HOLMES, an experiment for measuring the neutrino mass

Elena Ferri

INFN of Milano-Bicocca on behalf of HOLMES collaboration







proposed for the first time by A. De Rujula e M. Lusignoli in 1982 Phys. Lett. 118B (1982) 429 Nucl. Phys. B219 (1983) 277-301

- Calorimetric measurement of Dy atomic de-excitations (mostly non-radiative)
 - \Rightarrow measurement of the entire energy released except the ν energy
- rate at the end point depends on $(Q E_{M1})$: the proximity to M1 resonance peak enhances the statistics at the end point (i.e. sensitivity on m_{ν})
- Searching for a tiny deformation caused by a non-zero neutrino mass to the spectrum near its end point

Elena Ferri

October 25, 2023 1 / 18

The HOLMES experiment (ERC-2013-AdG no. 340321)

The m_{ν} statistical sensitivity has:

- Strong dependence on statistic: $\Sigma(m_\nu) \propto {N_{events}}^{1/4}$
- Strong dependence on pile-up: $f_{pp} \simeq A_{EC} \cdot \tau_{\mbox{\tiny res}}$

(A $_{E\ C}$: pixel activity, $\tau_{\rm res}$: time resolution)

- Weak dependence on energy resolution ΔE ;

Multiplaxable detectors with fast response are required

HOLMES

Neutrino mass determination with a sensitivity as low as $\approx 1 \text{ eV}$

- Microcalorimeters based on Transition Edge Sensors with $^{163}\mathrm{Ho}$ implanted Au absorber
- Pixel activity of $A_{EC}\sim 300\,$ Bq/det
- Energy resolution: O(eV)
- Time resolution: $\tau_{\rm res} \sim 3~\mu s$ ($\tau_{\rm rise} = 10-20~\mu s$);
- 1000 channels for $3\cdot 10^{13}\,$ events collected in $T_M=3\,years$

more details on Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75: 112



Ion implanter



Ion implanter designed to embed Ho inside the detectors' absorbers and to perform a mass separation of the 163 Ho from the other contaminants.

- extraction voltage 30-50 kV \rightarrow 10-100 nm implant depth
- 163 Ho/ 166 mHo separation better than 10^5

Main components:

- Ar penning sputter ion source
- magnetic dipole mass analyzer ($B_{max} = 1 T$)
- faraday cup and slit
- target chamber for Au co-evaporation



Au co-evaporation:

- to fully encapsulate the source
- to compensate the saturation of the ¹⁶³Ho concentration in the absorber
- to avoid oxidation
- heat capacity

Target chamber:

- 4 COMIC microwave sources

- 4 Ar beams hit on 4 Au targets \rightarrow 4 in order to increase the deposition rate and uniformity

- Focusing stage and co-deposition chamber still to be integrated in the system
- produced an ad hoc holder to implant just after the slit
- sputter target with $^{n \alpha t}$ Ho used to evaluate extraction efficiency from source: $\approx 0.2\%$ (preliminary)
- separation at the slit 163Ho from 165Ho and 166mHo (in our solution: 163Ho/165Ho/166mHo = 60/40/0.1) evaluated with simulations:
 - 163/165Ho separated by 15 mm
 - 163/166mHo separated by 22 mm

Multi-spots

- integrated current to achieve 2 Bq/det peak activity (neglecting sputter)
- 3 spots of implantation to test uniformity of activity



Single spot

- integrated current to achieve 4 Bq/det peak activity (neglecting sputter)
- evaluation of 163Ho activity on TES
- beam profile









- Mo/Cu TES coupled to Gold absorbers where ¹⁶³Ho is ion-implanted
- 2 μm Gold thickness for full e/ γ absorption
- Side-car design to avoid TES proximitation effect
- Thermal conductance G engineering for $\tau_{\mbox{\tiny decay}}$ control
- 4×16 linear sub-array designed for high implant efficiency and low parasitic L
- Optimized design for high speed and high resolution:

Specs @ 2.8 keV : $\Delta E_{FWHM} \simeq 3-4\,eV$, $\tau_{rise} \simeq 10\,\mu s$, $\tau_{decay} \simeq 100\,\mu s$







- Fabrication in two steps:
 - ► NIST: TES fabrication with 1 µm Au absorber
 - ▶ INFN: ¹⁶³Ho implantation, final deposition of 1 μ m Au and SiN membrane release



HOLMES TESs readout is based on microwave rf-SQUID multiplexing



- voltage bias TES $\Delta T = \Delta I$



rf-Microwave readout with flux rump modulation

- $f_{ramp} = f_{samp} (= 500 \text{ kHz})$
- allows to readout many detectors with one common RF line and HEMT amplifier: 1 HEMT (4-8 GHz) = 256TESs

- Change in TES current \Rightarrow change in the input flux to the rf-SOUID
- The rf-SQUID transduces a change in input flux into a variation of resonant frequency and phase
- Ramp modulation for linearization $\Delta f_r = \Delta \phi$

HOLMES DAQ with the ROACH2





- Software Defined Radio with the open system ROACH2 (Casper collaboration)
- ADC BW 550 MHz
- real time pulse reconstruction
 - \rightarrow at the moment readout available for 64 channels

Multiplexing factor proportional to the target rise time

- $n_{\text{TES}}\approx 3.4\cdot\tau_{\text{rise}}$
- requiring $\tau_{\text{rise}}=10 \mu s$

Event reconstruction





- Robust analysis is mandatory for achieving the expected microcalorimeter intrinsic energy resolution.
- The data from each pixel need to be processed separately.

