain the Majorana nature of neutrino ($\nu=\overline{\nu})$
- Confirm lepton number violation
- Measure $T^{0
 u}_{1/2}$ that will lead to m_{etaeta} measurement



What are we searching for?

Neutrinoless double-beta decay: $(A, Z) \rightarrow (A, Z+2) + 2e^{-}$

- Hypothetical nuclear transition
- Not allowed in SM
- Current half-lives limits 10²⁴-10²⁶ yr

Matteo Agostini talk



Its observation will:

- Ascertain the Majorana nature of neutrino ($\nu=\overline{\nu})$
- Confirm lepton number violation
- Measure $T^{0
 u}_{1/2}$ that will lead to m_{etaeta} measurement





Hawraa Khalife - XX Int. Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes

How to search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay?



Chosen bolometric compounds

- Li_2MoO_4 (CUPID-Mo) and TeO₂ (CUORE)
 - ¹⁰⁰Mo, $Q_{\beta\beta}$ = 3034 keV
 - ¹³⁰Te, $Q_{\beta\beta} = 2527$ keV
- Excellent energy resolution
- High internal radio-purity
- Easiness in crystallization



Current sensitivity of bolometric experiments





Current sensitivity of bolometric experiments





Current sensitivity of bolometric experiments





The 3 pillars for background reduction









- Reduction of the total surface radioactivity contribution
- Surface contaminations can be rejected with coincidence thanks to the compact assembly and LD shielding



Geant4 simulations: surface contamination reduction

Comparison between CUPID-baseline and BINGO assemblies in terms of surface contamination





New assembly test on Li₂MoO₄

- The assembly was tested in two cryostats: aboveground at IJCLab and Canfranc underground laboratory (LSC) in CROSS facility
- These tests validated the new assembly procedure by showing a good bolometric performance



- The average resolution at 2.6 MeV FWHM is ~ 6.3 keV for heat channels and baseline resolution FWHM is 218 eV for light channels
- No impact of nylon wire on noise or thermal coupling
- Good discrimination between α and β/γ





The BINGO active veto

- An active inner shield will be used to surround the Li₂MoO₄ and TeO₂ towers
 - Suppress the external γ background and reject surface radioactivity from the crystals that face the active shield through anti-coincidence
- The shield will be composed of BGO scintillator
- Each bar (in fact two bars on top of each other) will be read by two light detectors (with NTL effect for signal amplification)
- A reflecting material on the lateral side of the veto to increase light collection in LDs
- On the internal side of veto, facing the crystals, a material will be added that should not be an α stopper and that should prevent scintillation light from BGO to reach Li₂MoO₄ and TeO₂ LDs (Al, Au, ...?)







Active veto role

The crystals on the periphery will be exposed directly to the veto



If a 2615 keV γ deposits a small amount of energy in the surrounding material (~80 keV) and the rest in TeO₂ → background in ROI Thanks to the active veto and the LDs, these events can be rejected: The energy deposition in the active veto will lead to scintillation light detected by the LD

• Using anti-coincidence these events can be rejected from TeO₂

2

 Some surface contamination on the crystal can be dangerous if part of the energy escapes. This can also be rejected by anticoincidence with the veto



Neganov-Trofimov-Luke light detector





BUNGO

Hawraa Khalife - XX Int. Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes

50 keV and 400 MeV

Neganov-Trofimov-Luke light detector role

NTL light detector is essential for the veto, Li_2MoO_4 and TeO_2 :

Veto:

High signal to noise ratio in order to achieve a low enough energy threshold in LD

- 50 keV energy threshold in BGO is required
 - It corresponds to the dangerous small energy deposition of the 2615 keV line in BGO
- 50 keV in the BGO scintillator corresponds to a few keV in LD
 - Few keV in LD is achieved by taking into account the expected gain from NL effect (10-20)

Li₂MoO₄:

- Relatively fast decay rate of ¹⁰⁰Mo ($T_{1/2}^{2V} = 8.1 \times 10^{18} yr$) + the slow pulses in heat channel \rightarrow Random coincidences of $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay in ROI
 - Rely on NTL light channel (which is faster) to reject pileups with PSD

TeO₂:

• Amplification of the tiny Cherenkov signal (TeO₂) \rightarrow suppress alphas

BUNGO

Active veto first cryogenic prototype test



- 2 BGO crystals (~1.6kg each)
- 2 normal LDs facing each BGO
- TeO₂ crystal facing both BGOs
- The test was performed above-ground in a pulse-tube cryostat at IJCLab (Orsay)

