

Outline

- Axions and axion-like particles
- Part I: Spectral effects
 - Active Galactic Nuclei
 - Galaxy Clusters
 - Extragalactic space
 - Milky Way and total effect
 - Pulsars
 - Final remarks
- Part II: Polarization effects
 - Galaxy Clusters
 - Blazars
 - Final remarks
- Conclusions

Axions and axion-like particles

Axions and axion-like particles

- QCD nonperturbative effects produce **CP violation** in the strong sector measured by the angle θ
- **BUT** experimentally, $|\theta| < 10^{-10} \rightarrow$ fine tuning needed \rightarrow **Strong CP problem**
- Proposed solution \rightarrow new Peccei-Quinn symmetry U(1)_{PQ} for the Lagrangian
- Symmetry broken → new particle: the **axion**
- Axion mass and axion-two-photon coupling are *related*
- Axions interact with fermions and gluons
- Axion-like particles have same properties but their mass m_a and two-photon coupling $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ are *unrelated*

Axion-like particles (ALPs)

- Predicted by String Theory
- Very light particles ($m_a < 10^{-8} \text{ eV}$)
- Spin o
- **Interaction with** two **photons** (coupling $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$)
- Interactions with other particles negligible
- Possible candidate for dark matter
- Induce the change of the polarization state of photons



In an external B field

Photon-ALP oscillations



ALPs in astrophysical contest

- ALPs very elusive in laboratory experiments (low coupling) → astrophysical environment is the best opportunity to study ALPs and ALP effects (for free)
- Photon/ALP beam in the energy band E >> m_a
- For E < 10 GeV \rightarrow negligible photon absorption due to EBL, BLR, ...
 - Photon-ALP interaction produces effective photon absorption
- For E > 10 GeV \rightarrow photons absorbed by EBL, BLR, ...
 - Photon-ALP oscillations increase medium transparency

• IMPLICATIONS for:

- Spectra of Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) → HINT at ALP existence
- Propagation of photon/ALP beam in AGN jets, galaxy clusters, extragalactic space, Milky Way → HINT at ALP existence
- Transparency of the Universe
- Photon polarization

ALP limits

- Lack of detection of ALPs from the Sun [1] and stellar evolution [2] $g_{a\gamma\gamma} < 0.66 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_a < 0.02 \text{ eV}$
- Unobserved spectral alterations induced by ALPs in the Perseus clusters [3] $g_{ayy} < 5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for $5 \times 10^{-10} < m_a < 5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ eV}$
- Unobserved ALP-induced spectral modifications on photons from AGN in or behind galaxy clusters, see e.g. [4,5] $g_{a\gamma\gamma} < O(10^{-12}) \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_a < O(10^{-12}) \text{ eV}$
- *Lack of detection of gamma rays from supernova SN1987A [6] $g_{a\gamma\gamma} < 5.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_a < 4.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$

Anastassopoulos et al. 2017
 Ayala et al. 2014
 Ajello et al. 2016

[4] Conlon et al. 2017
[5] Sisk-Reynés et al. 2022
[6] Payez et al. 2015

ALP-induced irregularities



• Spectral/polarization effects investigated in: The CTA Consortium, JCAP 02, 048 (2021) [arXiv: 2010.01349].

G. Galanti, arXiv:2202.11675.; G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio, arXiv:2202.12286.

No/low EBL absorption

Photon-ALP conversion probability $P_{\gamma \rightarrow a}(E, m_a, g_{a\gamma\gamma}, B)$

- Highlighted zones predict spectral irregularities and polarization features in observational data
- Constraints on $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ and m_a but the firmest is $g_{a\gamma\gamma} < 6.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_a < 0.02 \text{ eV}$ (CAST collaboration, 2017)

RED AREA:

• Spectral effects investigated in:

G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, C. Evoli, MNRAS 487, 123 (2019) [arXiv: 1811.03548].

G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Landoni, MNRAS 491, 5268 (2020) [arXiv:1911.09056].

