**Rome 26/10/2022**

# **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam**

**Gaia Franciosini Thesis Advisor: Vincenzo Patera Co-Advisor: Angelo Schiavi** 

G. Franciosini Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam 26/10/2022 **1**





PhD in *Accelerator Physics*, XXXV cycle Sapienza University of Rome



## **The IOeRT technique**

*[1] Intraoperative Irradiation. Techniques and Results, Calvo FA, Gunderson LL et al., Current Clinical Oncology, Second Edition, 2011*

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022 | <sup>2</sup>** 









The Intra Operative Radio Therapy [1] with electron (IOeRT) is a technique that, after the surgical tumor removal, delivers a dose of ionizing radiation directly to the surgery bed.



## **The IOeRT technique**

*[1] Intraoperative Irradiation. Techniques and Results, Calvo FA, Gunderson LL et al., Current Clinical Oncology, Second Edition, 2011*

G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOERT FLASH beam 1 26/10/2022** 









The patient is surgically treated. The surgeon identifies and prepares the Planning Target Volume **(**PTV**)** that has to be treated.



The Intra Operative Radio Therapy [1] with electron (IOeRT) is a technique that, after the surgical tumor removal, delivers a dose of ionizing radiation directly to the surgery bed.



## **The IOeRT technique**

*[1] Intraoperative Irradiation. Techniques and Results, Calvo FA, Gunderson LL et al., Current Clinical Oncology, Second Edition, 2011*



G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam 1 26/10/2022 44 August 26/10/2022 44 August 26/10/2022** 









The patient is surgically treated. The surgeon identifies and prepares the Planning Target Volume **(**PTV**)** that has to be treated.

The Intra Operative Radio Therapy [1] with electron (IOeRT) is a technique that, after the surgical tumor removal, delivers a dose of ionizing radiation directly to the surgery bed.



A **protective disk** is applied in order to preserve the organs from the undesired dose. The **thickness** of the target volume is identified by means of a **needle** and thus the electron **beam energy** is chosen.



The beam is passively collimated by means of a PMMA applicator, whose dimension is chosen according to the volume of the surgical breach.



## **The IOeRT technique**

The patient is surgically treated. The surgeon identifies and prepares the Planning Target Volume **(**PTV**)** that has to be treated.

*[1] Intraoperative Irradiation. Techniques and Results, Calvo FA, Gunderson LL et al., Current Clinical Oncology, Second Edition, 2011*



G. Franciosini **Control Preferent of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022** 











A **protective disk** is applied in order to preserve the organs from the undesired dose. The **thickness** of the target volume is identified by means of a **needle** and thus the electron **beam energy** is chosen.

The Intra Operative Radio Therapy [1] with electron (IOeRT) is a technique that, after the surgical tumor removal, delivers a dose of ionizing radiation directly to the surgery bed.

The beam is passively collimated by means of a PMMA applicator, whose dimension is chosen according to the volume of the surgical breach.

## **The IOeRT technique**

*[1] Intraoperative Irradiation. Techniques and Results, Calvo FA, Gunderson LL et al., Current Clinical Oncology, Second Edition, 2011*

The **dose** is provided by a **uniform electron beam** produced by a miniaturized LINAC accelerator with energy between 4 and 12 MeV.

**1**

**3**

**4**





G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOERT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022 | 6** 











A **protective disk** is applied in order to preserve the organs from the undesired dose. The **thickness** of the target volume is identified by means of a **needle** and thus the electron **beam energy** is chosen.

The Intra Operative Radio Therapy [1] with electron (IOeRT) is a technique that, after the surgical tumor removal, delivers a dose of ionizing radiation directly to the surgery bed.

The beam is passively collimated by means of a PMMA applicator, whose dimension is chosen according to the volume of the surgical breach.

## **The IOeRT technique**

*[1] Intraoperative Irradiation. Techniques and Results, Calvo FA, Gunderson LL et al., Current Clinical Oncology, Second Edition, 2011*

**1**

**3**

**4**

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022** 



A **protective disk** is applied in order to preserve the organs from the undesired dose. The **thickness** of the target volume is identified by means of a **needle** and thus the electron **beam energy** is chosen.