Uranium α source deposited on
 TeO₂ to produce surface
 contamination (at 4.2 and 4.8 MeV)



Hunting the α source with coincidence







Cryogenic veto part surrounding the physics volume

- 16 trapezoidal cross-section + 2 disc scintillators (BGO or ZnWO₄) each coupled to LDs
- The goal is to reach background level below 10⁻³ c/(keV kg y), improving the one achieved by similar scale demonstrators (CUPID-0, CUPID-Mo)

Mini-Bingo will be a technology demonstrator of the background reduction techniques described

- The demonstrator will be tested in Modane underground laboratory (France)
- The cryostat will be installed in spring 2024

Double beta decay part

- 12 cubic Li₂MoO₄ scintillating crystals (45×45×45 mm), each is coupled to a light detector (45×45 mm)
- 12 cubic TeO₂ crystals (50×50×50 mm), each is coupled to a light detector (50×50 mm)



Conclusions

- BINGO is a promising project towards the meV scale of the effective Majorana mass
- BINGO proposed technologies are a possible candidate for CUPID-1T
- BINGO introduces innovative technology for background rejection that allows to reach b~10⁻⁵ counts/(keV kg yr)
- Simulations show us that BINGO assembly design leads to at least one order of magnitude less background index from close components compared to present CUPID structure
- The nylon wire assembly is almost validated
- More R&D is needed to develop the suitable Neganov-Luke LD that fulfills BINGO goals
- Some simulations and further cryogenic measurements are ongoing on the active veto



Backups



Sources of background





Efficiency study at the expected threshold

- 1000 fake pulses at different energies were injected into the data to estimated the efficiency after data processing
- The required energy threshold for the veto scintillator should be around 50 keV, which corresponds to around 0.3-0.4 keV in LD when taking into account the light yield (LY) which is about 7 keV/MeV
 - With a NL gain of 10, the energy threshold would become 3-4 keV.



²⁰⁷Bi contamination

- With 328 mBq/kg, $M_{VETO} = 115$ kg and assuming a 5 ms coincidence time window, dead time is $\sim 17\%$
- Desirable to reach < 100 mBq/kg \rightarrow deadtime is $\sim 6\%$

| Supplier6 | Туре | Purity [%] | Mass [g] | Activity [mBq/kg] | Activity [mBq/kg BGO] | 803 keV |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| SICCAS (CN) | BGO | | 301 | 173 ± 16 | 173 ± 16 | Ν |
| (RU) | BGO | | 301 | 68 ± 11 | 68 ± 11 | Ν |
| Alfa Aesar (DE)* | Bi ₂ O ₃ | 99.999 | 212 | < 21 (95% CL) | < 16 (95% CL) | Ν |
| Santech (CN) | Bi ₂ O ₃ | 99.990 | 206 | 23 ± 6 | 17 ± 5 | Y |
| Zhuzhou (CN) | Bi | 99.999 | 460 | 37 ± 5 | 25 ± 3 | Ν |

*@ LSM for screening



TeO₂ energy spectrum









Hawraa Khalife - XX Int. Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes

25

Bolometric compounds choices

Li₂MoO₄

- Embeds ¹⁰⁰Mo with a $Q_{\beta\beta}$ at 3034 keV
- This crystal was validated by the CUPID-Mo demonstrator
 - Excellent energy resolution
 - High internal radio-purity
 - Easiness in crystallization
- High rate of $2\nu 2\beta \rightarrow$ background in the region of interest (ROI) due to $2\nu 2\beta$ random coincidences

TeO₂

- Embeds ^{130}Te with a $\text{Q}_{\beta\beta}$ at 2527 keV
- This crystal was validated by the CUORE experiment
 - Excellent energy resolution
 - High internal radio-purity
 - Easiness in crystallization
- $Q_{\beta\beta}$ below the end line (at 2615 keV line of $^{208}\text{Tl})$ of natural gamma radioactivity
- Very poor scintillator \rightarrow no alpha background rejection



Hunting the α source with coincidence



- Events in TeO₂ are rejected if an event is found in a time window of 5ms in the light detectors.
- Accidental coincidences distribution is determined with the regions in red dashed lines since it should be the same under the peak

Hunting the α source with coincidence

Coincidences between TeO₂ and LD (meaning BGO)



