



# Toward $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow D^{o}\rho)$

TS analysis meeting june 2022

Mirco Dorigo Riccardo Manfredi <u>Olga Werbycka</u>

### **Overview**

- Changes in the preselection cuts
- Previous selection results
- New selection + results of 3D optimization
- BB-bar background composition
- Summary

## Preselection

#### Made on MC14 (200 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

General cuts:

- → Mbc > 5.27 GeV
- → 1.85 < m(Kπ) < 1.88 (~3 σ) GeV
- → binary kaon PID from  $D^0 > 0.2$
- → binary pion PID from  $D^0 < 0.8$
- → binary pion PID from  $\rho$  < 0.8
- → -0.15 < ΔE < 0.15 GeV
- → 0.12 < m( $\pi^0$ ) > 0.145 (2 $\sigma$ ) GeV
- → 0.45 < m(ρ) > 1.25 GeV
- → photon0E >0.04
- → photon1E>0.05

 $\pi^0$  candidates are taken from *stdPiOs\_winter2020* list

new or modified

The vertex of the signal *B* candidate was reconstructed using *tree fitter* 





### **Photon energy optimization**



# m(p) signal and background after applying photon/pi<sup>o</sup> cuts



53% of background is rejected

# **Old Selection criteria**

- R2 < 0.28  $\rightarrow$
- thrustBm > 0.83  $\rightarrow$
- thrustBm < 0.9 $\rightarrow$
- •
- 1D FOM based optimisation of  $\cos\Theta_{\pi\pi0}$ , cuts of R2 and thrustBm based on the shape only



cosΘ<sub>ππ0</sub> < 0.62



Composition	Fraction
Signal	0.59
Continuum	0.13
BB-bar bkg	0.28

#### Result of the topology analysis



The most frequent B- decays go through  $D^{*0} \rightarrow D^0 pi^0$ 

#### **Selection** variables

Focus on three variables for background suppression:  $\cos \Theta_{\pi\pi0}$ , R2 and  $D^0_{mom}$ :



#### **New selection**

Results of 3D optimisation of  $cos\Theta_{\pi\pi0}$  vs R2 vs  $D^{0}_{mom}$ ;





# Result



Composition	Fraction
Signal	0.48
Continuum	0.21
SCF	0.16
BB-bar bkg	0.15

#### Result (2)



shapes between the 4 components look different in cosTheta\_rho. We can use it's discrimination power in a 3D fit to (deltaE, m(rho), cosHel).

#### **BB-bar background composition**





#### Summarizing table for MC 200 fb<sup>-1</sup>

	Before preselection	After preselection	After preselection + selection
Signal eff (ε)	~41%	~24%	~17%
Background rejection	-/-	99.56%	99.94%

We expect to see in data (200 fb<sup>-1</sup>) (events):

$$N_{
m signal} \, = L imes \epsilon \, = \, 19700$$

Candidate multiplicity was studied on a small generic MC dataset after applications of all selection criteria



#### $\Delta E$ after one candidate selection



Composition	Fraction
Signal	0.52
Continuum	0.22
SCF	0.10
BB-bar bkg	0.16

### D<sup>\*°</sup> veto



#### $D^{*o}$ veto: $\pi^{o}$ momenta



#### Fits for SCF and BBbar of deltaE (200 fb-1)



#### Fits for signal and continuum of deltaE (200 fb-1)



#### Simultaneous fit of deltaE

Simultaneous fit for 200fb<sup>-1</sup> Data Simultaneous fit Signal Continuum BBbar background SCF 2000 1000 1 1 1 1 -8.15 0.15 ∆E (GeV) -0.1-0.050.05 0.1 n A RecPlot of TaE (GeV)" 50





