### HPGe detector(s) for the measurements of X-rays from kaonic transitions in solid targets at DAPHNEat DAPHNE

#### **Damir Bosnar**

Department of Physics, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia and SIDDHARTA-2 collaboration

• Preparation of the measurement of the charged kaon mass with HPGe detector at DAPHNE

• Properties of the existing HPGe detectors

Croatian Science Foundation Project 8570

#### Nuclear E2 resonance effects in kaonic molybdenum isotopes, online 08.04.2022.

# Motivation

- The accuracy of the determination of the charged kaon mass  $(m_{\kappa}=493.677\pm0.013 \text{ MeV}, 26 \text{ p.p.m.})$  is much less than the accuracy of the charged pion mass  $(m_{\pi}=139.57061\pm0.00023 \text{ MeV}, 1.6 \text{ p.p.m.})$ , PDG2020.
- Serious disagreement between the two precise measurements

->Large scaling factor: S=2.4 (*m<sub>k</sub>*=493.677±0.005 MeV)

- Kaon mass has large influence on the K<sup>-</sup>N scattering lengths and through them on the kaon-nucleon sigma terms and eventually degree of chiral symmetry breaking.
- Kaonic atoms, charmed mesons, searches beyond standard model

#### **Previous measurements**, **motivation** PDG 2020:



The main disagreement is between the two most recent and precise measurements (x-ray energies from kaonic atoms):

#### m<sub>k</sub>=493.696±0.007 MeV

A.S. Denisov et al. JEPT Lett. 54 (1991)558

K<sup>-12</sup>C, crystal diffraction spectrometer

(6.3 eV at 22.1 keV), 4f-3d

![](_page_2_Figure_7.jpeg)

FIG. 1. Right and left reflections of the 4/-3d transition of the  $K^{--1/2}C$  atom. The interferometer readings are plotted along the abscissic, the detector count rate per 10<sup>20</sup> protons is plotted along the ordinate. The vertical lines are the experimental values with the corresponding error; the heavy points are the results of a 6<sup>4</sup>

#### m<sub>κ</sub>=493.636±0.011 MeV

K.P. Gall et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988)186
K<sup>-</sup> Pb, K<sup>-</sup> W; HPGe detector (1 keV), K<sup>-</sup>Pb (9 -> 8),
K<sup>-</sup>Pb (11 -> 10), K<sup>-</sup>W (9 -> 8), K<sup>-</sup>W (11 -> 10),

![](_page_2_Figure_11.jpeg)

FIG. 1. Untagged Pb x-ray spectrum showing intense kaonic x-ray transitions.

Average  $m_{K}$ =493.679 ± 0.006 MeV S=2.4

# Principles of measurements of kaon mass in kaonic atoms

- Measure X-ray energies in kaonic atoms for transitions not influenced by strong interactions.
- In order to determine the kaon mass, the experimental energies have to be compared with the calculated energies obtained with a certain K-mass value (corrections: vacuum polarization, electron screening, non-circular trasitions)
- Measurements with HPGe detectors and with crystal diffraction spectrometer, TES, ...

Kaonic atom formation Kaon cascade -> X-rays Kaon absorption

## X-ray energies in kaonic atoms

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

Interesting X-rays from the the transitions in the middle of spectrum:

- No influence from strong interaction
- avoid electron screening of nuclei

```
e+e-- -> φ -> K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>, E<sub>κ</sub> ≈ 16 MeV
```

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

-> Our aim is to do measurements with HPGe detector(s) during SIDDHARTA-2 run at DA $\phi$ NE - using the available space at the SIDDHARTA-2 interaction region and with different solid targets.

# **SIDDHARTA-2 at DA** $\phi$ **NE** Silicon Drift Detector for Hadronic Atom Research by Timing Application

2021/2022 SIDDHARTA-2 run: X-ray transitions in gaseous targets: deuterium, helium, ...

