# Hadron Production Measurements for Neutrino Experiments at NA61/SHINE

## Yoshikazu Nagai

## for the NA61/SHINE collaboration



**ELTE** Eötvös Loránd University

October 23-27, 2023, XX International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes@Venice, Italy

## **Accelerator Neutrino Production**



Hadron production process is complex:

- Primary interactions in the target ( $p + C \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}$  and  $K^{\pm}$ ) -> Primary contribution to the neutrino flux
- Secondary interactions with beamline materials (hadrons + C/Be/AI/Ti/Fe/H2O/etc..)
- Neutral hadron decay ( $p + C \longrightarrow V^0 + X$ ) \_\_\_\_\_ Non-negligible contribution

to the neutrino flux

### **Flux Uncertainties of Accelerator-based Neutrinos**

Hadron Production is the leading uncertainty source of flux predictions



T2K: Phys. Rev. D87, 012001 (2013)

Fractional Error

## **Flux Prediction**

We rely on hadronic interaction models to calculate the neutrino flux

- FLUKA (J-PARC/T2K)
- Geant 4 FTFP\_BERT (Fermilab experiments at NuMI, LBNF, and Booster beamlines)

However, prediction of hadron production is difficult...



Need to constrain flux uncertainty

coming from hadron production

External Hadron production data is crucial!! a dedicated experiment: NA61/SHINE

## **The NA61/SHINE Experiment**

"The SPS Heavy Ion and Neutrino Experiment"

Over 150 physicists from 30 institutions and 15 countries

S..INE



NA61/SHINE (SPS north area)

SPS

<-- CERN (main site)

## **The NA61/SHINE Experiment**

#### Hadron beams

- primary protons at 400 GeV/c
- secondary hadrons (p,  $\pi$ , K) at 13 350 GeV/c

### Broad physics program

- <u>Neutrino</u>
  - Hadron production measurements to improve neutrino beam flux predictions
- Strong interaction / Heavy ion
  - Search for the critical point
  - Study the onset of QCD deconfinement
  - Study open-charm production mechanism
- Cosmic ray
  - Hadron production measurements to improve air-shower model predictions
  - Study (anti-)deuteron production mechanism for the AMS and GAPS experiments
  - Nuclear fragmentation cross sections to understand cosmic-ray flux

#### Ion beams

- primary (Ar, Xe, Pb) at 13-150 AGeV/c
- secondary Be at 13 150 AGeV/c (from Pb fragmentation)

## **NA61/SHINE Experimental Facility**



A fixed target experiment at SPS with

- Good beam particle selection (p,  $\pi$ , K) using beamline Cherenkov detectors
- Large acceptance spectrometer for charged particles
  - Time Projection Chambers (TPCs) for tracking and dE/dx
  - 2 dipole magnets with 1.5 T field
  - Time-of-flight detectors placed downstream

Precise tracking with:

- Particle identification
- Momentum measurement

7

## NA61/SHINE Experimental Facility

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Event Display**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **NA61/SHINE Data Collection History**

Phase 1 (2006-2010): p+C (2cm graphite or 90-cm-long T2K replica graphite) at 31 GeV/c -> T2K

Data analyses are complete!

publications: PRC 84 034604 (2011), PRC 85 035210 (2012), NIMA 701 99-114 (2013), PRC 89 025205 (2014), EPJC 76 84 (2016), EPJC 76 617 (2016), EPJC 79 no.2 100 (2019), PRD 103 012006 (2021)

Phase 2 (2015-2018): various -> Fermilab beamlines (NuMI, LBNF/DUNE)

Several data analyses are complete! More data analysis ongoing publications: PRD 98 052001 (2018), PRD 100 112001 (2019), PRD 100 112004 (2019), PRD 107 072004 (2023), arXiv:2306.02961 (accepted by PRD)

<u>Phase 3 (2022-2025)</u>: various -> T2K, Hyper-K, LBNF/DUNE

2022 and 2023 data collections are complete! Will continue for next years

<u>After LS3 (2027-???)</u>: various -> Planning phase

We are in the middle of Phase 3 data collection campaign!!