Higher EBL absorption

Part I: Spectral effects

y: photon a: ALP absorption: $\gamma + \gamma_{soft} \rightarrow e^{i}$ γ_{soft}: EBL, BLR

$B_{clu} = O(10) \, \mu G$

Galaxy cluster:

M. Meyer, D. Montanino, J. Conrad, JCAP 09, 003 (2014) [arXiv: 1406.5972].

G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio, arXiv: 2202.12286. Soft

RNNNNNNN *B*_{jet} = *O*(1) G

$B_{\rm ext} = O(1) \, \rm nG$

Blazar:

NNN

F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, G. Galanti, Phys. Lett. B 744, 375 (2015) [arXiv: 1406.2303].

Milky Way:

G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, C. Evoli, MNRAS 487, 123 (2019) [arXiv: 1811.03548].

g_{aγγ}: γγα coupling E: y electric field B: external magnetic field $\mathcal{L}_{ay} = g_{ayy} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a}$

 $B_{MW} = O(1) \mu G$

Extragalactic space:

G. Galanti and M. Roncadelli, Phys. Rev. D 98, 043018 (2018) [arXiv: 1804.09443].

G. Galanti and M. Roncadelli, JHEAp, 20 1-17 (2018) [arXiv: 1805.12055].

Active Galactic Nuclei

Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN)

- Super massive black holes $(10^6 10^9 M_{\odot})$
- Accretion disk
- Two collimated jets
- Photons produced at the jet base

BL Lacs:

- No broad line region (BLR)
- No dusty torus
- Absorption due to the extragalactic background light (EBL) for *E* > 100 GeV

Flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs):

- Absorption due to the BLR for *E* > 20 GeV
- Absorption due to the dusty torus for *E* > 300 GeV
- Absorption due to the EBL for *E* > 100 GeV





ALPs in BL Lacs

- Photons produced at $d_{\text{VHE}} = 10^{16} \text{ cm}$ from the centre
- $B_{jet} = 0.1 1$ G and scales as 1/distance
- Electron density n_e = 5 X 10⁴ cm⁻³ and scales as 1/distance²
- Lorentz factor $\Gamma = 15$
- Photon-ALP conversion inside B_{jet}
- $m_a < O(10^{-10} \text{ eV})$
- Amount of **ALPs produced in the source** strongly depends on d_{VHE} , B_{jet} , $g_{a\gamma\gamma} = 1/M$



F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli and G. Galanti, *Photons to axion-like particles conversion in Active Galactic Nuclei*, Phys. Lett. B 744, 375 (arXiv: 1406.2303) (2015).

ALPs in FSRQs

- High BLR absorption → no photons with *E* > 20 GeV predicted BUT
- Photons observed up to 400 GeV
- Why? Photon/ALP conversions?
- $B_{jet} = 0.2$ G and scales as 1/distance
- $g_{a\gamma\gamma} = 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}, m_a < O(10^{-10} \text{ eV})$
- BLR $n_{e,BLR} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- Photon-ALP conversion before the BLR – reconversion outside BLR
- BLR absorption **REDUCED**
- Physically motivated flux (SED)
- First hint for ALP existence

F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, G. Galanti and G. Bonnoli, *Evidence for an axion-like particle from PKS* 1222+216?, Phys. Rev. D, 86, 085036 (arXiv: 1202.6529) (2012).



-13

-14

10

15

14

25

20

 $Log \nu$ [Hz]

Galaxy Clusters

ALP irregularities in galaxy clusters?

- Perseus cluster¹
- NGC 1275 (central galaxy) \rightarrow bright gamma-ray emitter
- Cluster central magnetic field $B_{clu,o} = O(10) \mu G$
- $B_{clu} \ge 2 \ \mu G$
- Turbulent *B*_{clu} profile
- Photon/ALP beam propagation in the Perseus B_{clu} and Milky Way B_{MW} magnetic fields
- Extragalactic magnetic field B_{ext} not considered
- EBL absorption (but negligible, redshift $z \approx 0.02$)

ALP irregularities in galaxy clusters? (2)