The **dose** is provided by a **uniform electron beam** produced by a miniaturized LINAC accelerator with energy between 4 and 12 MeV.

The Intra Operative Radio Therapy [1] with electron (IOeRT) is a technique that, after the surgical tumor removal, delivers a dose of ionizing radiation directly to the surgery bed.

**2**

No time to perform a new patient imaging and go through the Treatment Planning System











### **Treatment Planning System**

Table of: dE vs Ebeam, x, y, z RBE VS Ebeam, dE, X, Y, Z

G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam** 1 26/10/2022 <sup>8</sup>

each beam spot













The Treatment Planning System (TPS) combines the characteristics of the particles at the energies of interest with the accelerator machine parameters to be applied in order to optimize the dose distribution to the patient. In particle therapy it can be analytic or Monte Carlo driven.



2.750E-02  $3.000E-02$ <br>3.500E-02 4.000E-02

The TPS provides information to the beam control system:

- **๏** Position
- **๏** Intensity
- **๏** Direction

## **My Ph.D. thesis challenge**

The goal of my Ph.D. thesis was to address the technology gap between IOeRT and other radiotherapy techniques, by developing the first-ever, complete TPS dedicated to lOERT treatments.

 $X$ SIT



**EFLASH effect:** the use of mono-energetic high intensity pulses of electrons makes IOeRT the current **best candidate** for the first **clinical implementation** of the FLASH effect.

Breast IOeRT treatments

scheduled in 2024



IOeRT is recommended in several *far from trivial* irradiation cases (prostate, pancreas, rectal cancer…): Organ At Risks sparing becomes an issue;











## **The future TPS operation**

The S.I.T. company has solved the problem of providing an **online intra-operative image** by means of the ECHO imaging system, a new 30 real-time ultrasound imaging acquisition with limited precision (capable of discriminating only significant differences in density - air, water, metal)

> Identification of the regions of interest (PTV and OARs);

- US imaging acquisition
- Treatment simulation and
- Image guided docking to deliver the treatment exactly as it was planned in





Gaia Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam** 26/10/2022 <sup>10</sup>



## **Intra-operative imaging**

The S.I.T. company has solved the problem of providing an **online intra-operative image** by means of the ECHO imaging system, a new 30 real-time ultrasound imaging acquisition with limited precision (capable of discriminating only significant differences in density - air, water, metal)

US imaging acquisition

Treatment simulation and

Image guided docking to deliver the treatment exactly as it was planned in





Gaia Franciosini **Carlo 12 Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022 11** 



Identification of the regions of interest (PTV and OARs);



FRED (Fast paRticle thErapy Dose evaluator) is a fast dose engine based on MC code for the transport of particles in heterogeneous media that allows for a quick recalculation of the deposition of the dose. It has been developed in the context of **Particle Therapy** [4].



## **Planning tool: FRED**

FRED has been developed to work on GPU (Graphics Processing Unit) and it reduces the simulation time by a factor of **1000** for proton treatments compared to a standard MC.

For the excellent results achieved with **protons** and carbon ions in terms of tracking performance and dose accuracy, we decided to develop the electromagnetic FRED model to extend the use of this MC-on-GPU-based dose engine to other radiotherapy techniques where the **fime-factor is crucial**, i.e. the IOeRT.

*[4] A. Schiavi et al. "FRED: a GPU-accelerated fast-Monte Carlo code for rapid treatment plan recalculation in ion beam therapy" PMB 62 (2017) 18 doi:10.1088/1361-6560/aa8134*

My Ph.D. work thus includes both the complete **development** and test of the FRED electromagnetic model and its first clinical application in the context of IOeRT.