![](_page_5_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_6.jpeg)

Advantage: DA $\phi$ NE is producing low momenta kaon pairs – no need for degrader. No secondary particles in the beam.

Disadvantage: High electromagnetic background from the beam close to the interaction point (unknown!). Background originating from the kaons absorbed in nuclei.

#### Measurement at DA $\phi$ NE with HPGe during SIDDHARTA-2 run

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

HPGe detector system is independent of SIDDHARTA-2

Signal from the luminometer (80x40x2 mm<sup>3</sup>) as a trigger for HPGe detector.

-> Hardware preparations

-> Simulations (GEANT4)

## HPGe in DAPHNE – drawing, reality

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Measurement at DA $\phi$ NE with HPGe during SIDDHARTA-2

#### BSI HPGe detector with

#### transistor reset preamplifier (TRP).

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

HPGe active detector diameter ~60 mm, height ~60 mm.

Data acquisition:

- analog electronics
- fast pulse digitizer

3.1.	Detection	unit	GCD-30185	characteristics
------	-----------	------	-----------	-----------------

#	Parameter	Value	
1.	Relative efficiency (with respect to 3'' x 3'' NaI detector and Co-60 source mounted 25 cm above the detector) at 1.33 MeV $\gamma$ -photon	> 30 %	
2.	Energy resolution* at • 122 keV • 477.6 keV • 1.33 MeV *Measured with spectrometric device MS Hybrid at input count rate 1000 pulses/sec, shaping time constant = 6 µsec	875 eV 1400 eV 1850 <u>+</u> 30 eV	
3.	Peak shape: • FWTM/FWHM • FW.02M/FWHM	< 1.9 < 2.65	
4.	Spectral Broadening of FWHM up to 100,000 counts/sec for 1.33 Mev	< 8 %	
5.	Peak position shift	<+/- 0.018 %	
6.	Peak to Compton ratio, not worse	58:1	
7.	Energy range of detector operation	40 keV - 3 MeV	
8.	Material of input window	Al	
9.	Cooling time	< 8 hours	
10.	Liquid nitrogen holding time in Dewar vessel	> 15 days	
11.	Dewar volume	301	
12.	<ul> <li>Preamplifier (built – in detector capsule) with cooled FET and transistor reset preamplifier (TRP)</li> <li>Preamplifier power supply is ±12 V with 9 pin connector compatible with NIM standards</li> <li>TTL signal to shut down the HV: - detector warm -0V; - detector cold: +5V</li> </ul>		
	HV INHIBIT – BNC		

### Laboratory tests of HPGe (BSI - TRP preamp) & analog electronics

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

Signal from preamp of HPGe with TRP

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

CAEN spectroscopy amplifier N968, Canberra Multiport II, Canberra Genius DAQ + analysis

Signal from spectroscopy amplifier

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

Pb lines

Stability tests

![](_page_9_Figure_9.jpeg)

1.11 keV at 356 keV 1.67 keV at 1330 keV

# Laboratory tests of HPGe (BSI - TRP preamp) & fast pulse digitizer, CAEN DT5781 4 ch, 14 bit,

#### CAEN DT5781 4 ch, 14 bit, 10ns sampling time

Signal from spectroscopy amplifier  $\sim 20 \ \mu s$  (shaping time 6  $\mu s$ ), restriction on the rate.

Signal from HPGe with RC preamp

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

Signal from preamp of HPGe with TRP

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

 Digital Pulse Processing for Pulse Height Analysis firmware, based on V.T. Jordanov et al. Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 353 (1994) 337

![](_page_10_Figure_10.jpeg)

Possible rates up to 150 kHz, something worse resolution

• Coincidences – HPGe + luminometer

## Adjustment of the parameters for energy reconstruction

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

V.T. Jordanov et al. Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 353 (1994) 337

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

137Cs				Import	Ехро
Input Signal Trigger	Energy Filter				
BaseLine Mean	Rise Time (us	s)	Decay	Time (us	s)
1024 ~	3.00	-	4.80		-
	Flat Top (us	)	Peak	Delay (%	.)
Baseline Clip	2.00	-	50.00		\$
HiRe	es Bal HiF	late	Man -		-
Peak Me Peak HoldOff ( BaseLine HoldOff (	es Bal HiF ean 1 us) 1.00 us) 0.50	late	Man -	**	

# Laboratory tests (Zagreb) of HPGe (BSI - TRP preamp) & fast pulse digitizer, CAEN DT5781 4 ch, 14 bit,

CAEN DT5781 4 ch, 14 bit, 10ns sampling time

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

Low rates – same resolution as with conventional electronics High rates – 10-20% worse resolution

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

 Digital Pulse Processing for Pulse Height Analysis firmware , based on V.T. Jordanov et al. Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 353 (1994) 337

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

Tests: <sup>133</sup>Ba

# HPGe at the LNF, July 2021, holder + shielding, tests Oct., Nov. 2021

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Tests in the lab at LNF, <sup>133</sup>Ba

Resolution, at 302.9 keV:

FWHM ~ 1.33 keV (1.4 keV?)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

(analysis of the data from binary file, event on event basis)

(Resolution with analog electronics: 1.06 keV):

# Measurements of the background in DAPHNE, without beam, 1 day of measurement

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Waiting for the tests and measurements with the beam...

# **Simulations – GEANT4**

- To estimate the thickness and size of the target, setup configuration and HPGe efficiency
- To estimate background from the beam (?) and from the kaon absorption and to determine optimal position of the detector and target (+ target size) and shielding .
- To estimate required time for the measurements.

 Not all parameters are known: beam background !? – test measurements with the beam are required.

## **Simple GEANT4 Simulations – HPGe efficiency**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

X-rays are generated in the lead plate

E(keV) (trans.)	Eff. (%)	Eff. (%)
	(0.3  mm)	(1.1  mm)
90.9 $(13 \to 12)$	0.36	0.11
$116.9 \ (12 \to 11)$	0.50	0.19
$153.9 \ (11 \to 10)$	0.64	0.34
$208.2 \ (10 \to 9)$	0.72	0.51
$291.6 \ (9 \rightarrow 8)$	0.76	0.65
$426.2 \ (8 \to 7)$	0.76	0.71

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

d (mm)	Eff. (%)	Eff. (%)
	(0.3  mm)	(1.1  mm)
110	1.28	1.09
150	0.76	0.65
200	0.45	0.38
300	0.21	0.18
400	0.12	0.11
500	0.07	0.06

Table 1. Efficiencies of the HPGe detector for the X-rays from transitions in kaonic lead for two thicknesses of the target, left. Efficiencies of the HPGe detector for different distances of the HPGe detector from the target, for the X-rays of 291.6 keV and two thicknesses of the target (0.3 mm and 1.1 mm).

D. Bosnar et al. Acta Phys. Pol.B51 (2020) 115

# GEANT4 full simulation

CAD drawing

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

**GEANT4** simulation

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

CAD files for selected objects are converted in GEANT4 gdml files for geometry description, different materials are taken into account

# GEANT4 full simulation

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

Approx. 50 events (291.6 keV) / pb<sup>-1</sup>, 12 pb<sup>-1</sup> /day -> approx. 600 events/day.

~9.000 events -> 10 keV precision (15 days) ~25.000 events-> 5 keV precision (40 days)

Kaons are generated uniformly in  $4\pi$ Only hadronic background., no background from e+e- beams Front shielding of HPGe detector should be optimized

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

## GEANT4 full simulation

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Electromagnetic background ? -> Measurements in the hall

Electromagnetic background will not have influence on this spectra (coincidence luminometer + HPGe), but it can lead to too big pile-ups in the HPGe

# **Second HPGe detector**

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

Application for a new HPGe detector and electronics in 2022 ?

# Thank you for your attention !

# Backup slides

## 1pb-1 (~2h)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)