#### **TOYs for Simultaneous fit (Signal and Continuum)**



### **TOYs for Simultaneous fit (BBbar and SCF)**



Update 03/06/2022

#### Simultaneous fit with 2 float parameters





Johnson  $\mu$ =200  $\lambda$ =50

#### Simultaneous fit with 3 float parameters



## Simultaneous fit with 3 float parameters in Sig PDF





float parameters: delta, gamma, mean

#### Simultaneous fit of deltaE for 700 fb-1 without R2 cut









17/06/2022

#### 700 fb<sup>-1</sup> dataset







Update 24/06/2022

#### Free parameters fit (700 fb-1)



Composition	Nevents	Fraction after fit
Signal	72680	72973±391
Continuum	40110	39007 ± 115
SCF	29548	29987 ± 1976
BB-bar bkg	28962	29496 ± 266

 $PDF_{sig} + PDF_{cont} + PDF_{SCF} + PDF_{BBbkg}$ 

#### Free parameters fit (700 fb-1)



Sig PDF	cont PDF	SCF PDF	BBbar PDF
Sig Yield	Cont Yield	SCF Yield	BB Yield
Γ_factor	Cheb1	mean_scf	beta
∆_factor	Cheb2	sigma_factor	fraction1
mean			fraction2
			mean_bb
			sigma_bb

#### Fit output

FCN=-2.11114e+06 FROM HESSE STATUS=NOT POSDEF 211 CALLS 2914 TOTAL EDM=0.423433 STRATEGY= 1 ERR MATRIX NOT POS-DEF EXT PARAMETER APPROXIMATE INTERNAL INTERNAL NO. NAME VALUE ERROR STEP SIZE VALUE 1 beta -1.49998e+01 3.84079e-01 4.28880e-01 -1.55902e+00 2 f\_johns 9.81894e-01 9.12448e-03 2.00037e-03 -9.33355e-01 3 f\_johns1 8.90654e-01 2.96194e-02 3.62293e-03 -9.64684e-01 4 frac 6.82301e-01 3.77738e-02 2.09064e-02 3.73205e-01 5 frac1 3.13786e-02 7.80284e-02 6.32502e-02 -1.21464e+00 frac scf 2.18519e+00 1.09548e+00 4.46460e-02 -5.97966e-01 6 mean\_bb -4.48516e-02 5.82851e-03 7 1.16895e-01 -1.02152e+00 8 mean\_scf -1.21563e-02 8.69515e-02 3.05380e-02 -9.97807e-01 9 mean {#DeltaE} 7.10454e-03 3.42200e-04 4.14403e-02 4.34447e-01 10 nbbbar 2.94959e+04 2.65631e+02 5.00000e-01 1.48013e+00 11 3.90067e+04 1.14739e+02 5.00000e-01 -1.70482e+00 ncont nscf 12 2.99866e+04 1.97569e+03 5.00000e-01 1.43698e+00 7.29731e+04 13 3.91342e+02 5.00000e-01 1.80333e+00 nsig 14 p1 4.03993e-02 8.31949e-02 1.48390e-02 4.04103e-02 15 p2 -2.15499e-01 7.32064e-02 1.69932e-02 -2.17203e-01 sigma\_bb 16 4.24333e-02 3.01740e-03 1.04336e-02 -1.11132e+00 ERR DEF= 0.5