G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam** 1 26/10/2022 12















## **Electromagnetic FRED model**

- Bremsstrahlung (Custom code with dσ/dk from B doi:10.1016/0092-640X(86)90014-8)
- **Moller/Bhabha scattering (GEANT4)**
- Coherent scattering (custom code with XCOM NIST database)
- **Photoelectric** (custom code with XCOM NIST database)
- **Exampton** (custom code with XCOM NIST database)
- **Pair production** (XCOM NIST database and GEANT4)
- **Positron annihilation** at rest/ in flight (GEANT4)

The first step was the implementation of all the electromagnetic processes relevant for medical application in the energy range of 1-200 MeV (from IOeRT to Very High Energy Electron treatments).

Continuous process (e-e+)

 $\triangleq$ dE/dx from NIST eSTAR database + straggling (GEANT4) Multiple scattering (doi: 10.1118/1596230). Water target

Discrete interactions (e-, e<sup>+</sup>, x):



G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam** 1 26/10/2022 13





## **Efficiency and timing performance**



G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam** 1 26/10/2022 <sup>14</sup>

![](_page_13_Picture_188.jpeg)

### **Breast cancer IOeRT TPS**

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022** 15

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

Once I validated the FRED dose engine, I started working on its clinical application as a tool for treatment planning and optimization.

Since the US imaging system is today under optimization, to simulate the breast IOeRT treatment, I used a  $CT$  of a phantom with a **breast prosthesis** used to simulate a breast surgery attached onto it and I tried to **approximate a realistic case**.

Then I tried to optimize the treatment looking for the configuration that maximizes the PTV coverage and spearing of the OARs: I simulated 5⋅10<sup>5</sup> electrons (several orders of magnitude below a full treatment ~ 3.2e12), of whatever energy, beam size and position, and *Lanalyzed the resulting Dose Volume Histograms.* 

## **Regions of Interest (ROIs)**

replaced all the voxels belonging to the patient with the **water** HU value (HU=0) and the ones outside with the air HU value (HU=-1000);

inserted the radio protection disk;

I then modified the CT image to meet the future US imagining resolution and identified the treatment ROIs:

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

According to the US optimal viewing, I defined a reasonable PTV (d~6÷7cm, 1cm thick), a **Normal Tissue** region and a volume under the disk (**Muscle Tissue**) at a depth of no more than 7 cm;

Robust results and dose calculation time ~ 7.6 s

![](_page_15_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_14.jpeg)

The diameter was fixed at 80 mm and I changed only the beam energy: PTV 100 6, 8 and 10 MeV were selected according to the PTV thickness. 6 MeV Dose prescription:  $\rightarrow 20$  Gy @ 90% PTV volume 80 8 MeV 10 MeV **OSS:** The FRED dose maps in Gy/primary units were multiplied by the number of electrons needed to fulfill the dose prescription: 3.26·10<sup>12</sup>,  $[%] \centering \includegraphics[width=0.47\textwidth]{images/TrDiM1.png} \caption{The 3D (black) model with the 3D (black) model. The left side is the same time. The right side is the same time, the right side is the same time.} \label{fig:TrDiM2}$ 60 3.20⋅1012 and 3.27⋅1012 for the 6, 8 and 10 MeV simulation. volume 40 Dose mean values  $1e-11$  $1.0 -$ 6 MeV 10 MeV Normal D [Gy] PTV Muscle **Tissue Tissue**  $0.8$ 20 6 MeV 23.7 0.9 9.6  $0.6$ 8 MeV 22.4 7.5 9.3 0 10 MeV 21.9 15.9 9.1 1500 500 1000 2000 2500 Dose [cGy] 0.0

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

### $T = 23.1 s$

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022 17** 

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **Beam energy scan**

## **Beam position scan**

in a [x,z] grid

### Dose prescription:  $\bigstar$  20 Gy @ 90% PTV volume

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022** 18

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

prescription ~ 1012

### **FLASH effect**

FLASH irradiation provides a reduced radiation-induced toxicity in normal tissues with respect to conventional one. This effect can be parametrized by the FLASH Modifying Factor (FMF) model.

