

APNS: Alignment and Pointing Noise Suppression

Giuseppe Messineo INFN Ferrara







 $\dot{}$

The vacuum is structured and has properties that can be studied.

Light propagation in an external field



Experimental method:

- Perturb the quantum vacuum with an external B field
- Probe with a (polarised) light beam
- Detect changes in the polarisation state

The complex index of refraction of vacuum is modified by an external magnetic field:

$$\tilde{n} = 1 + (n_B + i \kappa_B)$$

The induced changes depend also on the direction of the applied field:

$$\Delta \tilde{n} = \Delta n_B + i \Delta \kappa_B$$

BIREFRINGENCE

DICHROISM





OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF QUANTUM VACUUM







MEASURABLE QUANTITIES





POLARIMETRY: INGREDIENTS

S LETTERS

30 July 1979



Experimental method:

- Apply an external magnetic field
- Probe with a laser beam
- Detect changes in the polarisation state



EXPERIMENTAL METHOD TO DETECT THE VACUUM BIREFRINGENCE INDUCED BY A MAGNETIC FIELD

E. IACOPINI and E. ZAVATTINI CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

Received 28 May 1979

In this letter a method of measuring the birefringence induced in vacuum by a magnetic field is described: this effect is evaluated using the non-linear Euler-Heisenberg-Weisskopf lagrangian. The optical apparatus discussed here may detect an induced ellipticity on a laser beam down to 10^{-11} .

Key ingredients:High magnetic fieldEffect α B²Long optical pathOptical cavityHigh sensitivity polarimeterModulation of the signal





INTRINSIC NOISE



- Experiments never reach shot-noise limited sensitivity once the cavity is inserted
- Intrinsic noise coming from the cavity limits the sensitivity in optical path difference:



(ΔD does not depend on finesse)

No need for high finesse but rather increase the B field!



VMB@CERN

superconducting magnets

Increase the signal with higher B field

(LHC dipole magnet $B^2 \approx 81 T^2$)

CANNOT MODULATE FAST ENOUGH!!



Modulate the VMB signal using two co-rotating half waveplates (HWP) inside the cavity

 $\alpha_{1,2}$ are the phase errors from π of the two HWPs and $\phi(t)$ is their rotation angle





APNS PROJECT

("Alignment and Pointing Noise Suppression")



CRITICAL TASKS:

Build a cavity around a LHC magnet and keep it 1. aligned (noisy environment).



Develop an automatic alignment system for the injection and cavity optics.

2. Control the alignment of the optics inside the cavity to reduce noise and systematics.

(Coarse) alignment plate

May 31, 2022

Differential wavefront sensing

(technique developed in GW interferometry)



Optical Simulations with:



http://www.gwoptics.org/finesse/





(Fine) Piezo alignment system





ELLIPTICITY SPECTRUM



FREQUENCY DOMAIN

FEATURES:

- 'Large bump' centered around 2nd harmonic
- Broadband noise
- Peaks at various harmonics (triangles) are due to the rotating waveplate
- Presence of peak at 4th harmonic

POSSIBLE SHOWSTOPPER!





COTTON-MOUTON OF AIR

Cotton-Mouton effect: Magnetic birefringence in gasses

Found a workaround: MODULATE THE MAGNETIC FIELD!



10 vector averages: each 8 s with Hanning window

- Red magnet rotating at 0.5 Hz and HWPs at 6.5 Hz
- Black magnet rotating at 1 Hz and non-rotating HWPs

The peak in **red** at 25 Hz is due to the Cotton-Mouton of air and has the same amplitude as the signal in **black** at 2 Hz.

The difference in noise is due to the relative phase (rotation) noise of the HWPs motors.





WAVEPLATE MECHANICS

New stepper motors with a more accurate rotation (absolute phase) control

• relative rotation rms noise between the two HWPs was improved by a factor ≥ 10





Injecting in the polarimeter a green laser beam @ 532 nm (HWP -> FWP) allows real-time control of the systematics due to the rotating HWPs

• Further reduction of harmonics



Demonstrated locking (noisy) of the cavity with the rotating HWPs





DIFFERENTIAL WAVEFRONT SENSING

Superimpose cavity axis with incoming beam

Consider a beam misaligned into an optical cavity:

Describe the input beam in the cavity basis: •

Displacing a HG₀₀ beam by a, can be approximated by adding a HG₁₀ mode in proportion a/ ω_0 :

$$u_{00}(x - a, y, z_0) \approx u_{00}(x, y, z_0) + \frac{a}{w_0} u_{10}(x, y, z_0)$$
$$w(z) = w_0 \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{z - z_0}{z_R}\right)^2}$$

In the same way an angular misalignment α is a HG₁₀ mode added in proportion α/Θ , 90° out of phase, with the HG₀₀ mode:

$$u_{00}^{\alpha \text{tilt}}(x, y, z_0) \approx u_{00}(x, y, z_0) + i\frac{\alpha}{\Theta}u_{10}(x, y, z_0)$$
$$\Theta = \arctan\left(\frac{w_0}{z_R}\right) \approx \frac{w_0}{z_R} = \frac{\lambda}{\pi w_0}$$





13



May 31, 2022

TEST SETUP

Polarimeter

- 1.4 m Fabry-Perot optical cavity F = 3000
- Optical table with active isolation system
- Two 2.3 T, 20 cm long permanent magnets (currently out of beam line)
- quadrant photodiodes to generate error signals for the alignment
- Vacuum-compatible actuators to move the cavity and beam injection optics













ALIGNMENT FEEDBACK



May 31, 2022

Fellini



CONCLUSIONS

TAKE-AWAY MESSAGE:

Movements of the optical components and of the beam on them are responsible for ellipticity noise that, if generated inside the cavity, is amplified just like the signal of interest.



> need to control alignment of optical components inside the cavity!

ACTIVITY HIGHLIGHTS:

- 1. Built a test polarimeter equipped with Differential Wavefront Sensing
- 2. Improved rotating waveplate mechanics
- 3. New approach (workaround): modulation of magnetic field
- 4. Realtime sensing of waveplate systematics
- 5. Demonstrated locking (noisy) of the cavity with the rotating HWPs

