Multimessenger constraints for the dark matter interpretation of the Fermi-LAT Galactic center excess Mattia Di Mauro (Torino





Background image: ESO Central image: Fermi-LAT

Fellini General Meeting, 30-31 May 2022 Ferrara



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Multimessenger search for a DM signal in cosmic particles

- Among all cosmic rays, secondaries are the most interesting for DM searches.
- In particular antiprotons, e+, gamma rays and neutrinos are the most studied.
- Antinuclei are also considered because the DM production should exceed the secondary one at low energy.



re the most interesting for DM searches. Tys and neutrinos are the most studied. e the DM production should exceed the



Gamma-ray map from dark matter annihilation



Features in γ-ray and cosmic-ray spectra

Galactic Center

Milky Way Halo

Isotropic contributions

Galaxy Clusters

Dark Matter simulation: Pieri+ 2011PhRvD..83b3518P

Standard picture for the gamma-ray sky







Investigating the *Fermi* Large Area Telescope sensitivity of detecting the characteristics of the Galactic center excess

Paper I

Mattia Di Mauro,* NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA and Catholic University of America, Department of Physics, Washington DC 20064, USA

Paper II

Mattia Di Mauro,* NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA and Catholic University of America, Department of Physics, Washington DC 20064, USA

Multimessenger constraints on the dark matter interpretation of the *Fermi*-LAT Galactic center excess Paper III PRD 103, 123005 (2021)

Mattia Di Mauro Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, via P. Giuria, 1, 10125 Torino, Italy

Martin Wolfgang Winkler Stockholm University and The Oskar Klein Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics, Alba Nova, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden

PRD 102, 103013 2020

The characteristics of the Galactic center excess measured with 11 years of *Fermi*-LAT data

PRD 103, 063029 (2021)

Gamma rays from dark matter annihilation



[review DM searches with gamma rays: Bringmann & Weniger (2012)]

(point-like, extended or diffuse)

It is convenient to define a "J-value":

$$J_{\Delta\Omega} \equiv \int_{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int_{\text{l.o.s.}} ds \rho(\vec{r[s,\Omega]})^2$$

Dark matter density distribution

Salas et al. 2019 Rotation curve galaxy data

DM density	slope	$\rho_s ~[{\rm GeV/cm^3}]$	$r_s \; [\mathrm{kpc}]$	\mathcal{J}	
$\rho_{\odot} = 0.30$					
gNFW	1.20	0.416	12.87	111.5	MIN
gNFW	1.30	0.314	14.18	155.3	
Einasto	0.13	0.376	7.25	288.9	
$\rho_{\odot} = 0.34$					
gNFW	1.20	0.587	11.57	166.1	
gNFW	1.30	0.449	12.67	231.0	MED
Einasto	0.13	0.569	6.35	449.3	
$\rho_{\odot} = 0.38$					
gNFW	1.20	0.851	10.20	246.8	
gNFW	1.30	0.649	11.20	339.1	
Einasto	0.13	0.864	5.51	686.7	MAX

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \frac{1}{\Delta\Omega} \int_{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int_{l.o.s.} \frac{ds}{r_{\odot}} \left(\frac{\rho(r(s,\Omega))}{\rho_{\odot}} \right)^2$$

Geometrical factor integrate in our ROI



Characteristics of the GCE: Summary

Spectrum peaked at a few GeV







No energy dependence of spatial morphology.

The GCE is approximatively

spherically symmetric.



gamma=1.25





Fitting the GCE data with two channels

Channel 1	Channel 2	$M_{ m DM}$	$\langle \sigma v angle$	Br)
		[GeV]	$[10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}]$		
$ au^+ au^-$	$bar{b}$	35.9	1.32	0.20	82
$\mu^+\mu^-$	$b\overline{b}$	47.8	2.42	0.65	90
e^+e^-	$ au^+ au^-$	27.1	0.95	0.84	113
e^+e^-	$c\overline{c}$	24.3	0.79	0.50	112
e^+e^-	$b\overline{b}$	34.7	1.10	0.50	112
$c\bar{c}$	$b\overline{b}$	33.8	1.11	0.32	115





 $\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE} = Br\frac{dN_{\tau^+\tau^-}}{dE} + (1 - Br)\frac{dN_{b\bar{b}}}{dE}$



Milky Way dwarf spheroidal satellite galaxies

- dSphs are among the most promising targets for the indirect search of DM with γ -rays. Mass-to- luminosity ratio of the order of 100 – 1000.
- They have an environment with predicted low astrophysical background



dSphs vs GCE





11



- GCE DM candidates with purely hadronic final states compatible with ULs only for L < 1.8kpc.
- This constraints on L are relaxed for semi-hadronic final states with $L \le 2.6$ kpc, respectively. • ULs on L are 2-3 σ below results obtained with latest radioactive CR data.



Antiprotons vs GCE



- The conservative upper limits are all compatible with the GCE.
- muons and tau leptons.





• Instead, the optimistic ones are compatible for the bb, and mixed channels with

• The channels with electrons are below the GCE DM candidates cross sections.



13

Conclusions

- ULs from dSphs are compatible with the GCE candidates.
- ULs from antiprotons put tight constraints on purely hadronic final state DM.
- ULs from positrons put severe constraints on DM annihilating, even partially, into electrons.



• The GCE has all the right characteristics to be due to annihilating DM particles.

 $M^{T} = 60 \text{ SeV} = 4 \cdot 10^{-20} \text{ M}^{3}$ $B_{r} = B_{r} + \frac{1}{2} = 50 \text{ Set}$ $-X = 0,3 \quad (6v) = 3.10^{-16} \text{ Cm}$ $X = X = X = X = X = X = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$

- What's the origin of the GCE?
 - Further study are needed about the pulsar contribution.
 - Several of these pulsars in the Galactic bulge should be probably already detected by Fermi-LAT.
- Improve the Galactic interstellar emission model and use latest Fermi-LAT catalogs to improve even more the measurements for the GCE.
- Study the GCE and CRs upper limits in the contest of Beyond Standard Model theories.
 - Connect study of dark matter from astroparticle physics with direct detection and collider searches.