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

An 8 MeV electrons circular beam with d=80 mm was simulated in CONVENTIONAL and FLASH regime with  $D_{\text{Th}}$  = 6, 5 and 4 Gy

### **IOeRT-FLASH treatment**

![](_page_19_Picture_181.jpeg)

 $T = 30.8 s$ 

### Dose mean values

![](_page_19_Figure_10.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022 20** 

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

Today the IOeRT presents an high probability of tumor under-dosage, due to the decision to avoid invasive surgery procedure. Therefore, the irradiated area is sometimes smaller than the the effective PTV.

I studied the FLASH effect potential in the spearing of the superficial tissues to asses the possibility to combine minimally invasive surgery (small surgical breach) and a larger electron beam irradiation delivered at ultrahigh dose rates

This would allow to improve local tumor control (higher dose) without jeopardizing normal tissue tolerance.

## **Conclusion**

 $\triangleright$  In this Ph.D. work I developed from scratch a fast **dose engine based on GPU-MC, crucial for the future IOeRT TPS**. It is capable of reproducing dose distributions in homogeneous and heterogeneous phantoms with an **accuracy** at the level of state-of-art full MCs and with an impressive gain in processing time.

I developed an optimization tool using FRED which is able to produce robust and accurate dose distributions in about 10 seconds that can be used for online treatment optimization.

**Next steps:** prostate and pancreatic applications.TO<br>DO handles the US imaging acquisition and the graphical interface. **• TPS validation** against experimental data

• Explore a realistic case of **breast cancer** evaluating the potential of FLASH irradiation, and also

• Integration of the developed treatment planning and optimization tool with the S.I.T. software that

### Thanks for your attention!

![](_page_20_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **From full to fast Monte Carlo**

### ANALYTICAL ALGORITHMS

๏ Reasonable times for calculating the TPS ๏ Simplified representation of the tissue: the geometry of the patient is represented in an equivalent volume of water, neglecting the real atomic composition of the tissues.

**๏ Not high accuracy**

### MONTE CARLO

- ๏ Realistic assessment of body
- ๏ Extracts accuracy in the description of the transport and the interaction of the particles
- ๏ **Long times for calculating**

![](_page_23_Picture_15.jpeg)

composition with matter **the TPS**

### FAST MONTE CARLO

- ๏ High accuracy in the description of the transport and of the interaction of particles with matter
- ๏ Realistic assessment of body composition
- **๏ Very fast calculation of TPS**

**Ex. Proton TPS ~ 1 h/core**

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Ex. Proton TPS ~ days/core**

G. Franciosini **Contrary Control Control System for IORT FLASH beam** 20/10/2021 24

**Ex. Proton TPS ~ minutes**

![](_page_23_Figure_21.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_24.jpeg)

### **Gamma index analysis**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$
\gamma(\vec{r_r}) = \min\{\Gamma(\vec{r_e},\vec{r_r})\} \forall \{\vec{r_e}\}
$$

 $\gamma \leq 1$  = test passed  $\gamma > 1$  = test NOT passed

pass rate  $\geq 92\%$ clinical acceptance

G. Franciosini **Contrary of the Universe of a Treatment Control System for IORT FLASH beam | 20/10/2021 | 25** 

$$
\gamma\text{-index } 2\text{mm} / 3\%
$$

$$
\Gamma(\vec{r_e}, \vec{r_r}) = \sqrt{\frac{|\vec{r_e} - \vec{r_r}|^2}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{[D_e(\vec{r_e}) - D_r(\vec{r_r})]^2}{\Delta D^2}}
$$

![](_page_24_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **ElectronFlash4000**

The EF4000 was commissioned by the Curie Institute a was installed there in August 2020.

I performed the **dosimetric characterization** of the electrons beam produced by the linac by comparing the experimental data of the PDD and off-axis profile (Gafchromic EBT-XD films) with the ones obtained with **FLUKA**.

