

Second TDR Review Committee Meeting Zoom, October 26th, 2021

WA2 - Injector Status Report



E. Chiadroni

(Dept. of Basic and Applied Sciences for Engineering - Sapienza, University of Rome) on behalf of WA2 contributors



- developed by WPs involved, i.e.
 - * WP01: Accelerator Physics (Giribono, Mostacci)
 - * WP08: **RF gun and accelerating structures** (Piersanti)
 - * WP10: Vacuum (Liedl)
 - * WP11: Lasers & Cathodes (Anania)
 - * WP12: High Power RF & Distribution (Cardelli)
 - * WP14: **Beam Instrumentation & electronics** (Stella)
 - * WP15: LLRF & Synchronization (Bellaveglia)
 - * WP16: Control System & Interlocks (Stecchi)
 - * WP17: Magnets & Power Supplies (Sabbatini)
 - * WP18: **Undulators** (Petralia)
 - * WP19: Mechanical Engineering (Pellegrino)
 - * WP21: **Cooling & Ventilation** (Cantarella)



Coordination and promotion of activities and components related to the injector as





EUPRAXIA WA.2 Roadmap to the TDR

March 2022:

3D CAD Design of the photo injector layout and laser transport line

> **October 2021:** Commissioning of the new RF gun at SPARC_LAB

June-Sept. 2022: Project of the *photocathode laser* and test at SPARC_LAB of laser pulse *shaping (both transverse and* longitudinal) and diagnostics

Nov. 2020: WA.2 Kickoff Meeting " \mathbf{O}

February 2022: Simulation studies on main WoPs







* Phase 0 Layout has been defined

- *



Benchmark with different codes The machine model has been implemented in ASTRA High charge WP cross-checked

enrica.chiadroni@lnf.infn.it

Current injector layout

* SABINA photoinjector up to the end of the 2 S-band TW accelerating structures 1 more S-band TW linac layout up to the laser heater inserted in the TStep model





- incredible short time (< 10 days)
 - electric field on the cathode
- generation and beam characterization



New injector under test on a parallel line





enrica.chiadroni@lnf.infn.it

Courtesy of D. Alesini * The injector has been tested at high power on a RF parallel line, reaching the final performances in an

* Reached full power (15 MW) at nominal pulse length (1 us) and repetition rate (10 Hz) \rightarrow 120 MV/m peak

* The new injector has been **now inserted online** and RF test will start in these days following by electron

New New gun solenoid







- * With respect to the "old" injector the new one: Integrate an RF gun fabricated with the **new** brazing free technology;
- * Integrate a new solenoid with a remote control of the transverse position at the <+/-10 m level;
- * allows **on axis laser injection system** with the last mirror in air and not into the beam pipe;
- * Has been designed with the possibility of a future integration of an X/C band cavity linearizer;
- * Has a **variable skew quadrupole** after the gun for the compensation of residual quadrupole components
- * Has an electromagnetic design with a full compensation of the quadrupole components
- * Has an improvement of the effective pumping speed with two added pumping ports
- * No cathode tuning is necessary



EUPRAXIA Improvements implemented

Courtesy of D. Alesini





Design with full quadrupole field component compensation











- * The laser transport line has been defined and it is in place
- * Measurements to directly compare virtual and real cathode have been performed
 - * The real (copper) cathode has been replaced with YAG crystal to measure the laser spot size and with a joule meter to make direct energy measurements
 - * Measurements were conducted simultaneously at both real and virtual cathode



Laser Transport Line

Courtesy of V. Shpakov, M. Galletti





- * In the framework of IFAST (Horizon 2020) and TUAREG (INFN **Commission V**)
 - * C band gun and full C band injector (gun + TW C band structures)
 - * **very promising** in terms of achievable beam parameters, compactness and possibility to go at very high repetition rate (up to $1 \, \mathrm{kHz})$
- * High rep rate photo-cathode laser
 - * ARCO M (Amplitude) for a high rep rate laser from the t₀

