**New Physics Signals 2023** 

# Highlights from the LHCb experiment

Giulia Tuci, on behalf of the LHCb collaboration Rupert Karls Universitaet Heidelberg giulia.tuci@cern.ch

Pisa, 16/02/2023





UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG ZUKUNFT SEIT 1386

#### Introduction

- Why 3 generations of fermions?
- Are there other sources of CPV violation?



- Precision measurements to test SM predictions
- Hadronic machines: large  $b\overline{b}$  (and  $c\overline{c}$ ) production cross section
  - $\rightarrow$  LHCb experiment: forward spectrometer with excellent vertexing,

tracking and particle identification





Giulia Tuci, 16/02/2023

#### Highlights from LHCb

## Not only a flavour experiment

- LHCb has collected ~3 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data in Run1 and ~6 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data in Run2
- Designed to study B mesons decays, but rich physics program!



## Not only a flavour experiment

- LHCb has collected ~3 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data in Run1 and ~6 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data in Run2
- Designed to study B mesons decays, but rich physics program!

- This talk: selected measurements on flavour physics. See also:
  - $\rightarrow$  Alice Biolchini, Lepton flavour universality tests in  $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell$  decays at LHCb experiment
  - $\rightarrow$  Camille Normand, <u>Bs  $\rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$  decay in the high-q2 region</u>
  - → Lisa Fantini, Lepton number and lepton flavour violation searches in B decays at LHCb

# Lepton flavour universality tests



#### LFU tests in LHCb

- EW couplings to leptons "universal" (the only difference is the mass) in the SM \*
- Can be tested in
  - $b \rightarrow c l v$

$$R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathscr{B}(B \to D^{(*)}\tau^+\nu_{\tau})}{\mathscr{B}(B \to D^{(*)}\mu^+\nu_{\mu})}$$

Predicted with a ~1% precision in the SM



 $B^+$ 

 $K^+$ 

 $b \rightarrow sll$  $\rightarrow$  Covered in <u>Alice's talk</u> !

Sensitive to NP coupling to EW penguin LFU tests theoretically clean

$$R_{\rm H} \left[ q_{\rm min}^2, q_{\rm max}^2 \right] = \frac{\int_{q_{\rm min}^2}^{q_{\rm max}^2} \mathrm{d}q^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(B \to H\mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathrm{d}q^2}}{\int_{q_{\rm min}^2}^{q_{\rm max}^2} \mathrm{d}q^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(B \to He^+e^-)}{\mathrm{d}q^2}} \ , \ q^2 = m^2(\ell\ell)$$



#### Highlights from LHCb

 $B^+$ 

 $W^+$ 

 $\bar{u}, \bar{c}, \bar{t}$ 

 $\gamma/Z^0$ 

 $K^+$ 

LQ

#### $b \rightarrow c l v$

Until ~ 1 year ago: longstanding 3.3σ effect



Recent update from LHCb!  $\rightarrow$  3.2 $\sigma$  effect



- First joint measurement of R(D) and R(D\*) at a hadron collider
  - Run 1 (2011-2012) dataset
- **\bullet** Experimental challenge: neutrinos  $\rightarrow$  no narrow peak to fit



Strategy: make 3D template fits to q<sup>2</sup>, missing mass and lepton energy distributions

# **R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>)**

\*

Final result:

- Both precision measurement and inclusive analysis with high statistics
  - > Understanding of background fundamental

 $\mathcal{R}(D^*)=\!\!0.281\pm 0.018\pm 0.024\ \mathcal{R}(D)\!\!=\!\!0.441\pm 0.060\pm 0.066\ 
ho=-0.43$ 

Run 2 measurement ongoing



# **CKM metrology**



# |V<sub>cb</sub>| measurement

 Long-standings tension (~3σ)
 between inclusive and exclusive determinations

- At LHCb
  - >  $|V_{ub}| / |V_{cb}|$  using  $\Lambda^0_b$  decays
  - >  $B_{s}^{0}$  system



# $|V_{cb}|$ via $B^0_s \rightarrow D^*_s \mu v$



- Unreconstructed neutrino  $\rightarrow$  2D fit to
  - p<sub>1</sub>, correlated with hadron recoil
     (preserves FF information)







Limited by external inputs  $\rightarrow$  will benefit from LHCb Run 3 measurement \* on fs/fd and any update on  $K^+K^-\pi^+$  resonance from Belle II

## Measurement of **y** angle



- Accessible studying processes involving only tree-level diagrams
  - ➢ Negligible theoretical uncertainty (<10<sup>-7</sup>) JHEP 1401 (2014) 051
  - > Comparison between direct and indirect determination, obtained using unitarity relation  $\rightarrow$  sensitive to NP



## Measurement of **y** angle

- ♦ Interference between  $b \rightarrow c\overline{u}s$  and  $b \rightarrow u\overline{c}s$  transitions
- $\rightarrow$  occurs when D<sup>0</sup> and  $\overline{D}^0$  decay to the same final state
  - $\succ$  CP eigenstates, e.g. D<sup>0</sup>→ K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>, D<sup>0</sup>→π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>
  - $\succ$  CF or DCS decays, e.g. D<sup>0</sup>→Kπ
  - ▶ self-conjugated 3-body final states, e.g.  $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$





#### LHCb-CONF-2022-003

# **CPV in charm**



## The charm quark

- Charm transitions are a unique portal for obtaining a novel access to flavor dynamics
  - > complementarity with  $K^0$  and  $B^0_{(S)}$
  - ➤ expected CPV in charm  $\leq 10^{-3} \rightarrow$  difficult to observe it experimentally



- Theoretical predictions challenging:
  - non-perturbative QCD regime (mass c-mesons O(2 GeV))
  - $\rightarrow$  large uncertainties

#### First observation of CPV in charm

- Difference in CP asymmetries between  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  and  $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ 
  - > Need to distinguish between  $D^0$  and  $\overline{D}^0$

$$\mathcal{A}^{CP}(f) = \frac{\Gamma(D \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{D} \to \overline{f})}{\Gamma(D \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{D} \to \overline{f})}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{raw} \equiv \frac{N_{D^0} - N_{\overline{D}^0}}{N_{D^0} + N_{\overline{D}^0}}$$

PRL 122 (2019) 211803

$$\mathcal{A}^{raw} pprox \mathcal{A}^{CP} + \mathcal{A}^{prod} + \mathcal{A}^{det}$$



### First observation of CPV in charm

#### PRL 122 (2019) 211803



 $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ 

SM or not? •

- Large samples needed \*
- $\rightarrow$  LHCb: **10<sup>6</sup> cc** pairs per second in acceptance (Run 2) \*

# $A^{CP}$ ( $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ )

- New in Run 2: two independent ways to cancel nuisance asymmetries
  - $\succ \quad D^0 \to K^{-}\pi^{+}, \ D^{+} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}, \ D^{+} \to \overline{K}{}^{0}\pi^{+}$
  - $\succ \quad D^0 \to K^{-}\pi^{+}, \ D_{s}^{-+} \to \Phi\pi^{+}, \ D_{s}^{-+} \to \overline{K}{}^{0}K^{+}$
  - > ~40% improvement of  $\sigma_{stat}$

- Main systematic uncertainties
  - ➤ Kinematic reweighting → reduces also effective yield
  - ➤ Knowledge of detector material → need accurate model in simulation and/or new data-driven approaches









# Looking at the future



LHCb Cumulative Integrated Recorded Luminosity in pp, 2010-2018 2018 (6.5 TeV): 2.19 /fb Integrated Recorded Luminosity (1/fb) 9 2017 (6.5+2.51 TeV): 1.71 /fb + 0.10 /fb 2016 (6.5 TeV): 1.67 /fb 2015 (6.5 TeV): 0.33 /fb 8 2012 (4.0 TeV): 2.08 /fb 7E 2011 (3.5 TeV): 1.11 /fb 2010 (3.5 TeV): 0.04 /fb 6 5 LS1 3 0 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Year

- Run 1+2
  - ➤ Integrated luminosity 9 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Upgrade I
  - ➤ Integrated luminosity ~41 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Upgrade II
  - ➢ Integrated luminosity ~250 fb<sup>-1</sup>

## LHCb experiment in Run 3

- 5x increase in instantaneous luminosity
  - From ~1 PV per event, to ~ 5 PVs per event



#### Highlights from LHCb

## First mass peaks



 Currently working hard on understanding new detector and improving calibration and alignment



Giulia Tuci, 16/02/2023

#### Highlights from LHCb

## LHCb Upgrade II

- Pile-up ~40, 200 Tb/s data produced
- To keep same performance, timing needed in some sub-detectors
  - R&D ongoing on new technologies



#### **Final remarks**

- LHCb is an ideal lab for measurements in flavour sector
  - Presented a selection of world leading results
  - Not covered today, but LHCb showed capabilities that go well beyond its design (e.g. heavy ions, EW physics)
- Now focusing on Run 3 to acquire a larger dataset
- Started R&D towards an even more capable detector

# **Backup slides**



To solve for full B momentum: approximation + knowledge of PV-SV direction



#### Highlights from LHCb

## **Time-integrated CPV: methodology**

Difference of decay rate between two CP conjugate states

$$\mathcal{A}^{CP}(f) = \frac{\Gamma(D \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{D} \to \overline{f})}{\Gamma(D \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{D} \to \overline{f})}$$

Quantity measured

$$\mathcal{A}^{raw} \equiv \frac{N_D - N_{\overline{D}}}{N_D + N_{\overline{D}}}$$

Production asymmetry: initial state pp is not CP symmetric

 $\mathcal{A}^{raw} \approx \mathcal{A}^{CP} + \mathcal{A}^{prod} + \mathcal{A}^{det}$ Asymmetric detector acceptance + material interaction different for particles/antiparticles

## Mixing (and mixing-induced CPV) in $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^{\ 0} \pi^+ \pi^-$

- Can directly measure alle four mixing and CPV parameters:  $x=\Delta m/\Gamma$ ,  $y=\Delta \Gamma/2\Gamma$ , q/p, Φ
- Requires time and phase-space dependent analysis
- Model-independent "bin-flip" method
  - Strong phases constrained from CLEO and BESIII







 $x_{CP}$  = (3.97 ± 0.46 ± 0.29) x 10<sup>-3</sup>

 $y_{CP}^{}$  = (4.59 ± 1.20 ± 0.85) x 10<sup>-3</sup>

## **Prospects**



#### Future prospects (only $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ )

Sample (lumi $\mathcal{L}$ )	Tag	$\sigma( q/p )$	$\sigma(\phi)$
Run 1–3 (23 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mathbf{SL}$	0.036	$2.5^{\circ}$
	Prompt	0.017	$0.77^{\circ}$
Run 1–4 (50 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mathbf{SL}$	0.024	$1.7^{\circ}$
	Prompt	0.011	$0.48^{\circ}$
Run 1–5 (300 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mathbf{SL}$	0.009	0.69°
	Prompt	0.004	$0.18^{\circ}$

#### Physics case for an LHCb Upgrade II