- The marked events are alphas (with shared energy in TeO₂) in LD
- At 0 keV in TeO₂ we have a full alpha absorption in BGO (LD)
- At higher energies in TeO₂ the alpha energy is shared between TeO₂ and the LD
- The extrapolation of this population to higher energies in the TeO₂ leads to full alpha absorption in TeO₂



| • | (CP) | • • | | · · · | • | 0 |
|--|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Crystal | Growth | λ_{\max} | $L/H_{\gamma(\beta)}$ | | QF _α | Section |
| | | (nm) | (keV/MeV) | (ph/MeV) | | |
| CaWO ₄ | Cz | 420 (8 K) [261] | 6.0-24 | 2000-8100 | 0.10-0.12 | Section 3.1.1 |
| | | | $(45-52^{a})$ | (15,400-17,500) | | ibid. |
| CdWO ₄ ^b | Cz, LTG Cz | 420 (8 K) [261] | 14-31 | 5400-12,000 | 0.18-0.19 | Section 3.1.2 |
| Li ₂ WO ₄ (Mo) | Cz, LTG Cz | 530 (8 K) [352] | 0.40 | 170 | 0.26 ^c | Section 3.1.3 |
| Na ₂ W ₂ O ₇ | LTG Cz | 540 (77 K) [353] | 12 | 5200 | 0.20 | Section 3.1.4 |
| PbWO ₄ | Cz | 420 (4.2 K) [354] | 1.8 | 600 | 0.20 | Section 3.1.5 |
| ZnWO ₄ | Cz, LTG Cz | 490 (9 K) [261] | 13–19 | 5100-9500 | 0.15-0.23 | Section 3.1.6 |
| CaMoO ₄ b | Cz | 540 (8 K) [261] | 1.9-4.8 | 800-2100 | 0.13-0.22 | Section 3.2.1 |
| CdMoO ₄ | BS | 550 (5 K) [355] | 2.6 | 1200 | 0.16 | Section 3.2.2 |
| Li ₂ MoO ₄ ^b | Cz, LTG Cz, BS | 590 (8 K) [311] | 0.55 - 1.0 | 300-500 | 0.17-0.23 | Section 3.2.3 |
| | | | $(1.2-1.4^{d})$ | (600-700) | | ibid. |
| Li2Mg2(MoO4)3 | LTG Cz | 585 (8 K) [356] | 1.3 | 610 | 0.22 | Section 3.2.4 |
| Li2Zn2(MoO4)3 | LTG Cz | 630 (10 K) [357] | n/a | n/a | n/a | Section 3.2.5 |
| $MgMoO_4$ | Cz | 520 (9 K) [358] | n/a | n/a | n/a | Section 3.2.6 |
| Na2Mo2O2 | Cz, LTG Cz | 650 (4.2 K) [359] | 0.58-1.6 | 300-840 | 0.16-0.40 | Section 3.2.7 |
| PbMoO ₄ | Cz, LTG Cz | 520 (10 K) [360] | 5.2-12 | 2200-5000 | 0.18-0.23 | Section 3.2.8 |
| SrMoO ₄ | Cz | 520 (11 K) [361] | ~1-3 | 400-1300 | ~ 0.26 | Section 3.2.9 |
| ZnMoO ₄ ^b | Cz, LTG Cz | 520 (1.4 K) [362] | 1.0-1.5 | 400-600 | 0.13-0.19 | Section 3.2.10 |
| | | | $(1.8-2.1^{d})$ | (800-900) | | ibid. |
| Li ₆ Eu(BO ₃) ₃ | Cz | 613 (4.2 K) [363] | 6.6 | 3200 | 0.08 | Section 3.3.1 |
| Li ₆ Gd(BO ₃) ₃ ^b | Cz | 312 (90 K) [364] | 0.26 | 65 | 0.23 | Section 3.3.2 |
| Al ₂ O ₃ (Ti), pure | Ve, Ky, Cz | 420 (9 K) [365] | 2.5-14 | 850-4700 | 0.09-0.36 | Section 3.4.1 |
| Bi ₄ Ge ₃ O ₁₂ | Cz, LTG Cz, BS | 480 (9 K) [261] | 7.0–28 | 2700-11,000 | 0.17-0.18 | Section 3.4.2 |

Physics **2021**, *3*, 473–535.



Geant4 simulations: surface contamination reduction

Comparison between CUPID-baseline and BINGO assemblies in terms of surface contamination

For 100 Mo ROI:

U-Chain dominated by 214Bi beta contribution (Q_b = 3.27 MeV, avg. 1.5 MeV in gamma's) —> very efficient reduction > x100 to 1.4 E-7 ckky **Th-chain** contribution, dominated by 208Tl beta-decay (Q_b = 5 MeV, avg. 3.4 MeV in gamma's) reduced by ~ x5 to x10 to 2.0 E-6 ckky