Repetition Rate	1 kHz	
Energy Per Pulse	5 mJ / 10 mJ / 20 mJ	* Senic tempo
Average Power	5 W / 10 W / 20 W	catho
Pulse width	< 100 fs / 35 fs / 20 fs	* Me

ARCO M

Operation at 400 Hz



conductor cathodes might not be suitable both for the long oral response and for the unsustainable high peak field at the de (max 100 MV/m to be verified)

tal cathodes with the 2nd harmonic (SHG) of the Ti:Sa —> 400 nm

Preliminary tests at SPARC_LAB with Cu

Yttrium studies at Fermi@Elettra enrica.chiadroni@lnf.infn.it





SHG Photo-Cathode Laser

- Photoelectrons by means of "blue" laser wavelength •
- photon photoemission process
- * diagnostics)
- * the laser







- * A yttrium (Y) thin film (1.2 μ m thickness and d = 3 mm diameter) was grown by pulsed laser deposition (PLD) on a copper (Cu) polycrystalline photo-cathode⁽¹⁾. The deposition has been done in the centre of the flange.
- PLD of yttrium on copper guarantees a film highly adherent and with high quality $^{(2)}$.
- * Yttrium is a transition metal that has a work function of $\phi_{work} \sim 3 \text{ eV} (\phi_{work}^{Cu} \sim 4.6 \text{ eV})$.



A. Lorusso et al., Deposition of y thin films by nanosecond uv pulsed laser ablation for photocathode application, Thin Solid Films 603 (2016) 441–445. A. Lorusso et al., Pulsed laser deposition of yttrium photocathode suitable for use in radio-frequency guns, Applied Physics A 123 (12) (2017) 779.

EUPRAXIA Yttrium Studies at Fermi@Elettra

enrica.chiadroni@lnf.infn.it



Extrapolated value of Yttrium intrinsic emittance: $\underline{\varepsilon}_{intr.n} = 0.46 \pm 0.02 \mu m/mm$

Theoretical value of Yttrium intrinsic emittance: $\underline{\varepsilon}_{intr,n} = 0.45 \mu m/mm (\lambda_{laser} = 392 nm)$

J. Scifo et al., *Photoemi ssion studies of yttrium photocathodes* by using the visible radiation. PRAB 23.12 (2020): 123401.









- Layout S-band injector optimization:
 - * (i.e.: 2m o 3 m s-band upstream the LH) and to compare with Elegant
- Design of the x-band linearizer
 - Preliminary studies started
- Laser heater project •
 - Subject to the definition of the injector

Injector Simulations

Courtesy of A. Giribono

3+3+2 vs 4x2m arrange $\checkmark \Rightarrow 3+3+2(3)$ each beam coming from ASTRA/Tstep is delivered at the first 2 s-band exit and at the third to be free with the Linac layout







- Quotations for S-band waveguide components (from CML and MEGA RF), i.e. isolator, phase shifter and power divider, working at high power in high vacuum, to avoid SF6
- **DESY-like flanges prototype for WR284 S-band waveguide**. •
 - Two prototypes have been realized and assembled. The Vacuum and baking tests on this prototypes have been performed successfully
- Definition of the number of S-band stations and choice of the klystron *
 - It depends mainly on the choice for the working frequency: EU 2998MHz or US 2856MHz



S-band RF Power Station

Courtesy of F. Cardelli





- * Simulations to validate the theoretical model used for the jitter and crosscheck with measurements at SPARC
- Transition to optical system
 - * Project request for cross-correlators for the transition to the optical system at SPARC_LAB
- New KlyLoop
 - * New fast phase delays tested (reaction time <<1us) for the feedback system
 - Project of the amplifier on going
 - pulses in order to further minimize the iitter of a solid state klystron (~ few tens of fs)
 - * Test on the C-band SSM at SPARC_LAB foreseen to study the performance on short * Survey on possible development of digital electronics

Courtesy of M. Bellaveglia





- beam arrival time at the end of the linac, t_{linac}
- In the case of SPARC_LAB we have that:
 - the PC laser feeds the photo-cathode and the EOS (Δt_1);
 - Klystron 1 (K1, S-band) feeds the gun and the RFD (Δt_2);
 - Klystron 2 (K2, S-band) feeds the first two S-band accelerating sections S1 and S2 (Δt_3);
 - Klystron 3 (K3, C-band) feeds the last C-band accelerating section C (Δt_4).