## **Phase 1 Example: a Measurement for T2K**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **T2K Flux Uncertainty with Phase 1 Data**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

### <u>Achieved</u>

• Flux uncertainty < 5% at the flux peak

### Next step

- Flux outside of the peak needs to be further reduced
  - Low energy side: low-momentum hadron
     rescattering
  - High energy side: kaon production
- Flux of intrinsic beam background
  - anti-v and electron-v

For further improvement, continue further data taking at Phase 3 and beyond 12

### **Phase 2 Example: A Measurement for Fermilab Beamlines**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Phase 2 Example: A Measurement for Fermilab Beamlines**

## $p + C @120 \, GeV$

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

### A brand-new result!

## Phase 3: NA61/SHINE Detector Upgrade

### Facility Upgrade (2019-2022)

- Many major upgrades recently completed
  - TPC electronics with ALICE board
    - The DAQ rate: ~100 Hz -> above 1 kHz
    - Improved raw data quality (low noise level)
  - Replacement of ToF readout with DRS4 board

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

Upgrade detail is available: <u>https://cds.cern.ch/record/2309890</u>

## **Phase 3 Data Collection**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

T2K replica target L = 90cm, r = 13mm

LBNF/DUNE target

L = 1.5m, r = 8mm (possibly extended to 1.8m)

<u>2022</u> (complete)

- > 150M events dedicated to constraining T2K flux uncertainty
  - Data calibration is ongoing

2023 (complete)

- 380M events with various thin targets
  - Dedicated to constraining DUNE flux uncertainty

<u>2024</u> (plan)

 120 GeV proton interactions on a replica LBNF/DUNE target

### <u>2025</u> (plan)

Low-E hadron interactions -> next page

## **Upgrade Plan: Extending Hadron Beam Energy**

Low-Energy Beamline Project

• A project to build a new branch beamline to deliver low-energy hadron beams

• Low-Energy = 1-30 GeV (30-350 GeV can be covered by the current beamline configuration)

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

## **Prospect for the DUNE experiment**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

We need to understand the broader energy range of neutrino flux compared to T2K. -> Phase 2, 3, and future data will help for the reduction of the flux uncertainty -> Aiming at unprecedented precision, below 2~3% uncertainty on flux prediction

## NA61++/SHINE Era

Phase 1 (2006-2010): p+C (2cm graphite or 90-cm-long T2K replica graphite) at 31 GeV/c -> T2K

Phase 2 (2015-2018): various -> Fermilab beamlines (NuMI, LBNF/DUNE)

<u>Phase 3 (2022-2025)</u>: various -> T2K, LBNF/DUNE

<u>After LS3 (2027-???)</u>: various -> Planning phase

### Physics opportunities

- Conventional SPS beams (hadrons & ions, up to SPS energy)
- Low-energy hadron beams (1-30 GeV)
- Interdisciplinary program
  - Neutrino Physics
  - Strong interaction and heavy ion physics
  - Cosmic ray physics
  - and more ..?
- We held a dedicated workshop (December 15-17, 2022)
  - Discussion regarding technical issues and physics opportunities

note: CERN's Long-Shutdown 3, LS3, is currently planned 2026-2027 or 2026-2028

![](_page_18_Figure_16.jpeg)

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1174830

## Summary

Precision hadron production measurements are essential to reduce the leading systematic uncertainty on the neutrino flux prediction for accelerator-based neutrino experiments

- Lots of recent activities by NA61/SHINE
  - Recent data helps a lot to improve flux knowledge of T2K, and near future for Fermilab beamlines including DUNE
  - Phase is shifting to envision next-generation experiments
    - These experiments require unprecedented knowledge of neutrino fluxes
    - The NA61/SHINE experiment prepares for their needs

We welcome new collaborators!!

## Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

http://shine.web.cern.ch

## The NA61/SHINE Collaboration

#### Azerbaijan

#### • NNRC

National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan

A. Rustamov

#### **Bulgaria**

· University of Sofia Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Bogomilov, S. Ilieva, D. Kolev, R. Tsenov

#### France

• LPNHE LPNHE, Sorbonne University, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France

A. Blondel, C. Dalmazzone, J. Dumarchez

#### Germany

• KIT Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

N. Amin, R. Engel, H.-J. Mathes, M. Roth, M. Unger, D. Veberič

#### Hungary

• ELTE

 HUN-REN Wigner RCP HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

Z. Fodor, A. László, B. Pórfy

Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary M. Csanád, Y. Nagai

#### Japan

• KEK Tsukuba Institute for Particle and Nuclear Studies, Tsukuba, Japan

M. Friend, T. Nakadaira, S. Nishimori, K. Sakashita

 Okavama University Okayama University, Japan

Y. Koshio, Y. Shiraishi

#### Norway

· University of Bergen University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

D. Röhrich

• University of Oslo University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

I.-C. Arsene, A. Merzlaya, T.S. Tveter

#### Poland

• UJK Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland

H. Adhikary, A. Bazgir, Y. Bondar, T. Czopowicz, M. Gaździcki, O. Panova, I. Pidhurskyi, V.Z. Reyna Ortiz, M. Rybczynski, P. Seyboth, U.A. Shah, G. Stefanek, O. Wyszyński

• IFJ PAN Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland

S. Bhosale, N. Davis, M. Kiełbowicz, A. Marcinek, V. Ozvenchuk, Ł. Rozpłochowski, A. Rybicki

• NCBJ National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland

P. Adrich, M. Bielewicz, B. Maksiak, D. Pszczel, E. Rondio, J. Stepaniak, Ł. \'Swiderski, J. Szewiński

• Jagiellonian University Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland

M. Bajda, J. Brzychczyk, Z. Majka, R. Płaneta, P. Staszel

- AGH AGH - University of Science and Technology, Cracow, Poland
- W. Kucewicz, Ł. Mik, K. Witek
- · University of Silesia University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland
- Y. Balkova, S. Kowalski, S. Puławski, R. Renfordt, K. Schmidt, M. Urbaniak, K. Wójcik
- University of Warsaw University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
- W. Dominik, M. Kuich, T. Matulewicz, P. Podlaski, M. Posiadała-Zezula
- · University of Wrocław University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland

M. Kuchowicz, M. Lewicki, M. Naskret, R. Szukiewicz, L. Turko, O. Vitiuk, E. Zherebtsova

• WUT Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

W. Bryliński, J. Cybowska, K. Grebieszkow, B. Kozłowski, M. Maćkowiak-Pawłowska, M. Słodkowski, A. Tefelska, D. Tefelski

#### Russia

 INR Moscow Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

M. Golubeva, F. Guber, A. Ivashkin, A. Izvestnyy, N. Karpushkin, A. Kurepin, A. Makhnev, S. Morozov, O. Petukhov, V. Volkov

- JINR Dubna
- Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

M. Burvakov, A. Dmitriev, V. Golovatvuk, V.A. Kireveu, R. Kolesnikov, A. Krasnoperov, G. Lykasov, V.V. Lyubushkin, A.I. Malakhov, V. Matveev, G.L. Melkumov, B.A. Popov, M. Rumyantsev, V. Tereshchenko, A. Zaitsev

MEPhI Moscow

National Research Nuclear University (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute), Moscow, Russia

A. Brandin, O. Golosov, N. Kargin, E. Kashirin, M. Strikhanov, A. Taranenko

 SPBU St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

E.V. Andronov, G.A. Feofilov, S.N. Igolkin, V.N. Kovalenko, D.S. Prokhorova, A.Yu. Seryakov, F.F. Valiev, V.V. Vechernin, A. Zviagina

#### Serbia

• University of Belgrade University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Ćirković, J. Puzović

#### USA

• Fermilab Fermilab, Batavia, USA

- A. Wickremasinghe, R. Zwaska
- University of Notre Dame University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA
- D. Battaglia, L. Fields, A. Olivier
- · University of Colorado University of Colorado, Boulder, USA