- Photon-ALP conversion probability $P_{\gamma \rightarrow a}(E, m_a, g_{a\gamma\gamma}, B_{clu})$
- Highlighted zone predicts spectral irregularities in observational data
- Constraints on g_{ayy} and m_a



Ajello et al. 2016

Extragalactic space

Extragalactic Background Light (EBL)

 $M(\nu) (Watt/m^2/sr)$

- Direct product of the stellar radiation and light absorbed and reradiated by the dust during the whole cosmic evolution
- From FIR to UV (0.005 eV
 5 eV)
- VHE photon absorption $\gamma_{VHE} + \gamma_{EBL} \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$
- VHE photon flux dimming
- e.g. Domìnguez et al. 2011 Gilmore et al. 2012 Franceschini & Rodighiero 2017



Franceschini & Rodighiero 2017

Domain-like magnetic fields



- Norm ||B|| is constant in each domain of length L_{dom}
 - B orientation angle φ varies from a domain to the following
 - Old sharp model with discontinuous transitions
- New model for astrophysical magnetic fields B
- **Domain-like** model **but** now **with continuous components** of **B**
- Useful for: extragalactic space, spiral and elliptical galaxies, radio lobes
- L_{dom} and ϕ are **random variables**

G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, *Behavior of axion-like particles in smoothed out domain-like magnetic fields*, Phys. Rev. D 98, 043018 (arXiv: 1804.09443) (2018).

Propagation in the extragalactic space

- Extragalactic magnetic field $B_{\text{ext}} = O(1 \text{ nG})$
- L_{dom} with distribution $L_{\text{dom}}^{-1.2}$, $\langle L_{\text{dom}} \rangle = 2$ Mpc
- Last data on EBL
- CMB photon dispersion considered ($\propto E$)
- $\xi = (B_{T,ext}/nG)(g_{a\gamma\gamma} \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}) = 0.5 5$
- $m_a < O(10^{-10} \text{ eV})$
- Redshift *z* = 0.02 2



Photon-ALP oscillations increase Universe transparency

G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, *Extragalactic photon-axion-like particle oscillations up to 1000 TeV*, JHEAp, 201-17 (arXiv: 1805.12055) (2018).

Redshift z = 0.1



G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, *Extragalactic photon–axion-like particle oscillations up to 1000 TeV*, JHEAp, 20 1-17 (arXiv: 1805.12055) (2018).

Redshift z = 0.5



G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, *Extragalactic photon-axion-like particle oscillations up to 1000 TeV*, JHEAp, 201-17 (arXiv: 1805.12055) (2018).

Anomalous z dependence of Blazars

- We consider all BL Lacs with strong VHE spectrum:
 - In flare
 - *E* > 100 GeV
 - redshift up to z = 0.6
- Emitted spectra \rightarrow **power law** $\Phi_{\rm em}(E) = \hat{K}_{\rm em} E^{-\Gamma_{\rm em}}$
- Observed spectrum \rightarrow power law $\Phi_{obs}(E_0, z) = \hat{K}_{obs}(z) E_0^{-\Gamma_{obs}(z)}$
- Emitted observed spectrum relation

 $\Phi_{\text{obs}}(E_0, z) = P_{\gamma \to \gamma}(E_0, z) \Phi_{\text{em}}(E_0(1+z))$

- We **deabsorb** the **observed spectrum**:
 - if no ALPs \rightarrow EBL absorption only
 - with ALPs → EBL absorption and photon-ALP oscillations

G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, A. De Angelis, G. F. Bignami, *Hint at an axion-like particle from the redshift depencence of blazar* spectra, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 493, 1553 (arXiv: 1503.04436) (2020).

Anomalous z dependence of Blazars (2)

emitted slopes

Conventional Physics (CP):



G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, A. De Angelis, G. F. Bignami, *Hint at an axion-like particle from the redshift depencence of blazar* spectra, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 493, 1553 (arXiv: 1503.04436) (2020).

