# g-mode Oscillations in Neutron Stars

#### C. Constantinou

Ferrara, 31 May 2022







< 三 > < 三 >

- Global, long-lived, nonradial fluid oscillations resulting from fluid-element perturbations in a stratified environment.
- Slow chemical equilibration generates buoyancy forces to oppose dispacement.
- In stably-stratified systems the opposing force sets up oscillations with a characteristic frequency (Brunt-Vaisala) which depends on both the equilibrium and the adiabatic sound speeds.
- g-mode oscillations couple to tidal forces; they can be excited in a NS merger and provide information on the interior composition.
- Detection remains a challenge; but within sensitivity of 3rd generation detectors.

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

### Cowling vs. linearized GR

- In linearized GR, the calculation of g-mode frequencies, damping times, and amplitudes requires the solution of 4 coupled ODEs.
- The relativistic Cowling approximation neglects metric perturbations that must accompany matter perturbations in a GR treatment reducing complexity:

$$\frac{dU}{dr} = \frac{g}{c_{\rm ad}^2} U + e^{\lambda/2} \left[ \frac{I(I+1)e^{\nu}}{\omega^2} - \frac{r^2}{c_{\rm ad}^2} \right] V$$
$$\frac{dV}{dr} = e^{\lambda/2-\nu} \frac{\omega^2 - N^2}{r^2} U + g\Delta(c^{-2})V$$

where 
$$U = r^2 e^{\lambda/2} \xi_r$$
,  $V = \omega^2 r \xi_h$ ,  $\Delta(c^{-2}) = c_{eq}^{-2} - c_{ad}^{-2}$ ,  
 $N^2 = g^2 \Delta(c^{-2}) e^{\nu - \lambda}$ ,  $g = -\nabla P/(\varepsilon + P)$ ,  
and  $\lambda u$  are Schwarzschild metric functions

and  $\lambda$ ,  $\nu$  are Schwarzchild metric functions.

- Accurate to a few % compared to GR.
- Cannot compute imaginary part of eigenfrequeny (damping time).

周下 イヨト イヨト

► The difference  $\Delta(c^{-2}) = c_{eq}^{-2} - c_{ad}^{-2}$  drives the restoring force for g-mode oscillations. For example, in *npe* matter

$$c_{\rm ad}^2 = c_{\rm eq}^2 + \frac{\left[n_B \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mu}}{\partial n_B}\right)_x\right]^2}{\mu_n \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mu}}{\partial x}\right)_{n_B}}$$
$$\tilde{\mu} = \mu_e + \mu_p - \mu_n \xrightarrow{\beta - \rm eq.} 0$$

▶  $c_{eq}^2(n_B) = \frac{dp}{d\epsilon}$  ;  $\beta$ -eq. restored instantaneously.

 $\blacktriangleright \ c_{\rm ad}^2 = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \epsilon}\right)_{\rm x} \quad ; \quad \tau_\beta \gg \tau_{\rm oscillation}.$ 

→ □ → → = → → = → → 0 0 0

# Equation of State

Nucleons: Zhao - Lattimer

$$\epsilon_B = \sum_{h=n,p} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{k_{Fh}} k^2 \sqrt{M_B^2 + k^2} \, dk + n_B V(u,x)$$
  
$$V(u,x) = 4x(1-x)(a_0 u + b_0 u^{\gamma}) + (1-2x)^2(a_1 u + b_1 u^{\gamma_1})$$

Quarks: vMIT

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{q=u,d,s} \left[ \bar{\psi}_q \left( i \partial \!\!\!/ - m_q - B \right) \psi_i + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \right] \Theta$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -G_v \sum_q \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu V^\mu \psi + \left( m_V^2 / 2 \right) V_\mu V^\mu$$

$$\epsilon_Q = \sum_q \epsilon_{\text{FG,q}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{G_v}{m_V} \right)^2 n_Q^2 + B$$

Leptons: noninteracting, relativistic fermions

$$\epsilon_L = \sum_{l=e,\mu} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{k_{Fh}} k^2 \sqrt{m_L^2 + k^2} \, dk$$

ヨト・モヨト

Gibbs

$$\varepsilon^* = (1 - \chi)\varepsilon_H + \chi \varepsilon_Q$$
;  $0 \le \chi \le 1$   
 $P_Q = P_H$ 

Crossover (Kapusta-Welle)

$$P_B = (1 - S)P_H + S P_Q$$

$$S = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mu_0}{\mu}\right)^4\right]$$

$$\mu_0 \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$$

Neutron-star matter

▶ Strong equilibrium:  $\mu_n = 2\mu_d + \mu_u$  ;  $\mu_p = 2\mu_u + \mu_d$ 

▶ Weak equilibrium:  $\mu_n = \mu_p + \mu_e$  ;  $\mu_e = \mu_\mu$  ;  $\mu_d = \mu_s$ 

- Charge neutrality:  $n_p^* + (2n_u^* n_d^* n_s^*)/3 (n_e + n_\mu) = 0$
- Baryon number cons:  $n_n^* + n_p^* + (n_u^* + n_d^* + n_s^*)/3 n_B = 0$

< ヨト < ヨト

#### Sound-speed difference vs. g-mode frequency

- g-modes in Gibbs hybrid matter have a larger frequency range compared to the pure-nucleon and crossover cases corresponding to the behavior of Δ(c<sup>-2</sup>) in the mixed phase.
- Dramatic changes in v<sub>g</sub> require the appearance of new particle species not merely a smooth change in composition.
- The Cowling approximation is qualitatively similar to GR but underestimates ν<sub>g</sub> by up to 10%; does better for low-mass stars.



• Energy per unit radial distance in oscillatory motion:  $\frac{dE_T}{dr} = \frac{\omega^2 r^2}{2} (\varepsilon + P) e^{(\lambda - \nu)/2} \left[ \xi_r^2 e^{\lambda} + I(I+1) \xi_h^2 \right]$ 

- ► ZL, XO × 10
- The Gibbs energy scale is one order of magnitude larger than ZL and KW once quark matter appears (~ 10<sup>5</sup>1 ergs/km vs. ~ 10<sup>5</sup>0 ergs/km).



## Universal relation: $\Omega_g$ vs. $Y^c$

- Universal relations depend weakly on the EOS and can be used to break degeneracies and otherwise constrain difficult-to-access observables.
- ► Given the sensitivity of g-modes to departures from chemical equilibrium, it is likely that N and v<sub>g</sub> depend strongly on composition

• 
$$\Omega_g = GM\omega_g/c^3 = 1.228(Y^c - 0.05)$$



- First calculation of g-mode properties under Gibbs phase rules and for the KW model (both with the Cowling approximation as well as linearized GR).
- g-modes can detect nonnucleonic matter in the cores of NS; assuming quark matter (by some other means), g-modes can distinguish between a first-order phase transition and a crossover.
- Universal relation between  $\Omega_g$  and  $Y^c$ .
- ► (Near) Future:
  - Extend KW to finite *T*.
  - Applications to protoneutron stars (cooling, superfluidity)
  - Other signals?
  - Construct EOS that uses the same underlying description for quarks and hadrons; explore hybrid matter microscopically.

▲ 伊 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト …