Quantum Machine Learning: from theory to practice @ CERN

Michele Grossi, PhD

Quantum Computing Scientist CERN IT Innovation







- CERN Quantum Technology Initiative
- QML General Consideration
- Examples from CERN



CERN Quantum Technology Initiative

Discussions about a Quantum Technology Initiative took place in 2020 with representatives of quantum initiatives in the CERN Member States, the CERN community, the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid, the CERN Scientific Computing Forum, with LHC experiments and the HEP Software Foundation



https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5553774



Scientific Objectives



- Assess the areas of potential quantum advantage in HEP applications (QML, classification, anomaly detection, tracking)
- Co-develop common libraries of algorithms, methods, tools; benchmark as technology evolves
- Collaborate to the development of shared, hybrid classic-quantum infrastructures

- Identify and develop techniques for quantum simulation in collider physics, QCD, cosmology within and beyond the SM
- Co-develop quantum computing and sensing approaches by providing theoretical foundations to the identifications of the areas of interest

- Develop and promote expertise in quantum sensing in low- and highenergy physics applications
- Develop quantum sensing approaches with emphasis on low-energy particle physics measurements
- Assess novel technologies and materials for HEP applications

Sensing, Metrology & Materials



- Co-develop CERN technologies relevant to quantum infrastructures (time synch, frequency distribution, lasers)
- Contribute to the deployment and validation of quantum infrastructures
- Assess requirements and impact of quantum communication on computing applications (security, privacy)

Communications & Networks

Computing & Algorithms

QUANTUM

TECHNOLOGY

Simulation & Theory

CERN IBM Quantum Hub



Since 2021 CERN is a "Hub Member" in the IBM Quantum Network and has welcome two new members in 2022

A project-based hub dedicated to quantum computing applications to fundamental physics research, computational chemistry, computational biology, and related fields







ISTITUTO ITALIANO DI TECNOLOGIA



International Conference on Quantum Technologies for High-Energy Physics (QT4HEP22)



Enter your search term

Q

1–4 Nov 2022 CERN Europe/Zurich timezone There is a live webcast for this event.

Overview

Poster session

Call for poster abstracts

Student grants

Timetable

My Conference

My Contributions

Registration

Privacy Information

Invitation letters for visa

How to get to CERN

Wireless access

Lodging

Financial Sponsorships

Swiss power plugs

Contact

QT4HEP-conference@c...

QUANTUM TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE



Registration deadline extended until Friday, 28 October for the International Conference on Quantum Technology for High-Energy Physics, which will be hosted at CERN on 1–4 November 2022.

Following CERN's successful workshop on quantum computing in 2018, this is the first edition of the #QT4HEP conference taking place to further investigate the nascent quantum technology and its great promise to support scientific research.

Bringing the whole community together, we aim to foster common activities and knowledge sharing, discuss the recent developments in the quantum science field and keep looking for activities within HEP — and beyond — that can most benefit from the application of quantum technologies.





Computing & Algorithms

Assess the areas of potential quantum advantage in HEP classification, anomaly detection, clustering, generative model

Collaborate to the development of shared, hybrid classic-quantum infrastructures

 (c_i)

i Ux + - Utt + W

Ux - d3 (Utte +

 $> 45_{n}^{2}($

Develop common libraries of algorithms methods, tools benchmark classical frameworks and automatize procedure on Hardware $|2\psi| = ZRe\left(|R(x,t)\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \psi}dt\right)$

+ 120

 $\mathcal{L}_{N} = \overline{N} (i \mathcal{V}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - M) N$ Lett = 1 2 L2 + 1 L4 Type of Algorithm $\frac{\Lambda_1}{2M_{W}^2}$ quantumclassical uantumイトレエレーサリ(リエンド)

M.Grossi - DC@IN



CERN Quantum Technology Initiative

- QML General Consideration
- Examples from CERN



You got your data: what's next?





Machine Learning Model Lyfecycle





Quantum Machine Learning Model Lyfecycle



QML models implementations for NISQ

Variational algorithms - EXPLICIT

- Flexible parametric ansatz: design can leverage data symmetries^{1,2}
- Can use gradient-free methods or stochastic gradient-descent
- Data Embedding can be learned
- Better generalization^{2,3}



A linear classifier in the quantum feature space!

1-A. Bogatskiy et al. "Lorentz group equivariant neural network for particle physics." PMLR, 2020

- 2-J. Meyer et al "Exploiting symmetry in variational quantum machine learning", <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.06217</u>
- 3-S.Jerbi at all., Quantum Machine Learning Beyond Kernel Methods <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.13162</u>
- 4- Glick, Jennifer R., et al. "Covariant quantum kernels for data with group structure." arXiv:2105.03406 (2021)



QML models implementations for NISQ

Variational algorithms - EXPLICIT

- Flexible parametric ansatz: design can leverage data symmetries^{1,2}
- Can use gradient-free methods or stochastic gradient-descent
- Data Embedding can be learned

OUANTUM

TECHNOLOGY

• Better generalization^{2,3}



https://github.com/fizisist/LorentzGroupNetwork



A unitary representation of a symmetry group S can arise from data symmetries when the data points are suitably encoded or alternatively from physical considerations of a variational problem².

1-A. Bogatskiy et al. "Lorentz group equivariant neural network for particle physics." PMLR, 2020
2-J. Meyer et al "Exploiting symmetry in variational quantum machine learning", <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.06217</u>
3-S.Jerbi at all., Quantum Machine Learning Beyond Kernel Methods <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.13162</u>
4- Glick, Jennifer R., et al. "Covariant quantum kernels for data with group structure." arXiv:2105.03406 (2021)



QML models implementations for NISQ

QUANTUM

TECHNOLOGY





- CERN Quantum Technology Initiative
- QML General Consideration
- Examples from CERN



Supervised class data

Double beta decay search at DUNE

- Evidence of **v** as maiorana particles and lepton number violation
- Visible at Dune Liquid Xe TPC
- Largest background is single β emission (⁴²Ar, neutrons, solar neutrinos, etc..) + $vv\beta\beta$
- MC-based study with realistic **detector spatial** resolution (5mm)
- DATA PREPARATION: **CNNs** and **Transformers (Attention Network)**



Picture from: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/11/6/2455/htm#



M.Grossi - QC@INFN BO - CERN QTI

10

7.5 5.0

2.5

0.0

-2.5 -5.0

-7.5

Double beta decay search at DUNE

- Comprehensive study on **QSVM performance**
 - Kernels
 - Input features
 - Circuit depth
- Kernel design via genetic optimisation
 - Binary representation of the feature map circuit

1 0

• Test on IBM Lagos



0 1

Offspring



M.Grossi - QC@INFN_BO - CERN QTI

0 1

1 0

10

100

Some results



- QSVM is as good as classical
- Increasing number of qubits does not improve accuracy at convergence: can lead to overfitting
- Results seems driven by the **feature map performance**





Supervised c data A priori methodology to assess geometry test Quantum Advantage (QA) $g_{CQ} \propto \sqrt{N}$ $g_{CO} \ll \sqrt{N}$ complexity test dimensionality From complexity-theoretical argument it test can be proved a rigorous prediction $s_c \ll N$ $s_Q \ll N$ else $d \ll N$ else error upper bound which defines the $s_c \approx N$ metrics defined in [1], implemented in [2] $|\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}|h(\mathbf{x}) - y(\mathbf{x})| \le \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{s_{K,\lambda}(N)}{N}}\right)$ Classical Classical VX Classical 🗸 Classical Potential Quantum QA • Geometric Difference – $g_{CQ}(\lambda)$ Constraints: Encoding (feature) map of classical and Approximate Dimension – d quantum kernels Data structure - complex distribution function, • Model Complexity – $s_{K,\lambda}(N)$ dimensionality of the input space... [1] HY. Huang et al, Nature Communication 12, 2631 (2021) Optimization of relevant parameters λ , γ [2] F.Di Marcantonio et all., QuASK -- arXiv:2206.15284

https://quask.readthedocs.io/en/latest/#

DUANTUM



RBF - N:200

FO - N:200

LPO - N:200

8

2

- HEP observation:
- Quantum kernels have moderate g_{QC} Geometric Difference - tuned γ , λ RBF-FQ - N:200 g (geometric difference) D RBF-LPQ - N:200 10° \sqrt{N} $s_{\rm K}$ (model complexity) 10_1 10_1 10_1 10⁰ 12 14 16 18 20 2 6 10 10^{0} n (system size) [2] V. Belis et al, EPJ Web Conf **251**, 03070 (2021)

[3] F.Di Marcantonio et al., in preparation



Worse performance than the classical counterpart, no QA

EXAMPLE: QSVM for the tt $\rightarrow H \rightarrow$ (bb) event classification [2,3]



Quantum machine learning for quantum data



Huang, et al., Science 376, 6598 (2022)

- 1. Work directly with quantum states.
- 2. Bypass any classical processing.

