Probing pseudo-Dirac neutrinos using supernova neutrinos

- Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg
 - XX International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes October 25, 2023







Manibrata Sen

- Neutrinos interact "weakly" with the rest, as well as with themselves.
- There are 3 active light neutrinos.
- Neutrinos are massive and can change flavor.



Neutrino oscillations



Lepton Number in the Standard Model

- Lepton number is a conserved symmetry in the SM classically. Violated by chiral anomalies.
- New physics leads to lepton-number violation. Might be related to origin of neutrino masses.
- Consider 1 active and 1 sterile neutrino. The Lagrangian,

$$\mathscr{L} = \overline{\nu}_L m_D \nu_R + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\nu}_L^C m_L \nu_L + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\nu}_R^C m_R \nu_R + h.c.$$

• The generic mass matrix $\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_L \\ m_D \end{pmatrix}$



 m_R

Pseudo (Quasi)-Dirac neutrinos

Generic Majorana mass matrix $\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_L & m_D \\ m_D & m_R \end{pmatrix}$.

- 1. Dirac limit: $m_{L,R} = 0$. No lepton-number violation.
- 2. Majorana limit : $m_{L,R} \gg m_D$. Explicit lepton-number violation.
- 3. (Quasi) Pseudo-Dirac limit : $m_{L,R} \ll m_D$. Soft lepton-number violation.

Wolfenstein, NPB 1981 Valle, PRD 1983







Pseudo-Dirac neutrinos formalism

• 3 pairs of quasi-degenerate states, separated by δm_k^2 , is much smaller than the usual Δm_{sol}^2 and Δm_{atm}^2 .

$$m^2_{ks,ka} \simeq m^2_k \pm \delta m^2_k/2$$
, where $\delta m^2 \sim m_D$

• In the P-D limit, under certain approximations, mass matrix can be diagonalized by

$$\mathscr{V} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{\text{PMNS}} & 0\\ 0 & U_R \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1_3 & i1_3\\ \varphi & -i\varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

Further generalizations considered with non-maximal mixings.



Anamiati, Fonseca, Hirsch, PRD2018



Oscillations due to small δm^2

- δm_k^2 will lead to oscillations at very large distances, $L \propto 1/\delta m^2$
- Flavor oscillation probability induced by Δm_{sol}^2 and Δm_{atm}^2 over a large distance gets averaged.

$$P(\bar{\nu_{\beta}} \to \bar{\nu_{\gamma}}) = P_{aa}(z, E)$$

Survival probability

$$P_{aa}(z, E) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + e^{-\left(\frac{L(z)}{L_{coh}}\right)^2} \cos\left(2\pi\right) \right)^2 \left(1 + e^{-\left(\frac{L(z)}{L_{coh}}\right)^2} \cos\left(2\pi\right) \right)^2 \left(1 + e^{-\left(\frac{L(z)}{L_{coh}}\right)^2} \cos\left(2\pi\right) \right)^$$





• Survival probability $P_{aa}(z, E) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + e^{-\left(\frac{L(z)}{L_{coh}}\right)^2} \cos\left(2\pi \frac{L(z)}{L_{coc}}\right) \right)$

 Wave-packet separation decoherence also becomes important. Decoherence important if $L(z) > L_{coh}$.



Oscillations due to small δm^2

Bounds from neutrino sources

Experiment	$arepsilon_1^2 \; [\mathrm{eV}^2]$	$\varepsilon_2^2 \; [\mathrm{eV}^2]$	$arepsilon_3^2 \; [{ m eV^2}]$
KamLAND	$7.7(3.4) \times 10^{-6}$	$1.7(1.0) \times 10^{-5}$	_
Solar + KamLAND	$1.7(1.3) \times 10^{-11}$	$1.7(1.5) \times 10^{-11}$	—
DayaBay + MINOS + T2K	_	$1.5(0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	$1.3(0.074) imes 10^{-3}$
Super-K + DayaBay + MINOS + T2K	—	$1.9(1.8) imes 10^{-5}$	$1.2(1.1) imes 10^{-5}$
JUNO	$1.7(0.07) \times 10^{-5}$	$2.3(0.09) \times 10^{-5}$	$6.0(2.2) imes 10^{-5}$

Table 1: 95 % upper limits on ε_i^2 derived from different experimental data sets. Two numbers are given for each case; the first one is the limit obtained marginalizing over two standard oscillation parameters (see text), the second (in brackets) is the limit obtained for the best fit point value of the standard oscillation parameters. For a discussion see text.