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_78.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **ElectronFlash4000**

### Example of 5 MeV collimated with the applicator with d=30 mm

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_96.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Contrary of the Universe 12 Section 12 Se** 

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Conferences and Articles**

### G. Franciosini Development of a Treatment Control System for IORT FLASH beam 20/10/2021 **28**

![](_page_27_Picture_30.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_31.jpeg)

### **Conferences**

- 1. Development of a IORT Treatment Planning System using a GPU-based fast Monte Carlo, plenary talk, 47th Annual Meeting of the European Radiation Research Society (ERRS 2022),  $21^{th}$ -24<sup>th</sup> September 2022, Catania, Italy.
- 2. A feasibility study of IORT Treatment Planning system using a GPU based fast Monte Carlo, plenary talk, 4th European Congress of Medical Physics,  $17<sup>th</sup>$ -20<sup>th</sup> August 2022, Dublin, Ireland.
- 3. A feasibility study of IORT-FLASH using a GPU-based fast Monte Carlo (FRED), plenary talk, International Conference on Monte Carlo Techniques for Medical Applications,  $11^{th}$ -13<sup>th</sup> April 2022, Antwerp, Belgium.
- 4. Inter-fractional monitoring in Particle Therapy treatments with  ${}^{12}C$  ions exploiting the detection of charged secondary particles, parallel talk, ANPC Applied Nuclear Physics Conference  $12^{th}$ -17<sup>th</sup> September 2021, Prague, Czech Republic.
- 5. Prostate cancer FLASH therapy treatments with electrons of high energy: a feasibility study, 12. A.C. Kraan et al, Charge identification of nuclear fragments with the FOOT time-of-flight system parallel talk, PTCOG 59 Annual Conference of the Particle Therapy Co-operative Group (ONLINE), Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, De- $4^{th}$ -7<sup>th</sup> June 2021, Rome, Italy.

### **Articles**

- 1. Pellegrini R. et al, Novel gamma tracker for rapid radiation direction detection for UAV drone use. Paper presented at the 2019 IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference,
- 2. G. Traini et al, Performance of the ToF detectors in the foot experiment Nuovo Cimento Della Societa Italiana Di Fisica C, 43(1).
- 3. F. Collamati et al, Stability and efficiency of a CMOS sensor as detector of low energy  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  particles Journal of Instrumentation, 15(11)
- 4. M. Toppi et al, The MONDO Tracker: Characterisation and Study of Secondary Ultrafast Neutrons Production in Carbon Ion Radiotherapy
- 5. M. Fischetti et al, Inter-fractional monitoring of  $^{12}C$  ions treatments: results from a clinical trial at the CNAO facility Scientific Reports, 10(1)
- 6. G. Galati et al., Charge identification of fragments with the emulsion spectrometer of the FOOT experiment

Open Physics, 19(1), 383-394.

![](_page_27_Picture_15.jpeg)

- 7. E. Fiorina et al, Detection of interfractional morphological changes in proton therapy: A simulation and in vivo study with the INSIDE in-beam PET Frontiers in Physics, 8
- 8. G. Battistoni E. et al, Measuring the Impact of Nuclear Interaction in Particle Therapy and in Radio Protection in Space: the FOOT Experiment Frontiers in Physics, 8.
- 9. M. Toppi et al, PAPRICA: The pair production imaging Chamber-Proof of principle Frontiers in Physics, 9.
- 10. L. Faillace et al., Compact S-band Linear Accelerator System for FLASH Radiotherapy Physical Review Accelerators and Beams (2021)
- 11. M. Toppi et al., Monitoring Carbon Ion Beams Transverse Position Detecting Charged Secondary Fragments: Results From Patient Treatment Performed at CNAO Frontiers in Oncology, 2021, 11, 601784
- 13. G. Calvi et al., PAPRICA: The PAir PRoduction Imaging ChAmber Nuovo Cimento della Società Italiana di Fisica C, 2021, 44(4-5), 147
- 14. S. Colombi et al., Enhancing the understanding of fragmentation processes in hadrontherapy and radioprotection in space with the FOOT experiment Physica Scripta, 2021, 96(11), 11401
- 15. Sarti A. et al., Deep Seated Tumour Treatments With Electrons of High Energy Delivered at FLASH Rates: The Example of Prostate Cancer Frontiers in Oncology, 2021, 11, 777852.
- 16. Kraan, A.C. et al., Localization of anatomical changes in patients during proton therapy with in-beam PET monitoring: A voxel-based morphometry approach exploiting Monte Carlo simulations Medical Physics, 2022, 49(1), pp. 23-40
- 17. A. Rahman et al., FLASH radiotherapy treatment planning and models for electron beams Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2022, 12, 929949,.
- 18. M. De Simoni et al., A Data-Driven Fragmentation Model for Carbon Therapy GPU-Accelerated Monte-Carlo Dose Recalculation Frontiers in Oncology, 2022, 12, 2234-943X.

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

G. Franciosini Development of a Treatment Control System for IORT FLASH beam 20/10/2021 **30**

![](_page_29_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_14.jpeg)

ì

### **FLASH effect**

Several pre-clinical studies recently claimed that the toxicity in healthy tissues related to tumour treatments can be significantly reduced (from 80% down to 60%), while keeping the same efficacy in cancer killing, if the dose rate is radically increased (> 40 **Gy/s**, or even more) with respect to conventional treatments (∼**0.01 Gy/s**).

### Tumor response, analogous to the one obtained with conventional RT Reduced radiation-induced toxicities in the healthy tissues

The mechanism responsible for reduced tissue toxicity following FLASH radiotherapy is yet to be clarified

?Modification of the immune response ?

![](_page_29_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_18.jpeg)

- Mean dose rate > 40 Gy/s (total dose/total treatment time)
- Total treatment time ~ 100 ms
- Pulse width 0.1-4 µs
- Dose per pulse > 1-2 Gy
- Dose threshold  $\sim$  4,5 Gy Instantaneous Dose Rate > 106 Gy/s (Dose / pulse width)

ecc..

Combination of different parameters:

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

The tumor was irradiated with 6 MeV electrons. The dose releases was 15 Gy with a mean dose rate equal to 166 Gy/s

### Complete tumor response at 36 days

![](_page_30_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_19.jpeg)

### First FLASH therapy patient

Day 0

3 weeks

5 months

### Test on mini-pig skin

31 Gy 34 Gy 28 Gy

Conventional

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

### No skin reaction in FLASH-RT

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Thin target benchmark**

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Container Control System for IORT FLASH beam** 20/10/2021 33

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Container Control System for IORT FLASH beam** 20/10/2021 34

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Thin target benchmark**

### **FRED-em: e- @ 1 MeV in water**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **FRED-em: e- @ 10 MeV in water**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **FRED-em: e- @ 100 MeV in water**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **FRED-em: ph @ 1 MeV in water**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **FRED-em: ph @ 10 MeV in water**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **FRED-em: ph @ 100 MeV in water**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **FRED-em: IORT applicator**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

Gaia Franciosini **A FEASIBILITY STUDY OF IORT-FLASH USING A GPU-BASE FAST MONTE CARLO 11/04/2022** 41

YZ slice at x=0.00 cm

**Gamma index acceptance criteria: 2 mm/3% with 5% of threshold**

**Gamma index pass-rate: 99.80%**

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **FRED-em: VHEE on CT**

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

Gaia Franciosini **A FEASIBILITY STUDY OF IORT-FLASH USING A GPU-BASE FAST MONTE CARLO 11/04/2022** <sup>42</sup>

**Gamma index acceptance criteria: 2 mm/3% with 5%** 

**of threshold**

**Gamma index pass-rate: 99%**

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **NOVAC 11**

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

The NOVAC 11 (by Sordina IORT Technologies SpA,Aprilia, Italy) is a linear mobile electron accelerator designed for IORT application:

- •Nominal energies: 4, 6, 8 and 10 MeV;
- 
- 21 Gy at 90% isodose).