Task: Drawing phase diagrams

- 1. Supervised classification using a convolutional QNN using the groundstates as input data.
- 2. Advantageous since quantum states are exponentially hard to save classically.
- 3. Bottleneck: we need access to classical training labels! Interpolation does not work

Cong, et al., Nat. Phys. 15, 1273–1278 (2019)



QML for OO Generalization

- Train in easy (integrable) subregions
- Generalize to a full model¹
- Model: Axial Next Nearest Neighbor Ising (ANNNI) Hamiltonian:

$$H = J \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_x^i \sigma_x^{i+1} - \kappa \sigma_x^i \sigma_x^{i+2} + h \sigma_z^i,$$

Senk, Physics Reports, 170, 4 (1988)

Which is integrable for $\kappa = 0$ or h = 0.



QUANTUM

TECHNOLOGY

Variational quantum data CONVOLUTION POOLING VQE CCONVOLUTION POOLING C $\ket{0}^{\otimes N}$ $|\psi(heta;\kappa,h) angle$ RÐ R-P7 FCC p_j Binary Cross-entropy Labels: [0,1] ferromagnetic Loss: $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_X^n|} \sum_{(\kappa,h)\in\mathcal{S}_X^n} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j(\kappa,h) \log(p_j(\kappa,h))$ - [1,0] antiphase [1,1] paramagnetic [0,0] trash label

Monaco, Kiss, Mandarino, Vallecorsa, Grossi, arXiv: 2208.08748 (2022)

Results

Size of training set **QCNN** (95%) Autoencoder 2.02.0QCNN, N = 6AD, N = 61.0 Training Points S_U^{14} + Initial state $|\psi\rangle$ 1.5 -1.50.8 (a) Accuracy 9.0 para. para. a 1.0 $1.0 \cdot$ *N* = 6 0.4N = 60.5 -0.5 0.2 \mathcal{S}_{U}^{n} (Uniform) 0.2anti anti. ferro. \mathcal{S}_{G}^{n} (Gaussian) 0.0 0.0 0.00.750.25 0.752 3 4 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 0.25 0.51.00.0 0.51.0 Training Points n κ κ 2.02.0AD, N = 12OCNN, N = 12(e) 1.0 + Initial state $|\psi\rangle$ Training Points S_{II}^{14} -0.81.5 1.5 0.80.6 para. para. Accuracy (d) a 1.0 1.0 *N* = 12 -0.4N = 120.5-0.5 0.4 -0.2 \mathcal{S}_{U}^{n} (Uniform) anti anti. ferro. \mathcal{S}_{G}^{n} (Gaussian) 0.20.00.00.02 3 4 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 0.75 0.25 0.0 0.250.50.751.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 Training Points n κ κ

Monaco, Kiss, Mandarino, Vallecorsa, Grossi, arXiv: 2208.08748 (2022)

OUANTUM

TECHNOLOGY

Learn a similarity function between the data.

Kottman, et al., Phys. Rev. Research 3, 043184 (2021)

 ^{1.0} Conclusions
 ^{1.0} 1. Out of Distribution Generalization [M..Caro et al., Outof-distribution generalization for learning quantum dynamics,
 ^{0.4} https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.10268]

2. Performance increases with the system's size.

3. Adresses the bottlneck of needing expensive training labels.

4. QCNN gives quantitative predictions

[Banchi et all., Generalization in Quantum Machine Learning: A Quantum Information Standpoint, PRX QUANTUM 2, 040321 (2021)]



Characterize Quantum Advantage

- Classical machine learning models can often compete or outperform existing quantum models even on data sets generated by quantum evolution
- Large quantum Hilbert space in existing quantum models can result in significantly inferior prediction performance compared to classical machines: expressivity of QML hinder generalization
- We need a methodology for assessing the potential for quantum advantage in prediction on <u>learning</u> tasks
- Are there alternative research questions beyond the goal of beating classical machine learning?



Exclusion Region for QML in HEP?

- Classical intractability: what useful problems can we solve on a quantum computer that we cannot on a classical computer?
- Innovation: what new algorithms can we come up with?
- Computational complexity: how can we obtain certain speedups?
- Where **QML** is the right solution to our problem?





THANK YOU michele.grossi@cern.ch CERN Quantum Technology Initiative

Accelerating Quantum Technology Research and Applications



QUANTUM MACHINE LEARNING





