GWADW, Elba, Italy, 23.05.2023

# Digital Discovery of Interferometric Gravitational Wave Detectors

## with Yehonathan Drori, Rana X. Adhikari (Caltech, LIGO)



-7





### MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR THE SCIENCE OF LIGHT

# Mario Krenn

Artificial Scientist Lab, Theory Division

@mariokrenn6240 http://mariokrenn.wordpress.com/

## **Computer-designed quantum experiments**

<u>MK</u>, Malik, Fickler, Lapkiewicz, Zeilinger, *PRL* **116**, 090405 (2016). <u>MK</u>, Erhard, Zeilinger, *Nature Reviews Physics* **2**, 649 (2020).

First experimental multipartite high-dimensional entanglement



New ways to control & measure photons





First multipartite high-dim entanglement in superconducting Quantum Computer

Computer-designed GW detectors: The enormous search space

## **Some examples (without symmetry):** 3 lasers, 3 BS, 3 detectors: 1000 combinations

**Computer-designed GW detectors:** The enormous search space

## Some examples (without symmetry):

### 3 lasers, 3 BS, 3 detectors: 1000 combinations

5 lasers, 5 BS, 5 detectors: 81,000 combinations (!)

# **Computer-designed GW detectors:** The enormous search space

Mario Krenn



### Some examples (without symmetry):

3 lasers, 3 BS, 3 detectors: 1000 combinations

5 lasers, 5 BS, 5 detectors: 81,000 combinations (!)

## Computer-designed GW detectors: The enormous search space

Mario Krenn



### Some examples (without symmetry):

3 lasers, 3 BS, 3 detectors: 1000 combinations

5 lasers, 5 BS, 5 detectors: 81,000 combinations (!)

## **Computer-designed GW detectors:**

**Discrete & continuous optimization problem:** 

Finding suitable topology and parameters

## **Computer-designed GW detectors:**

**Discrete & continuous optimization problem:** 

Finding suitable topology and parameters

### **Reformulation as quasi-continuous problem**



## **Computer-designed GW detectors:**

### **Discrete & continuous optimization problem:**

### Finding suitable topology and parameters

## A) Universal Interferometer (UIFO) Detection Cell Ø

## **Voyager-like constraints**

	Min	Мах
Optical path	1 cm	4 km
Mass	10 g	200 kg
Loss	5 ppm	
Transmissivity	15 ppm	
Squeezing		10 dB
Power Transmission		2 kW
Circulating power		3.5 MW

## **Computer-designed GW detectors:** Simulation assumptions

Finesse2 (assuming plane wave, assuming free masses, single carrier frequency)

$$Sensitivity = \frac{response}{noise}$$

**Response**: modulating the spaces & measuring the demodulated signal at the readout

Quantum Noise: computed by Finesse

**Classical noise:** projecting laser noises (taken from O3 performance paper [PRD *102*(6), 062003, 2020]) of all the sources on to the readout. Thermal and seismic noise added to noise budget, from same paper.

### Maximization of strain sensitivity in frequency range, under constraints

- Pool of 1000s initial conditions
- Simultaneous 1000 local optimization of parameter

(gradients & higher-order derivatives, similar to NN)

### Maximization of strain sensitivity in frequency range, under constraints

- Pool of 1000s initial conditions
- Simultaneous 1000 **local** optimization of parameter

(gradients & higher-order derivatives, similar to NN)

• **Global:** Create new slightly simplified instance of good solutions

(speeds up optimization)

### Maximization of strain sensitivity in frequency range, under constraints

- Pool of 1000s initial conditions
- Simultaneous 1000 **local** optimization of parameter

(gradients & higher-order derivatives, similar to NN)

- **Global:** Create new slightly simplified instance of good solutions (speeds up optimization)
- **Global:** After convergence, choice of new (good) initial condition with noise (kicks out of local minima)

**Compare to:** Voyager and Voyager local optimized every improvement must be new superior topology.

### Maximization of strain sensitivity in frequency range, under constraints

- Pool of 1000s initial conditions
- Simultaneous 1000 **local** optimization of parameter

(gradients & higher-order derivatives, similar to NN)

- **Global:** Create new slightly simplified instance of good solutions (speeds up optimization)
- **Global:** After convergence, choice of new (good) initial condition with noise (kicks out of local minima)

**Compare to:** Voyager and Voyager local optimized every improvement must be new superior topology.





## Computer-designed GW detectors: Results: 20Hz-5kHz



10<sup>1</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

Frequency [Hz]

10<sup>3</sup>

## Computer-designed GW detectors: Results: 200Hz-1kHz



## **Computer-designed GW detectors: Results**



### 2kHz-3kHz

### 800Hz-3kHz



## Computer-designed GW detectors: Results



### 800Hz-3kHz



## Computer-designed GW detectors: Conclusion

### **Top-Solution Setups:**

Can they be conceptualized? Are they new? Are they useful? We have about 100 different solutions each might contain new ideas we could discover.

# Computer-designed GW detectors: Conclusion

### **Top-Solution Setups:**

Can they be conceptualized? Are they new? Are they useful? We have about 100 different solutions each might contain new ideas we could discover.

### The larger and more complex the search space,

### the larger the chances for *highly unorthodox solutions*

- Beyond free masses
- Beyond single carrier frequency
- Generalized measurements
- Two-mode squeezing & entanglement
- Nonlinear optics

# Computer-designed GW detectors: Conclusion

### **Top-Solution Setups:**

Can they be conceptualized? Are they new? Are they useful? We have about 100 different solutions each might contain new ideas we could discover.

### The larger and more complex the search space,

### the larger the chances for *highly unorthodox solutions*

- Beyond free masses
- Beyond single carrier frequency
- Generalized measurements
- Two-mode squeezing & entanglement
- Nonlinear optics

### **Speed-up exploration**

- Finesse-based speedup? Other simulation frameworks?
- <u>ML-based speedup</u>: Effective neural-network surrogate model, large language models for suggesting initial conditions

## Computer-designed GW detectors: aLIGO in UIFO



## **Computer-designed GW detectors: Noise and responsivity (Broadband)**





## **Computer-designed GW detectors: Noise and responsivity (Narrow Band)**