#### fit result for Signal = Johnson and merged BB-bar + SCF





### New signal parametrization



#### CristalBall + Gauss

(	COVARIANCE MATRIX FCN=-2.11112e+06 F	CALCULATED ROM HESSE EDM=5.891	SUCCESSFULLY STATUS=OK 09e-05 STRATE	GY= 1	23 CALLS ERROR M	231 TOT MATRIX ACCURATE	fit re
	EXT PARAMETER NO. NAME 1 nbbbar 2 ncont 3 nscf 4 nsig	/ALUE 2.95213e+04 4.34743e+04 2.38876e+04 7.44212e+04	ERROR 6.74513e+02 2.06351e+03 2.24668e+03 5.61428e+02 ERR DEF= 0.5	INTERN STEP S 8.0582 1.9630 1.9392 1.9580	AL INT IZE V 9e-04 -5.5 6e-03 1.3 8e-03 -6.5 7e-03 2.5	FERNAL /ALUE 51466e-01 80269e-01 57623e-01 59043e-01	
I	EXTERNAL ERROR MA1 4.552e+05 -5.334e -5.334e+05 4.372e	FRIX. NDI ≥+05 1.538e ≥+06 -4 460e	M= 25 NPAR= +05 -4.622e+04	4 E	RR DEF=0.5		Fit for 70
	1.538e+05 -4.460e -4.622e+04 6.671e PARAMETER CORRELA NO. GLOBAL 1 0.86208 2 0.98474 3 0.98565 4 0.77704	e+06 5.189e e+05 -8.618e ATION COEFFI 1.000 -0. -0.378 1. 0.100 -0. -0.122 0.	++06       -8.618e+05         ++05       3.158e+05         CIENTS       2       3       4         378       0.100       -0.12       000       -0.936       0.56         936       1.000       -0.673       1.000	2 8 3 0		Candidates per 0.01 GeV	and the second se
	Composition	Nevents	Fraction afte	r fit	χ2	4000	
	Signal	72680	74421± 56	1	3.1	2000	$\angle$
	Continuum	40110	43474 ± 20	64	1.6	-8.15	-0.1 -0.05
	SCF	29548	23888 ± 22	47	2.5	4 3 2 1	I, II

 $29521 \pm 675$ 

0.8

BB-bar bkg

28962

fit result for Signal = CB + Gauss

Fit for 700fb<sup>-1</sup> with fixed parameters









Fit for 700fb<sup>-1</sup> with free parameters



# Backup

#### Free parameters simultaneous fit (700 fb-1)

Data ÷. Simultaneous fit Signal Continuum BBbar background SCF 6000 4000 2000 -0.050.05 0.15 ∆E (GeV) -0.10.1 0 A RecPlot of TAE (GeV)?

48 (967)

Simultaneous fit for 700fb<sup>-1</sup>

Composition	Nevents	Fraction after fit
Signal	72680	72692 ± 270
Continuum	40110	40109 ± 200
SCF	29548	29548 ± 172
BB-bar bkg	28962	28967 ± 170



# Toy MC result for fit with 16 free parameters

# Toy MC result for fit with 16 free parameters (2)

A RooPlot of "nscf" A RooPlot of "nscf Error" A RooPlot of "nscf Pull" pullMean = 0.014 ± 0.053 Events / (4) 50 Events / ( 0.2 Events / ( 0.06 pullSigma = 1.077 ± 0.038 20 18 16 30 12 10 E 20 10 172 0 nscf nscf Error nscf Pull A RooPlot of "nbbbar" A RooPlot of "nbbbar Error" A RooPlot of "nbbbar Pull" pullMean = 0.093 ± 0.056 Events / ( 3.6 ) Events / ( 0.08 ) Events / ( 0.2 ) pullSigma =  $1.137 \pm 0.040$ 25 141 12 20 10 25 20 15 F 10 10 170 0 28950171 172 1/3 29000

nbbbar Error

nbbbar

nbbbar Pull

#### Simultaneous fit of cosOp with fixed parameters



# Conclusions

- Additional pre-selection cuts were taken into account
- New optimized selection criteria were applied
- > Analysis of the BB-bar background composition was performed
- With new cuts we are able to keep higher reconstruction efficiency (~20%) with smaller background fraction

#### To do:

- > Determine the  $B \rightarrow D\pi\pi^0$  signal yield by fitting the deltaE distribution.
- > Will inspect m( $\pi\pi^0$ ) mass to separate  $\rho$  and non- $\rho$  contribution to the signal
- > Will consider if using also  $\cos\theta_{\pi\pi}$  in the fit.



### Delta E with harder cut on p(D°)>2.1



Composition	Share
Signal	0.49
Continuum	0.22
SCF	0.16
BB-bar bkg	0.13

# Possible cut on the angle difference between 2 photons