Propagation in the extragalactic space (2)

- For E > 40 TeV only the new continuous B_{ext} model gives physical results about the photon survival probability
- If photon-ALP conversion too efficient → many photons (reconverted back from ALPs) are absorbed by the EBL
- Universe transparency still increased by photon-ALP oscillations even in the presence of CMB photon dispersion
- Second hint for ALP existence coming from the solution of the anomalous redshift dependence of blazar spectra

G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, *Behavior of axion-like particles in smoothed out domain-like magnetic fields*, Phys. Rev. D 98, 043018 (arXiv: 1804.09443) (2018).

G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, *Extragalactic photon-axion-like particle oscillations up to 1000 TeV*, JHEAp, 201-17 (arXiv: 1805.12055) (2018).

G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, A. De Angelis, G. F. Bignami, *Hint at an axion-like particle from the redshift depencence of blazar spectra*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 493, 1553 (arXiv: 1503.04436) (2020). 26

Milky Way and total effect

Propagation in the Milky Way and total effect

- Important only the **regular component** of the Milky Way magnetic field B_{MW}
- $B_{\rm MW} = 5 \,\mu \text{G}$, coherence length $l_{\rm coh} = 10 \,\text{kpc}$
- But **detailed sky maps** of *B*_{MW} exist (Jansson & Farrar 2012)
- Combination of photon/ALP propagation in B_{jet}, B_{ext}, B_{MW}
- Exponentially truncated spectra
- $B_{jet} = 0.5 \text{ G}, B_{ext} = 1 \text{ nG}$
- $g_{a\gamma\gamma} = 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $m_a = 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$

•
$$d_{\rm VHE} = 3 \times 10^{16} \,{\rm cm}, n_e = 5 \times 10^4 \,{\rm cm}^{-3}$$

G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, C. Evoli, *Photon-ALP oscillations from a blazar to us up to 1000 TeV*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 487, 123 (arXiv: 1811.03548) (2019).

Markarian 501

DATA from HEGRA (Aharonian et al. 2001)



G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, C. Evoli, *Photon-ALP oscillations from a blazar to us up to 1000 TeV*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 487, 123 (arXiv: 1811.03548) (2019).

1ES 0229+200



G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, C. Evoli, *Photon-ALP oscillations from a blazar to us up to 1000 TeV*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 487, 123 (arXiv: 1811.03548) (2019).

BL Lac at redshift z = 0.6



G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, C. Evoli, *Photon-ALP oscillations from a blazar to us up to 1000 TeV*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 487, 123 (arXiv: 1811.03548) (2019).

Propagation in the Milky Way and total effect (2)

- **Conventional physics hardly explains the highest energy point** in the spectra of Markarian 501 and of 1ES 0229+200
- photon/ALP oscillations are instead successful
- As the energy increases photon/ALP oscillation effect is more and more evident
- photon/ALP oscillations generate features in BL Lacs: (i) oscillatory behavior in blazar spectra and (ii) photon excess at high energy (> 10 TeV)
- These features can be detected by the planned new observatories like the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) and ASTRI

G. Galanti, F. Tavecchio, M. Roncadelli, C. Evoli, *Photon-ALP oscillations from a blazar to us up to 1000 TeV*, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 487, 123 (arXiv: 1811.03548) (2019).

Pulsars

Photon-ALP conversion in the Galaxy

- Galactic magnetic field *B*_{MW} (Jansson & Farrar 2012) is important
- Select pulsars with distance > 4 kpc for sizable ALP effects
- Focus on pulsars from **Fermi** catalog (50 MeV 200 GeV)
- Spectra modified by ALPs ($g_{a\gamma\gamma} = 0.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$; $m_a = 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ eV}$)



Photon-ALP conversion in the Galaxy (2)

- Distance is the key parameter
- **Power law super exponential cutoff** fit $N \exp[\Gamma \ln(E/E_o) (E/E_{cut})^b]$ applied to *emitted* spectra (modified by $P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma}$)
- Comparison with pulsars similar to those considered but at *different distances* (similar *emitted* spectra are expected)
- Hint on the presence of ALPs if:
 - Comparing similar pulsars at different distances should yield different spectral shapes as a function of distance
 - farther pulsars should have spectra more modified than closer ones
- Without such correlation \rightarrow hint against ALPs