Watson toolkit

- Software for low temperature detector data analysis
- Object oriented programming. Written in python (numpy and scipy)
- Fast, easy to read, easy to fix code
- GUI with QT5 for handy day to day operations
- Data are stored in hdf5 (hierarchical, filesystem-like data format)





Fabrication of the first HOLMES detectors



First ¹⁶³Ho implant on TES array

- sputter target loaded with 12 MBq of 163 Ho (= 2.6 x10¹⁸ 163 Ho atoms)
- ¹⁶³Ho beam current stable at 5 nA for 3 hours
- integrated current corresponds to $\approx 3 \times 10^{14}$ ¹⁶³Ho ions



sputter target: Zr/Bi (98%/2%) sintered matrix on Mo + Ho(NO₃)₃ dripping

Lift-off

Removal of the resist mask (7 µm thickness)

- sample in acetone at $50^\circ C$ for 2 h
- \rightarrow the Au deposited remains only on the absorber:

- \rightarrow minimal crowning



Au deposition

1µm of Au deposited

- with Ion beam sputter system
- $\,\approx 27$ hours for $1 \mu m$
- gold thickness uniformity $\rightarrow \, \sigma_t \, / \, t \sim 4 \%$



Membrane release

Anisotropic wet etching - 5 h in KOH bath at 80°C





Experimental setup & Runs







An holder can host 2 detectors array, for a total of 128 detectors with their readout and bias chips.

At present we can readout 64 detectors at the same time

RUN1 with ⁵⁵Fe calibration source

- Check the result of the the implantation process
 → activity map of the chip with single spot
- Assess the effect of Holmium on the detector response
- Acquire the first HOLMIUM spectrum

RUN2 with fluorescence calibration source

- Describe the main peaks of the Ho spectrum

RUN3 without calibration source

- Activity map on the second chip
- First physics run!

|--|--|--|

TES	ΔE_{FWHM} at 6 kev [eV]	¹⁶³ Ho activity [Bq]
13	8.36 ± 0.09	0.97
17	7.78 ± 0.08	0.55
19	7.12 ± 0.08	0.21
21	5.76 ± 0.07	0.11



Run1 results: first Ho spectrum on four selected pixels





- Sum over 4 pixels
- acquisition time 48.5 h
- high background due to ⁵⁵Fe calibration source
- $\Delta E_{FWHM}6 8 \text{ eV}$ at 6 keV
- < A > \approx 0.5 Bq (0.1 1 Bq)
- Expected background due to cosmic rays and natural radioactivity in the ROI lower than 0.01 counts / eV (From previous measurement campaign and MC simulations)







Neutel2023





- Around 60 spectra were recorded, "cleaned" and calibrated
- Idea: model each ¹⁶³Ho peak with an asymmetric Lorentzian function
- Analysis in progress



Run3: just a glimpse



No calibration source



Upcoming plans



Next ion implantation:

- implantation of a new array with uniform and maximum achievable activity (end of 2023)
- integration of focusing stage & co-deposition chamber (Nov $2023 \approx 6 \text{ months}$)
 - \rightarrow implantation with higher activities

Next measurements:

 long measurement and first limit on the neutrino mass around 10 eV with the new array with uniform and maximum achievable activity





Summery and acknowledgement

- ¹⁶³Ho embedded inside the HOLMES TES absorber
- first HOLMES ¹⁶³Ho spectrum measured
- new physics measurements coming in the next few months

Bicocca
M. Borghesi
M. Faverzani
E. Ferri A. Giachero
D. Labranca R. Moretti
A. Nucciotti L. Origo
G. Pessina S. Ragazzi
-

Univ. &

INFN

Milano

INFN & Univ. Genova

A. Bevilacqua M. De Gerone M. Fedkevych G. Gallucci F. Gatti P. Manfrinetti L. Parodi F. Siccardi INFN Roma M. Lusignoli

INFN LNGS M. Balata S. Nisi

J. Gard

NIST (Boulder, CO) B. Alpert D. Becker D. Bennet J. Fowler G. Hilton J. Mates C. Reintsema D. Schmidt D. Swetz J. Ullom L. Vale

PSI N. Cerboni R. Dressler E. Maugeri D. Shumann

> **ILL** U. Koester



The Milano and Genova group