•The device is able to successfully deliver the full treatment in only 100 seconds (up to

![](_page_42_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_18.jpeg)

### IORT application: NOVAC 11 accelerator

![](_page_42_Picture_19.jpeg)

pulse 4.5 µs Beam Intensity 1.5 mA Dose rate 4-30 Gy/min

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IORT FLASH beam | 02/11/2021 | 43** 

•Able to treat targets volume with a thickness up to  $2.6$  cm inside the 90% isodose;

### **ElectronFlash**

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

Tpulse 0.5-4 µs Beam

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IORT FLASH beam | 02/11/2021 44** 

### Beam 100 mA Istantaneus<br>Intensity 100 mA Dose rate  $7.6 \times 10^6$  Gy/s

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **IOeRT application**

To test the FRED accuracy in reproducing IOeRT dose distributions, I simulated in details the geometry of the applicators typically used during the treatments. To this aim I considered the NOVAC 11 S.I.T. accelerator and its applicators.

- **1. PMMA cylinders** with different
- diameters (from 20 to 100 mm)
- 2. Source-to-Skin Distance (SSD)=80 cm
- 3. Titanium window (55 µm)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

I compared the FRED results against the **experimental data** of the Percentage Depth Doses

![](_page_44_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_20.jpeg)

- -10 MeV electrons beam;
- Gauss section with **FWHM=0.13 cm;**
- Transport and production energy cut  $=$ 10 keV and 50 keV for photons and electrons respectively

### Geometry setup:

4. Four steel planes of the ionizing chamber **(**20 µm each)

### Simulation setup

The experimental setup for relative dosimetry, i.e. PDDs and off-axis profiles measurements consisted of a 3D motorized water phantom equipped with an unshielded diode. For the MC simulation the absorbed dose was evaluated on a water target with a transverse area of 2×2 mm2, corresponding to the sensitive are of the adopted diode

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **IOeRT application: FRED results**

Test performed on CPU

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

The experimental setup for relative dosimetry, i.e. PDDs and off-axis profiles measurements consisted of a 3D motorized water phantom equipped with an unshielded diode. For the MC simulation the absorbed dose was evaluated on a water target with a transverse area of 2×2 mm2, corresponding to the sensitive are of the adopted diode

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **IOeRT application: FRED results**

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam** 1 26/10/2022 <sup>47</sup>

![](_page_46_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **IOeRT application: FRED results**

![](_page_47_Figure_3.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022** 48|

The experimental setup for relative dosimetry, i.e. PDDs and off-axis profiles measurements consisted of a 3D motorized water phantom equipped with an unshielded diode. For the MC simulation the absorbed dose was evaluated on a water target with a transverse area of 2×2 mm2, corresponding to the sensitive are of the adopted diode

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **IOeRT application: FRED results**

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022 | <sup>49</sup> | 2001 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 020 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100 02: 100** 

The experimental setup for relative dosimetry, i.e. PDDs and off-axis profiles measurements consisted of a 3D motorized water phantom equipped with an unshielded diode. For the MC simulation the absorbed dose was evaluated on a water target with a transverse area of 2×2 mm2, corresponding to the sensitive are of the adopted diode

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Neutrons contribution**

### We are below the Giant resonance  $(E < 12$  MeV) and thus the photoneutron production is negligible

**photons:**

### Neutron yield : 0.03 n/primary e-Increased neutron dose: 0.2% @ 150 MeV in water

- **1. 10 MeV < E < 30 MeV GIANT-RESONANCE NEUTRON PRODUCTION 2. 50 MeV < E < 300 MeV**
- **QUASI DEUTERON PRODUCTION AND DECAY**

![](_page_49_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **IOeRT**

Increased equivalent neutron dose: 2% (w=10)

### Negligible contribution

Open Access Review

**Back to the Future: Very High-Energy Electrons** (VHEEs) and Their Potential Application in **Radiation Therapy** by ● Maria Grazia Ronga <sup>1,2</sup> <sup>[2</sup>], ● Marco Cavallone <sup>1</sup> <sup>[2]</sup>, ● Annalisa Patriarca <sup>1 [2]</sup>, Amelia Maia Leite <sup>1,3</sup> <sup>[2]</sup> Pierre Loap <sup>1</sup> <sup>[2]</sup>, 2 Vincent Favaudon <sup>4 [2]</sup>, 2 Gilles Créhange <sup>1</sup> <sup>[2]</sup> and