- First order model for the beam arrival time difference: $\Delta t_{linac} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_i \Delta t_i$
- If $\Delta t_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \Delta t_{linac} = \Delta t_i$ and we have that $\sum_{i=1}^4 c_i = 1$
- First order model for the ATJ: $\sigma_{t_{iinac}}^2 \approx \sum_{i=4}^4 c_i^2 \sigma_{t_i}^2$
- Arrival time jitter (ATJ): standard deviation of the beam arrival time, $\sigma_{t_{linac}}$

EUPRAXIA Arrival Time Jitter: Model Validation

Courtesy of G. Giannetti, supervised by M. Bellaveglia and L. Piersanti

• The subsystems of a linear accelerator (laser, klystrons, etc.) are affected by a timing jitter Δt_i affecting the







- (VB3, and VB6)
- Comparison for c_i coefficients, simulated and measured by RFD and EOS:

	OC		VB		VB3		VB6				
	Meas. RFD	Sim.	Meas. RFD	Meas. EOS	Sim.	Meas. RFD	Meas. EOS	Sim.	Meas. RFD	Meas. EOS	Sim.
<i>c</i> ₁	0.72 ±0.08	0.63	- 0.09 ±0.12	- 0.16 ±0.05	-0.10	0.03 ±0.10	0.04 ±0.06	0.01	0.05 ±0.10	0.12 ±0.05	0.11
<i>c</i> ₂	0.17 ±0.07	0.37	- 0.12 ±0.11	- 0.03 ±0.08	-0.04	0.01 ±0.08	0.04 ±0.05	0.02	- 0.04 ±0.09	0.02 ±0.04	0.08
<i>c</i> ₃	- 0.05 ±0.07	0.00	1.15 ±0.10	1.14 ±0.06	1.14	1.04 ±0.11	1.00 ±0.07	0.97	0.83±0 .10	0.86 ±0.06	0.81
<i>c</i> ₄	-	0.00	-	-	0.00	-	-	0.00	-	-	0.00
$\sum_{i=1}^{4} c_i$	0.84 ±0.22	1.00	0.94 ±0.33	0.95 ±0.19	1.00	1.08 ±0.29	1.08 ±0.18	1.00	0.84 ±0.29	1.00 ±0.15	1.00

- Good agreement between experimental data and simulations: the proposed model is validated ٠
- The slight disagreement for OC requires further investigations ٠
- Coefficient c₄ is negligible for all working points
- For OC, the photo-cathode laser and klystron 1 play the most important role ٠
- For VB, VB3, and VB6, klystron 2 is practically the only source impacting on the beam arrival time. ٠

EUPRAXIA Arrival Time Jitter: Model Validation

Courtesy of G. Giannetti, supervised by M. Bellaveglia and L. Piersanti • Four working points have been considered: On Crest (OC, maximum beam energy), Velocity Bunching at maximum compression (VB, minimum bunch length), Velocity Bunching 3 and 6 degrees toward the crest







- for beam generation & transport and trigger instrumentation
- decimation and event tagging
 - *
 - By the end of the year => quotation evaluation *
- * evaluated (MicroResearch, White Rabbit products, GreenField Technologies)
 - for lab tests and proof at SPARC_LAB)

Timing System

Courtesy of A. Stella

Technical System for generation and distribution of **bunch rep frequency (100 Hz)** signals (RF synchronized) with appropriate delays to coordinate the sequence of events