G. Galanti, P. Caraveo, in preparation.

PSR J1823-3021A



- ALP effects for *E* > 1 GeV
- Tentative new heuristic fit "with ALPs" resulting in
 Γ = 1.33
 E_{cut} = 1100.8 MeV
 b = 0.53
- Small increase of Γ , small decrease of $E_{\rm cut}$ and b

G. Galanti, P. Caraveo, in preparation.

PSR J1823-3021A





G. Galanti, P. Caraveo, in preparation.

Photon-ALP conversion in the Galaxy (3)

- In the GeV energy range what matters for photon-ALP conversion is the *transverse* component of *B_{MW}* only
- Best pulsars for ALP studies: distant, in the Galactic plane, towards the centre
- Possible improvement of the spectral fits (to be confirmed with a more ROBUST analysis)
- Possible existence of ALP hint from the behavior of pulsar with similar properties but at different distances (to be investigated further)
- Possible constraints on ALP parameter space $(g_{a\gamma\gamma}, m_a)$

Final remarks

Remarks – ALP spectral effects

ALP-photon interactions have deep astrophysical impact:

- Modification of AGN spectra
 - In FSRQs ALPs explain why emission above 20 GeV: First HINT
 - In BL Lacs ALPs predict observable peculiar features
- **Increase** of the Universe **transparency**
 - Solve BL Lac spectra redshift dependence: Second HINT
- Blazar spectral features detectable by the CTA and ASTRI
- Possible additional information from **pulsars**
- Many of previous effects with the same model parameters $(g_{ayy}, m_a) \rightarrow \text{possible } ALP$ existence??
- Astrophysical new data from observatories like the CTA, ASTRI Fermi, IAXO and laboratory experiments like ALPS II can shed light

Part II: Polarization effects

Photon polarization

- Photon-ALP beam described by the polarization density matrix $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \ (\psi \rightarrow \text{photon-ALP state vector})$
- Stokes parameters *I*, *Q*, *U*, *V* \rightarrow photonic part of ρ and denoted by ρ_{γ} : $\rho_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I + Q & U - iV \\ U + iV & I - Q \end{pmatrix}$
- Photon degree of linear polarization: $\Pi_L \equiv \frac{(Q^2 + U^2)^{1/2}}{I} = \frac{\left[(\rho_{11} - \rho_{22})^2 + (\rho_{12} + \rho_{21})^2\right]^{1/2}}{\rho_{11} + \rho_{22}}$
- Polarization angle:

$$\chi \equiv \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{U}{Q}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{atan}\left(\frac{\rho_{12} + \rho_{21}}{\rho_{11} - \rho_{22}}\right)$$

ALPs measure initial photon polarization

- Photon conversion probability $P_{\gamma \rightarrow a}$
- Photon survival probability $P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma}$
- Initial degree of linear polarization Π_L
- Theorems state (hypothesis of no yy absorption for photons):
 - $P_{\gamma \rightarrow a} \leq (1 + \prod_L)/2$
 - $P'_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma} \ge (1 \Pi_L)/2$
 - $\Pi_{L} = \text{measure of the overlap} between the values of <math>P_{\gamma \rightarrow a}$ and $P_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma}$
- In the presence of ALPs:
 - Π_L can be extracted from flux measurements!!!

G. Galanti, Phys. Rev D 105, 083022 (2022) [arXiv: 2202.10315].



Galaxy Clusters

Photon-ALP beam from a galaxy cluster

GALAXY CLUSTER

- Diffuse emission in the cluster central region ($r_{core} \sim 100 \text{ kpc}$)
 - X-ray: Bremsstrahlung, initial $\Pi_{L,o} = o$ (Felten+1966)
 - High-energy (HE) range: e.g. synchrotron (turbulent *B*), $\Pi_{L,o} = o$ (Timokhin+2004)
- Electron number density $n_{e,clu} \rightarrow$ (double) *beta model* (Hudson+2010)
- Magnetic field $B_{clu} = O(10) \ \mu G$, Kolmogorov-type turbulence, profile $\propto (n_{e,clu}/n_{e,clu,o})^{\eta_{clu}}$, where $n_{e,clu,o}$ is the central $n_{e,clu}$ and $\eta_{clu} \sim 0.75$ (Meyer+2014)