K.K. Allison, A.D. Marino, L. Ren, B.T. Rumberger, E.D. Zimmerman

· University of Hawaii University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, USA

P. von Doetinchem, A. Shukla

• University of Pittsburgh University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA

A.F. Camino, V. Paolone

# Backup

## **NA61/SHINE Data Collection History**

Phase 1 (2006-2010): p+C (2cm graphite or 90-cm-long T2K replica graphite) at 31 GeV/c -> T2K

### Thin target:

- total cross-section and  $\pi^{+/-}$  spectra measurements (Phys. Rev. C84 034604 (2011))
- K+ spectra measurement (Phys. Rev. C85 035210 (2012))
- $K_{0S}^{0}$  and  $\Lambda$  spectra measurements (Phys. Rev. C89 (2014) 025205)
- total cross-section and  $\pi^{+/-}$ ,  $K^{+/-}$  p,  $K^0$ s, and  $\Lambda$  spectra measurements (Eur. Phys. J. C76 84 (2016))

### Replica target:

- methodology,  $\pi^{+/-}$  yield measurement (Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A701 99-114 (2013))
- *π*<sup>+/-</sup> yield measurement (Eur. Phys. J. C76 617 (2016))
- *π*<sup>+/-</sup>, *p*, and *K*<sup>+/-</sup> yield measurements (Eur. Phys. J. C79, no.2 100 (2019))
- Production cross-section via beam attenuation (Phys. Rev. D103, 012006 (2021))

## **NA61/SHINE Data Collection History**

Phase 1 (2006-2010): p+C (2cm graphite or 90-cm-long T2K replica graphite) at 31 GeV/c -> T2K

Phase 2 (2015-2018): various -> Fermilab beamlines (NuMI, LBNF/DUNE)

	Data sets				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	
	no magnetic field	with magnetic field	with magnetic field	with magnetic field	
	p+C (31 GeV/c)	p+Al/Be/C (60 GeV/c)	p+C (90 GeV/c)	p+NuMI medium-e target	
	π++Al/C (31 GeV/c)	p+Be/C (120 GeV/c)	p+Be/C (120 GeV/c)	(120 GeV/c)	
	π++AI/C (60 GeV/c)	π++Be/C (60 GeV/c)	π++C (31 GeV/c)		
	K++AI/C (60 GeV/c)		π++Al (60 GeV/c)		
			π⁻+C (60 GeV/c)		
<ul> <li><u>Total cross-section</u></li> <li>π<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> beams (Phys. Rev. D98, 052001 (2018))</li> <li>proton beams (Phys. Rev. D100, 112001 (2019))</li> <li>π<sup>+</sup> beams (Phys. Rev. D100, 112004 (2019))</li> </ul>			<ul> <li><u>Differential production multiplicity</u></li> <li>π<sup>+</sup> beams (Phys. Rev. D100, 112004 (2019))</li> <li>proton beams (analyses ongoing)</li> </ul>		

## Phase 2 example: NuMI Replica Target Data

<u>Replica target</u>: proton beam @ 120 GeV/c on NOvA replica target (2018)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Data analysis is ongoing

## Phase 3: T2K Replica Target Data

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

 Two weeks of detector commissioning followed by three weeks of data taking

- Main physics data: 150 M events
- Total physics data: over 180 M events

(c.f. phase 1 T2K replica data stat. was about 10 M events)

Data calibration and analysis have just started

6.0 149.7	4-5 July, 2022	
149.7		
9.1	7-23 and 25-27 July, 2022	
2.9		
14.0	23-25 July, 2022	
1.4		
183.1	4-27 July, 2022	
	9.1 2.9 14.0 1.4 183.1	

Table 1: Summary of collected datasets with the T2K replica target during 2022 run.

### How to Improve Flux Model with External Data

Two corrections to constrain model ambiguity

- Interaction length: Tune production cross-section to external measurement
- Multiplicity: Tune differential hadron multiplicity (differential cross section) to external measurement

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

with replica target data... weights applied at the exiting point