General requirement and major technical specifications have been identified, in collaboration with WP15 - WP16, to implement a system based on commercial HW, adaptable to Eupraxia scale and capable to provide also custom bunch rep rate

event tagging will be developed in parallel with WP 16 (**Control System & Interlocks**)

Hardware and technologies provided by different commercial vendor are being

Quotations received (~30 keuro: minimal system -> Master Oscillator +1 delay unit,







NEW ENTRY:

Franco Di Bona, from fellow (since Sept 1st) to PhD student first task: support to magnetic design of quads (Degree thesis on quadrupole design)

WORK IN PROGRESS:

DIPOLES: magnetic design ready for:

BLH (4x laser heater chicane)

BC (4x compressor chicane)

DIPSPL (first spectrometer)

QUADRUPOLES: magnetic design ongoing for all the three families. Optimization

Control System for power supplies: first estimation of specs.

WHAT NEXT:

DIPOLES: detailed magnetic design including quality and harmonic analysis review of magnetic design with BD by providing field maps QUAD: focus on integrated quadrupole (the one including steering and diagnostics) need of dedicated meetings with diagnostics and mechanics

WP17: Magnets & PS

Courtesy of L. Sabbatini, A. Vannozzi







Referee suggestions

- the laser parameters requirements.
- SPARC_LAB, preliminary results shown
- limited peak field on the cathode

EUPRAXIA From the last TDR Review Report

The topology of this section is based on that of SPARC LAB, which includes a S-band gun and two S-band accelerator structures. The R&D steps and the required experimental veriFlcation of the performances at SPARC LAB are well integrated in the schedule toward the TDR. Since the new clamped RF-gun prototype has been shown to achieve the required RF parameters, this section does not appear to pose any fundamental technical risk. However, the implementation in the building requires special attention, and in particular to provide enough space for a cathode loadlock system. The space requirements for future upgrade (C-Band RF-gun) should be estimated before fixing the bunker design. Since the transverse homogeneity of the UV laser on the photo-cathode is probably the main ingredient for achieving very low normalized slice emittances (0.2-0.5 mm.mrad), the RC suggests considering a substantial laser energy reserve to allow for energy-hungry shaping techniques. Semiconductor cathodes could help relaxing

Load lock system: R&D is foreseen (in collaboration with other labs) to reduce the longitudinal extension **Laser energy reserve**: A plan to work with 400 nm is under study => feasibility studies started at

Semiconductor cathodes: They seem to be not suitable mainly for two reasons: slow temporal response and









Tab.4: Detailed list of equipment to be procured

	-	-	-		
Working Area	Family items	Year	Amount (k€)	Note	
1 - Beam Physics	Computing cluster	2021	250	Needed for full 3D Simulations	
2 – Injector	Upgrade Photocathode laser	2021	725	Laser+room cond.+UV optics, crystals, gratings, homogenizer	
	Upgrade LLRF	2021	100	2 S-band LLRF (~160k)	
	Upgrade Synch System	2021	100	New OMO, 2 Optical to electrical of Install. from company and commis	converter, ssioning
	Timing system upgrade	2021	50	Prototype of the new timing system	m
	RF Gun prototype for high rep rate	2022	25	Mechanical design, thermal sim. with cathode	
	S-Band modulator	2022	550	S-band solid state mod + cabling	
				-> time scale ~ 1.5y (from the orde	er)

R&D Financial Requests





- * The EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB photo injector up to the end of the S-band linac is equivalent to the SPARC photo injector
 - R&D can be done at SPARC_LAB to produce the nominal beam parameters at low energy and satisfy the stability and reproducibility requirements needed for EuPRAXIA@SPARC_LAB, for instance
 - * jitter studies
 - * experimental tests of the photo injector working points
 - laser pulse shaping
 - * control system testing
 - * plants upgrade
 - * AOB

Conclusions