EXTRAGALACTIC SPACE

- 10^{-7} nG < B_{ext} < 1.7 nG with coherence O(1) Mpc (Pshirkov+2016)
- $B_{\text{ext}} \sim 1 \text{ nG}$ with coherence O(1) Mpc favored (Rees & Setti, 1968; Kronberg+1999)
- Domain-like model (Galanti & Roncadelli, 2018)

MILKY WAY

• B_{MW} map by Jansson & Farrar (Jansson & Farrar, 2012a,b)

PHOTON-ALP BEAM PROPAGATION

- **Stochastic process** \rightarrow exact expression of B_{clu} , B_{ext} unknown
- \rightarrow Several realizations of the propagation process $\rightarrow \Pi_L$ **density probability**

Galaxy cluster – general $B_{\rm ext} < 10^{-15}\,{\rm G}$ $B_{\rm ext} = 1 \, {\rm nG}$ z = 0.03 $B_{\rm ext} = 1 \, {\rm nG}$ z = 0.4X-ray band: 0.8 € € 0.4 • Initial $\Pi_{L,o} = o$ 0.2 $\Pi_{L,0}=0$ $g_{ayy} = 0.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ 0.8 0.6 Π_L 0.40.2 • $m_a < 10^{-14} \, \text{eV}$ 0 10⁻³ 10-2 100 10^{1} 10^{2} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 10⁰ 10¹ 10² 10⁻² 10^{-1} 100 10¹ 10^{-1} 10^{2} E_0 [keV] $E_0 \, [{
m keV}]$ $E_0 \, [\mathrm{keV}]$ $B_{\rm ext} < 10^{-15}\,{\rm G}$ $B_{\text{ext}} = 1 \,\text{nG}$ z = 0.03 $B_{\rm ext} = 1 \, {\rm nG}$ z = 0.4 $n_{e,clu,o} = 0.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (non cool core) $\Pi_{L,0} = 0$ $E_0 = 1 \, \mathrm{keV}$ $\Pi_{L,0} = 0$ $E_0 = 1 \, \text{keV}$ $\Pi_{L,0} = 0$ $E_0 = 1 \, \mathrm{keV}$ 2.5 是1.5 Most probable final 0.5 $\Pi_{L,0} = 0$ $E_0 = 10 \, \mathrm{keV}$ $\Pi_{L,0} = 0$ $E_0 = 10 \, \mathrm{keV}$ $\Pi_L > 0.1$ $\Pi_{L,0} = 0$ $E_0 = 10 \, \mathrm{keV}$ 2.5長1.5 1 0.5 G. Galanti, arXiv: 2202.11675. 0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

 Π_L

 Π_L

 Π_L

Galaxy cluster – general



HE band:

• Initial $\Pi_{L,o} = o$

•
$$g_{a\gamma\gamma} = 0.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$$

•
$$m_a = 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$$

- $n_{e,clu,o} = 0.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (non cool core)
- Most probable final $\Pi_L > 0.1$ (10 MeV), $\Pi_L < 0.2$ (100 MeV)

G. Galanti, arXiv: 2202.11675.



G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio, arXiv: 2202.12286.

Models of $n_{e,clu}$ and B_{clu} : Briel+1992;

 $B_{\rm clu.o} = 4.7 \,\mu {\rm G}$

Bonafede+2010

49

Galaxy cluster – Coma

 $m_a < 10^{-14} \,\mathrm{eV}$

0.8

€^{0.6}

0.2

0.8

0.4

0.2

10-3

2.5

2

長1.5

0.5

2.5

長1.5

0.5

0 Ó 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5

 $\Pi_{L,0}=0$

 $\Pi_{L,0} = 0$

0.6¹ ∐

 $\chi^{\rm Lad}$

 $\Pi_{\underline{L}}$ H binned data

idi binned data

 10^{-2}

 $E_0 = 1 \, \mathrm{keV}$

 $E_0 = 10 \, \text{keV}$

 10^{-1}

 E_0 [keV]

 Π_L

100



G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio, arXiv: 2202.12286.

