CSBDT (after including variables with  $\Delta E$  or  $M_{\rm bc}$  correlation)

## **Tentative CSMVA inputs**

Old inputs:

- 13 Kakuno-Super-Fox-Wolfram moments
- cosTBTO
- 7 CleoCones
- cosTheta\*
- R2

Additional inputs:

- thrustOm
- ΔΖ (BTag)
- Δr (BTag)
- angle between track and  $\pi^0$
- cone around  $\pi^0$
- angle between  $\pi^0$ 's
- cosHelicityAngle
- KSFWVariableset
- KSFWVariablesmm2



#### Check the possible sculpting



Different shapes, but no strange peaks due to sculpting (also, low statistics).

#### Check the possible sculpting



Different slopes, but no strange peaks due to sculpting (also, low statistics).

# Correlation between CSDBT and $\Delta E/M_{\rm bc}$

Apply loose selection CSBDT>0.5.

Correlation with	Signal	Background
ΔΕ	1.8%	0.6%
Mbc	3.9%	1.7%
qr	1.3%	0.9%



5

## Correlation between CSDBT and qr

Obtain signal efficiency for CSBDT>0.7 in various qr slices.

	Signal efficiency	
[0,0.1]	83.5±2.0%	
[0.1,0.4]	82.4±1.5%	
[0.4,0.6]	82.8±2.0%	
[0.6,0.8]	82.4±2.0%	
[0.8,1]	80.8±1.9%	

All values are compatible

# $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ signal deficit

#### Validation

Use  $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$  sample ( $\pi^0$  has the same energy).

Apply  $\pi^0$  selections (but photonMVA) used in  $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \to$  number of false  $\pi^{0}$ 's in MC is 3.6%.

Apply also selection on  $M_{\rm bc}$  (>5.27), CS (>0.75) and KaonID (>0.4). Fraction of false  $\pi^{0}$ 's goes to 2%.





(Consistent with MC value)

Expected signal yield from MC: 290

Observed signal by Ching-Hua: 211±18 (with roughly similar signal efficiency - not exactly same cuts applied)

Signal yield in data is fine

#### PhotonMVA



# Most urgent problem

# Final composition of the sample

Check sample composition after applying CS>0.74 (Francis cut). Note: we have a signal efficiency a bit higher than Francis' ( $\epsilon$ ~35%, obtained using signalMC).

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

I'm losing a lot of signal events (already at reconstruction). Still trying to understand why

Expected signal using PDG *BF* and my signal efficiency (obtained using my signalMC) is 120 events. After reconstruction in genericMC (no cuts applied) I observe only < 80 events. Problem of the genericMC? But *BF* in decfile is correct.

# Final composition of the sample

Check sample composition after applying CS>0.74 (Francis cut). Note: we have a signal efficiency a bit higher than Francis' ( $\epsilon$ ~35%, obtained using signalMC).

	Expected signal	Expected background
Francis	120	4930
Me	40	3587

In the run-dependent MC: Expected signal events: 582 Reconstructed signal events: 307