

Marc Andrés-Carcasona <u>mandres@ifae.es</u>



Work in collaboration with A. Macquet (IFAE), M. Martínez (IFAE, ICREA), LI. M. Mir (IFAE) and H. Yamamoto (Caltech)

## GWADW - 2023

Hotel Hermitage, La Biodola, Isola d'Elba - 22/05/2023



### Introduction

Baffle design and location

Mirror quality

Seismic motion

Analytic and numerical studies

Results



Variable	ET-HF	ET-LF	Units	Description
m	200	211	[kg]	Mirror mass.
L	10	10	[km]	Length of an arm.
$\lambda$	1064	1550	[nm]	Wavelength of the laser.
$R_m$	31	22.5	[cm]	Radii of the mirrors.
$R_1$	5070	5580	[m]	Radius of curvature of the ITM mirror.
$R_2$	5070	5580	[m]	Radius of curvature of the ETM mirror.
R	0.5	0.5	[m]	Radius of the vacuum pipe.
$A_b$	0.84	0.84	[m]	Baffle inner aperture.
dH	1	1	[cm]	Safety margin of the baffle height.
$\phi$	55	55	[deg]	Inclination angle of the baffles.
$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}Q}$	$10^{-2}$	$10^{-2}$	$[\mathrm{str}^{-1}]$	BRDF of the baffles.
$\frac{\Delta M_{bs}}{P_{circ}}$	3000	18	[kW]	Circulating power inside the cavity.

# INTRODUCTION









For the current design we consider 50 m between baffles in the middle section of the tube. This implies that the number of baffles are of 238 and 216 per arm in ET-HF and ET-LF, respectively. The total number of baffles for the xylophone configuration is then 1362.

**BEAM PROFILE** 

$$P(r,z) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{2P_{0}}{\pi w_{0}^{2}} \left(\frac{w_{0}}{w(z)}\right)^{2} \exp\left(\frac{-2r'^{2}}{w(z)^{2}}\right) r' dr' d\varphi$$

$$r(z,L_{c}) = \frac{w(z)}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-P/P_{0}}\right)}$$

$$\frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{B}\right]} \frac{1}{00} \frac{1}{00} \frac{1}{00} \frac{1}{00} \frac{1}{00} \frac{1}{00} \frac{1}{1-P/P_{0}} \frac{1}{1-P/P_{$$



### MIRROR'S QUALITY



The surface roughness can be quantified using the so-called mirrormaps. These are two dimensional arrays containing the height of the deviation from a perfect surface at each point.

In this work the expected quality of the mirrors of Virgo in O5 is used. These are the mirrormaps of Virgo in O3, the expected one for O5 and their corresponding one-dimensional PSD.



This PSD has been computed using SIS, a code made by H. Yamamoto (Caltech)

### MIRROR'S QUALITY

The scattered light depends on the finite aperture of the mirror and the surface aberration. A way to quantify it is by using the bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF):







This BRDF has been computed using SIS, a code made by H. Yamamoto (Caltech)

#### PROPOSED SITES



The seismic noise must be upconverted or phase-wrapped to obtain the effective displacement. This can be done applyin the following formula (<u>LIGO-T1900854</u>)

$$X(f) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \sqrt{\text{PSD}\left[\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{\lambda}X(t)\right)\right] + \text{PSD}\left[\cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{\lambda}X(t)\right)\right]}$$



The original noises are shown in <u>Seismological Research Letters (2021) 92 (1): 352–364</u> (Saredegna) and <u>Class. Quantum Grav. 39 025008</u> (Euregio) and were kindly provided to us by A. Grado and S. Koley

DIFFRACTION







Very risky! Too close the margin. Safer to servate it

Power reaching each baffle. Can be computed either analytically with the BRDF or via numerical simulations



# BACKSCATTERING



 $\frac{1}{y}$  [m]

-0.5

0 \_x [m]

0.5

(SIS) developed by H. Yamamoto (Caltech).









Can be obtained as the quotient between the safety margin and the noise estimation.



### CONCLUSIONS

#### **CONSIDERATIONS:**

- Conservative BRDF of baffles assumed
- Using 90%CL seismic noise

### CAVEATS:

- Still 10% of seismic noise can be greater.
- No information of the transfer functions from the ground to the baffles. Are some frequencies enhanced? Will this reach the margin?
- Still there are many uncertainties in the modelling. Numerical tools are still far of being able to simulate the entire cavity.
- The mirrormaps used are the projected ones, no information of their final quality until built will be available.
- The conditions assumed are perfect (i.e. no point absorbers, point scatterers, no beam off-set...), the presence of such non-idealities might change the conclusions.

### CONCLUSIONS

We have shown an estimation of the effects that the main sources of scattered light can have inside the FP cavities of ET. The most relevant noise expected is that of backscattering from the baffles.

If the design here proposed is followed, the scattered light noise is expected to be subdominant at all relevant frequencies. Still, the nonidealized case should be further explored and a cost-benefit analysis carried out.





Marc Andrés-Carcasona <u>mandres@ifae.es</u>



Work in collaboration with A. Macquet (IFAE), M. Martínez (IFAE, ICREA), LI. M. Mir (IFAE) and H. Yamamoto (Caltech)

## GWADW - 2023

Hotel Hermitage, La Biodola, Isola d'Elba - 22/05/2023



- □ Optical schemes make use of the ComponentLibrary. ComponentLibrary by Alexander Franzen is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License.
- $\Box$  ET new sensitivity curves have been extracted from <u>ET-0007B-23</u> using <u>pygwinc</u>.
- $\Box$  Further details about SIS can be found in <u>LIGO-T2000311</u> and <u>LIGO-T070039</u>.