Bounds:

- 1. Solar neutrinos $\delta m^2 < 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$
- 2. Atmospheric neutrinos $\delta m^2 < 10^{-4} \, {\rm eV}^2$

3. High energy astrophysical neutrinos $10^{-18} \,\mathrm{eV}^2 < \delta m^2 < 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$



de Gouvea, Huang, Jenkins, PRD2009

1. Core-collapse supernova



Majority of the energy of the SN is emitted in the form of O(10) MeV neutrinos!! Excellent laboratories for neutrino physics!

1. SN1987A



Large Magellanic Cloud 50 kpc away



Slight tension between IMB and KII data?

Martinez-Soler, Perez-Gonzalez, MS, (PRD 2021)



$L_{\rm osc} = \frac{4\pi E_{\nu}}{\delta m^2} \sim 20 \,\rm{kpc} \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{25 \,\rm{MeV}}\right) \left(\frac{10^{-19} \rm{eV}^2}{\delta m^2}\right)$

 $L_{\rm coh} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}E_{\nu}}{|\delta m^2|} (E_{\nu}\sigma_x) \sim 114 \,\rm{kpc} \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{25 \,\rm{MeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10^{-19} \rm{eV}^2}{\delta m^2}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma_x}{10^{-13} \rm{m}}\right),$

Oscillations due to δm^2

Decoherence due to
$$\delta m^2$$
 and σ_x

 $E_{\nu}^2 \mathrm{d}\langle\Phi_{87}\rangle/\mathrm{dE}_{\nu} \ [\times 10^{10} \mathrm{~MeV} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}]$

Martinez-Soler, Perez-Gonzalez, MS, (PRD 2021)



SN1987A data and comparison



Fit SN1987A combined data under the pseudo-Dirac hypothesis using an unbinned Likelihood analysis.

• Use the same functional form,

$$\mathcal{V}_{\bar{\nu}}(E_{\nu}) = \frac{E_{\text{tot}}}{\langle E_{\bar{\nu}} \rangle} \frac{(1+\alpha)^{1+\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{\langle E_{\bar{\nu}} \rangle}\right)^{\alpha} e^{-(1+\alpha)\frac{E_{\nu}}{\langle E_{\bar{\nu}} \rangle}},$$

processed by oscillation probability that neutrinos are pseudo-Dirac.

• Parameters varied are E_{tot} , $\langle E_{\nu} \rangle$, α , and δm^2 , which is considered same for all 3 mass eigenstates. The width of the wavepacket is fixed to $\sigma_{r} = 10^{-13} \,\mathrm{m}$.

SN1987A data and comparison



SN1987A



Future detectors: sensitivity

- HK and DUNE can confirm/rule out this scenario with a high confidence.
- Sensitive to lower mass-square differences due to decoherence.
- Non-electron neutrino detectors to play an important role!

Martinez-Soler, Perez-Gonzalez, MS, (PRD 2021)



 \mathbf{J}



Neutrinos from Gpc distance

 $L_{\rm osc} = \sim 16\,{\rm Gp}$

2. DSNB

$$\operatorname{bc}\left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{20\mathrm{MeV}}\right)\left(\frac{10^{-25}\mathrm{eV}^2}{\delta m^2}\right)$$

de Gouvea, Martinez-Soler, Perez-Gonzalez, MS (PRD 2020)



DSNB sensitivity to pseudo-Dirac neutrinos



DSNB sensitive to $\delta m^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-25} \,\mathrm{eV}^2)$ with a high significance.



Rink, MS (2022) Perez-Gonzalez, MS (2023)

Final thoughts

- CCSNe are sensitive to extremely tiny value of δm^2 , not otherwise accessible to other experiments.
- Data from SN1987A can already be used to probe $\delta m^2 \sim 10^{-20} \,\mathrm{eV^2}$. In fact, data from SN1987A has a slight preference for a non-zero δm^2 .
- Future galactic core-collapse SNe can be used to probe even lower values of δm^2 using DUNE and HK.
- The DSNB opens up a plethora of avenues for neutrino astronomy, next giant leap from the Sun and SN1987A.

Thank you!











DSNB: Oscillations due to pseudo-Dirac nature



Increasing δm^2 reduces L_{osc} and $L_{coh'}$ and causes more oscillations