**Q** Ludovic De Marzi  $1,3,^{\star} \boxtimes$  D

![](_page_49_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_23.jpeg)

**VHEE therapy**

### @ 100 MeV in water

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022 | <sup>50</sup>** 

### In the medical context we have two main photroneutron production processes by the high-energy bremsstrahlung

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Treatment planning configuration**

### Dose prescription  $\overline{M}$  Fast simulation tool

To give a reasonable feedback to the operator I need to be capable to 'optimize' the treatment! How can I identify the ideal energy or ideal applicator position/dimension for that specific treatment? Answering that question means understanding which are the constraints that have to be respected.

> I collaborated with the IOeRT specialists of the European Institute of Oncology (Milan) to define reasonable **dose** prescriptions for the PTV.

![](_page_51_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_9.jpeg)

I developed the optimization tools and the relative algorithms, which are based on different inputs:

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

The **FRED** timing performance is highly compatible with the time available during surgery to explore different treatment configurations (order of few minutes).

![](_page_51_Picture_19.jpeg)

Ultrasound imaging input with reasonable ROIs (PTV and OAR)

![](_page_51_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

Currently, the US system is under optimization and thus not yet available. I used real CT images, modified to meet the expected US imaging resolution

G. Franciosini **Development of a Treatment Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022 | 52** 

**Istituto Europeo** di Oncologia

![](_page_51_Picture_16.jpeg)

IOeRT specialist helped me to define the energies, and the possibile beam delivery configurations (beam dimension and position)

![](_page_51_Figure_22.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_23.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_24.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_25.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_26.jpeg)

### **Breast cancer IOeRT TPS**

Since the US imaging system is today under optimization, to simulate the breast IOeRT treatment, I used a CT of a phantom with a **breast prosthesis** used to simulate a breast surgery attached onto it.

Since the applicator geometry is currently not ready on the GPU code, I simulated the uniform IOeRT irradiation at the exit of the applicator with a **circular beam** (R = available standard applicator radius) generated at  $\sim$  1 cm from the patient skin and in a centered position with respect to the PTV.

![](_page_52_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Needed statistics/GPU time**

The DVHs depend not only on the "geometry considered", i.e. the volume of the PTV and OARs, but also on the simulation statistics.

I therefore performed a scan simulating different number of primaries with fixed energy and geometry to test the stability of the DVHs.

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Needed statistics/GPU time**

The DVHs depend not only on the "geometry considered", i.e. the volume of the PTV and OARs, but also on the simulation statistics.

I therefore performed a scan simulating different number of primaries with fixed energy and geometry to test the stability of the DVHs.

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_61.jpeg)

### G. Franciosini **Control Control Control System for IOeRT FLASH beam | 26/10/2022** | 55

![](_page_54_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

**OSS:** The FRED dose maps in Gy/primary units were multiplied by the number of electrons needed to fulfill the dose prescription: 2.85·10<sup>12</sup>, 3.20⋅1012, 4.40⋅1012 and 5.20⋅1012 for the 70, 80, 90 and 100 mm simulation.

I generated a circular electron beam at  $\sim$  1 cm from the patient skin in a centered position with respect to the PTV.

### **Beam dimension scan**

![](_page_55_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_12.jpeg)

The beam energy was fixed at 8 MeV and I changed only the beam dimension: 70, 80, 90 and 100 mm were selected according to the PTV dimension.

### Dose prescription:  $\bigstar$  20 Gy @ 90%

![](_page_55_Picture_163.jpeg)

## **RP disc impact**

![](_page_56_Picture_46.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Minimally invasive surgery IOeRT-FLASH treatment**

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_49.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Dose report**

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_6.jpeg)