Galaxy cluster – Results

X-ray band:

- Only $m_a < 10^{-14}$ eV for sizable conversion (weak mixing)
 - $m_a < 10^{-14}$ eV disfavored but not excluded by ALP limits (e.g. Conlon+2017; Reynolds+2020; Sisk-Reynés+2022)
 - **Possible signal** of new physics (ALPs) since **final** $\Pi_L > 0.1$
 - Perseus better target than Coma

HE band:

- $m_a < 10^{-14} \text{ eV} \rightarrow \text{strong mixing}$
 - **Possible strong signal** from Perseus: $\Pi_L > 0.8$ at and above 3 MeV
 - Perseus better target than Coma
- $m_a = 10^{-10} \text{ eV} \rightarrow \text{weak mixing}$
 - **Possible signal**: $\Pi_L > 0.2$ at 3 MeV
 - Similar behavior from Perseus and Coma

Blazars

Photon-ALP beam from a blazar

BLAZAR (BL Lac) JET

- Emission at the jet base [O(10¹⁶-10¹⁷) cm from the centre]
 - X-ray: electron synchrotron, initial $\Pi_{L,o} \sim 0.3$ (Zhang+2014)
 - High-energy (HE) range:
 - **Leptonic model** (more likely): inverse Compton, initial $\Pi_{L,o} = o$ (Maraschi+1992)
 - **Hadronic model**: e.g. proton synchrotron, initial $\Pi_{L,o} = 0.4 0.6$ (Mannheim, 1993a,b)
- Electron number density $n_{e,jet} \propto y^{-2}$, central $n_{e,jet,o} = 5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Tavecchio+2010)
- Magnetic field $B_{jet} \propto y^{-1}$ with central value $B_{jet,o}$ (Begelman+1984)
 - $B_{jet,o} = O(0.1-1)$ G (leptonic model)
 - $B_{jet,o} = O(20) G$ (hadronic model)

HOST GALAXY

- Domain-like model
- $B_{\text{host}} = 5 \,\mu\text{G}$ with coherence 150 pc (Moss & Shukurov, 1996)

GALAXY CLUSTER – EXTRAGALACTIC SPACE – MILKY WAY

Like before





Blazar – OJ 287 (preliminary)

 $\Pi_{L,0}$: Zhang & Böttcher, 2013



G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio, in preparation.

Blazar – OJ 287 (preliminary)

 $\Pi_{L,0}$: Zhang & Böttcher, 2013



G. Galanti, M. Roncadelli, F. Tavecchio, in preparation.

Blazar – Results

X-ray band:

- $m_a < 10^{-14} \text{ eV} \rightarrow \text{weak mixing}$
 - $m_a < 10^{-14}$ eV disfavored but not excluded by ALP limits (e.g. Conlon+2017; Reynolds+2020; Sisk-Reynés+2022)
 - **Broadening** of the initial $\Pi_{L,o}$
- $m_a = 10^{-10} \text{ eV} \rightarrow \text{weak mixing (conversion only in hadronic models)}$
 - High vale of $B_{\text{jet,o}} \sim 20$ G is mandatory to have ALP effects
 - Possible signal: dimming of the initial Π_{L,o} ~ 0.5 [preliminary]

HE band:

- $m_a < 10^{-14} \text{ eV} \rightarrow \text{strong mixing}$
 - **Possible strong signal**: $0.4 < \Pi_L < 0.8$ at and above 3 MeV
- $m_a = 10^{-10} \text{ eV} \rightarrow \text{weak mixing}$
 - **Possible strong signal**: $\Pi_L > 0.5$ for E > (1-10) MeV

