CONUS experiment: New results and the upgrade campaign to CONUS+



Christian Buck (on behalf of the CONUS collaboration) Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg Neutrino Telescopes, Venice, October, 25th (2023)











- Pure flux of electron antineutrinos
- E < 10 MeV ==> form factor ~1
- High sensitivity for BSM physics
- CONUS, vGeN, CONNIE, Dresden-II, Nucleus, Ricochet,...

- Different neutrino flavors
- E ~ 20 50 MeV ==> form factor < 1
- COHERENT: first observation
 in 2017

Other sources: solar or Supernova neutrinos

CONUS Collaboration



N. Ackermann, S. Armbruster, H. Bonet, A. Bonhomme, C. Buck, J. Hakenmüller, J. Hempfling, J. Henrichs, G. Heusser, T. Hugle, M. Lindner, W. Maneschg, K. Ni, T. Rink, E. Sanchez Garcia, J. Stauber, H. Strecker *Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg*

K. Fülber, R. Wink Preussen Elektra GmbH, Kernkraftwerk Brokdorf (KBR)









Challenging environment: no remote control, restricted materials, earthquake safety, access, different ON and OFF conditions,...



9

Quenching measurement

Experimental setup (beam facility a PTB Braunschweig)

- Model-independent method
- Triple coincidence
- Beam energy 250 800 keV
- Angles 18-45° (1° precision)
- Nuclear recoils 0.4 6 keV
- Results
 - Compatible with Lindhard theory!
 - **k = 0.162 ± 0.004** (stat.+syst.)
 - Challenge for CEvNS signal detection with Ge at reactor







- Passive + active shield: Background suppression ~10⁴
- Rate 0.5-1 keV: ~10 /(keV d kg)
- "Virtual depth"
- Reactor neutrons under control







CONUS, PRL 126 (2021) 041804



Run 1+2 limit is 17 times higher than SM signal prediction



Detectors	ON [kg d]	OFF [kg d]	E threshold [eV]
C1, C2, C4	~450	~300	210



Improvements: stability, DAQ, E threshold, PSD, OFF statistics...

Data with high noise variations excluded



CONUS, arXiv2308.12105 (2023)

Signal acceptance in Run-5: 97%

Run-5 result



Limit factor ~2 above predicted SM value (strongest limit at reactor)
 ~ 1 order of magnitude improvement as compared to Run-1+2!

Comparison with other results



- Constraints from vGen, CONNIE, TEXONO
- Colaresi et al., PRL 129, 211802 (2022)
 - "...very strong preference...for the presence of... CEvNS..."
 - Signal prefers low energy excess of quenching factor as compared to Lindhard quenching to be consistent with SM predictions





- Magnetic moment / electric millicharge
 - $\mu_v < 7.5 \times 10^{-11} \, \mu_B$
 - $q_v < 3.3 \times 10^{-12} e_0$
- Non standard interactions
 - Tensor type
 - Vector type
- Simplified models
 - Light scalar mediators
 - Light vector mediators



CONUS+ (Leibstadt, CH)

Site characterisation (d=20.7 m): high E gamma (> 3 MeV) flux lower, neutron flux higher and less overburden (7-8 m w.e.) as KBR site
 Further improve energy resolution, detector thresholds, trigger efficiency and muon veto performance (added additional layer)
 Improved CONUS setup installed this Summer!







Event rate per year

Signal to background ratio



CEvNS signal might be around the corner!



High cross-section of CEvNS ==> compact neutrino detectors

CONUS: Low energy threshold HPGe 17.1 m from reactor core

Ge quenching: data consistent with predictions from Lindhard theory

Strong constraints on CEvNS: factor < 2 above SM prediction</p>

 CONUS+: Continue in Leibstadt (CH) with improved setup (commissioning phase)



Backup

Other QF results



SuperCDMS, PRD 105 (2022) 12, 122002

Migdal contribution

>

CEvNS expectation and Migdal contribution vs reactor ON data

Dresden-II background subtracted



- Blue: quenching from monochromatic filtered n beam
- Cyan: prediction from photoneutron source data (YBe)
- Purple: Lindhard

M. Atzori Corona, M. Cadeddu, N. Cargioli, F. Dordei, C. Giunti, arXiv2307.12911 (2023)

Analysis (Run-1/2)



- Binned likelihood ratio test
- Background: MC modelling, free normalization parameter in fit (exponential fit for electronic noise in Run-1/2)
- Simultaneous fit ON/OFF (all detectors and runs)
- Scan over signal parameter
- Systematics via gaussian pull terms

Parameter	Uncertainty		
s signal	scanned over		
b MC background normalization	free parameter		
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{_{thr1}}$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{_{thr2}}$ electronic noise	free parameters, exponential		
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{_{rea}}$ reactor neutrino spectrum	~3% (thermal power, fission fractions)		
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{_{det}}$ detector and DAQ	1-5% (indep. measurements)		
ΔE energy scale calibration	10-20eV, highly stable		



Reactor-correlated!



Campaign with Bonner spheres (in cooperation with PTB)

- Neutron flux in CONUS room suppressed by factor >10²⁰
- 80% of neutron flux is thermal





Room temperature



Peak pos. of 10.4 keV line



Power consumption



FWHM of 10.4 keV line



Trigger efficiency curve



Analytical description: $0.5*[1+erf((x-\mu)/\sigma))]$





Scattering channel	Detector	$On \ [kgd]$	Off $[kgd]$	ROI $[eV_{ee}]$
	C1	96.7	13.8	276 - 741
$\bar{\nu}_e + A(Z, N)$	C2	14.6	13.4	281 - 999
	C3	97.5	10.4	333 - 991
	all	208.8	37.6	
	C1	215.4	29.6	2013 - 7968
$\bar{\nu}_e + e$	C2	184.6	32.2	2006 - 7990
	C3	248.5	31.7	2035 - 7989
	all	648.5	93.5	



New coupling with nuclear charge term adding to CEvNS cross-section Higher kinematic cutoff ==> rather weak quenching dependence



Very competitive results!

CONUS, JHEP 05 (2022) 085



New interaction similar to CEvNS: modified weak charge



Destructive interference possible

CONUS, JHEP 05 (2022) 085



- Testing simplified models assuming universal couplings
- Nucleus and electron (2-8 keV) channels included



CONUS, JHEP 05 (2022) 085



Magnetic moment:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{\mu_{\nu}}^{e^{-}} = \frac{\pi\alpha_{em}^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{E_{\nu}}\right) \left(\frac{\mu_{\nu_{e}}}{\mu_{B}}\right)^{2}$$

CONUS bound (90% CL) from v-e scattering in 2-8 keV window: $\mu_v < 7.5 \times 10^{-11} \mu_B$

Conversion to millicharge limit:

$$q_{\nu}^2 < \frac{T}{2m_e} \left(\frac{\mu_{\nu}}{\mu_B}\right)^2 e_0$$

A. Studenikin, EPL 107(2), 21001 (2014) Neutrino Magnetic Moment $\mu_{\nu}[\mu_B]$

 $q_v < 3.3 \times 10^{-12} e_0$

CONUS, EPJ C 82:813 (2022)



M. Atzori Corona et al., PRD 107, 053001 (2023)