Final remarks

Remarks – ALP polarization effects

- Photon-ALP interaction transforms flux-measuring observatories into *polarimeters*
 - The **only** method to measure **initial** (emitted) photon polarization
 - Extended energy band (no photon absorption, E < 100 GeV for z < 0.5)
- Photon-ALP interaction produces measurable modifications to final photon polarization
 - In the X-ray band (detectable by IXPE, Polstar)
 - In the HE band (detectable by COSI, e-ASTROGAM and AMEGO)
- Possible additional hints for ALP existence (two hints coming from spectral measurements)
 - Signal of final Π_L > o from clusters robust in favor of ALPs since $\Pi_{L,o}$ = o
 - For blazars final $\Pi_L > 0.5$ explained also by hadronic emission model

Conclusions

DO ALPs EXIST?

- We have hints from astrophysical spectra
- We expect additional hints from photon polarization

FINAL ANSWER:

- Within few years
- Confirmed or disproved:
 - From new data by ASTRI and CTA
 - Possible polarization data from IXPE, COSI, e-ASTROGAM
 - From laboratory experiments such as ALPSII

$$G_{\mu} = B_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^{*}}T_{\mu}$$

$$G_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} + \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu} = B_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^{*}}T_{\mu^{*}} \\ G_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} + \frac{1}{2}R$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu} = B_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^{*}}T_{\mu^{*}} \\ G_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} + N_{g\mu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^{*}}T_{\mu^{*}} \\ G_{\mu} = \frac{24\pi^{4}L^{2}}{1c^{2}(1+c^{2})} \\ H_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2m}P_{\mu} + V_{(n)} \\ P_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} + N_{g\mu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^{*}}T_{\mu^{*}} \\ H_{\mu} = \frac{P}{2m} + V_{(n)} \\ P_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} + N_{g\mu} = \frac{8\pi G}{4m}T_{\mu} \\ H_{\mu}(t) = th \frac{1}{2h} \int \mathbf{Additional} content \\ H_{\mu}(t) = th \frac{1}{2h} \int \mathbf{Additional} f(t) \\ H_{\mu}(t) = th \frac{1}{2h}$$

Main sequence and evolved stars

ALPs from Sun and stellar evolution

CAST EXPERIMENT:

- ALPs produced in the Sun by Primakoff scattering: $p + \gamma \rightarrow p + a$ ($p \rightarrow$ protons or charged particles)
- ALPs reconverted back to photons inside the Bfield of a magnet at LHC ($\mathcal{L}_{ay} = g_{ayy} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} a$)
- **NO DETECTION** $\rightarrow g_{avv} < 0.66 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_a < 0.02 \text{ eV}$

Anastassopoulos et al. 2017

GLOBULAR CLUSTERS:

- ALPs produced in stars by Primakoff scattering \rightarrow source of stellar cooling (ALPs escape from the stellar core since g_{ayy} very low)
- Modification in the stellar evolution as a function of g_{avv} and m_a
- From observational data \rightarrow **bounds** on ALP parameters: $g_{ayy} < 0.66 \times 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ Ayala et al. 2014

Primakoff



ALP to photon reconversion

ALPs from supernovae?

- ALPs produced via Primakoff process in core-collapse supernova (protoneutron star phase)
- Reconverted back to photons inside the Milky Way magnetic field
- Photons from ALP reconversions supposed to be observed in coincidence with observation of neutrinos from SN1987A
- **NO DETECTION** → strong bound on ALPs:

 $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ < 5.3 X 10⁻¹² GeV⁻¹ for m_a < 4.4 X 10⁻¹⁰ eV Payez et al. 2015

- **BUT** model oversimplified:
 - Strong interactions not considered
 - Strong magnetic field $B = (10^{12} 10^{16})$ G not considered (too strong *B* may reduce ALP production *QED effects*)
 - Calculation almost performed as in the vacuum (instead the medium at twice the nuclear saturation density and at T ≈ 40 MeV)
- Derived **bound cannot be** assumed as fully **solid**

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu} = B_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^{*}}T_{\mu^{*}} \\ G_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}R_{g\mu} + \frac{1}{2}R$$