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$\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{0}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{ \pm} \mathbf{N}^{\boldsymbol{j}}{ }^{\mp} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{K K} \pi^{ \pm}\right) \mathbf{K}^{\mp}$


## Signal MC samples

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~K}^{\mp} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{KK} \pi^{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{K}^{\mp}
$$


$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Exclusive } Z \rightarrow b \bar{b} \text { with } \\ 1 \text { Ok events } @ \sqrt{ }=91.188 \mathrm{GeV}\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Exclusive } Z \rightarrow b \bar{b} \text { with } \\ 1 \text { Ok events } @ \sqrt{ }=91.188 \mathrm{GeV}\end{array}\right.$

Inclusive $Z \rightarrow b \bar{b}$
10k events @ $\sqrt{s}=91.188 \mathrm{GeV}$
Enddecay

CDecay anti-B_s0
\#
1.000 Myphi pi- PHSP;

Enddecay
CDecay MyD_s+
Decay Myphi
1.000 K+ K- VSS;

Enddecay
$\#$
End

## Signal MC samples

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~K}^{\mp} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{KK}^{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{K}^{\mp}
$$

$\{$ Exclusive $Z \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ with

## Enddecay

CDecay anti-B_s0
\#
Decay MyD_s-
1.000 Myphi pi- PHSP;

Enddecay
CDecay MyD_s+
\#
Decay Myphi
$1.000 \mathrm{~K}+\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{VSS}$;
Enddecay
\#

Inclusive $Z \rightarrow b \bar{b}$
${ }^{1}$ Ok events @ $\sqrt{s}=91.188 \mathrm{GeV}$ IncMC

## SigMC

## Status

## $\left.\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{ \pm} \mathrm{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( K K} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{K}^{\mp}$

Identified the $D{ }^{ \pm}$final state Simple selection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{K}} & =2 \\
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{K}} & =1 \\
\mid \mathrm{O}_{\text {Tott }} & =1 \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{KK}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$



## SigMC

## Status

## $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{s}} \mathbf{D}^{ \pm}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( \mathbf { K K } \boldsymbol { \pi } ^ { \pm } ) \mathrm { K } ^ { \mp }}$

Identified the $D^{ \pm}$sinal state Simple selection

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{K}}=2 \\
\mathrm{n}_{\Pi}=1 \\
\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\text {Tot }}\right|=1 \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\text {KK }}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$D_{s}$ identification through the ККп vertex reconstruction

## SigMC

## Status

## 

Identified the $D{ }_{s}$ final state Simple selection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{K}} & =2 \\
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}} & =1 \\
\mid \mathrm{O}_{\text {Tott }} & =1 \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{KK}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$D_{s}$ identification through the ККп vertex reconstruction

PID is $100 \%$
(i.e. made via PDGid)


## IncMC

## Status

## $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{l}} \mathbf{D}^{ \pm}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{K K} \pi^{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{K}^{\mp}$

Identified the $\mathrm{D}^{ \pm}$final state Simple selection

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{K}}=2 \\
\mathrm{n}_{\Pi}=1 \\
\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Tot}}\right|=1 \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{KK}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ identification through the ККп vertex reconstruction

PID is $100 \%$
(i.e. made via PDGid)


## SigMC

## Status

## $\left.\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{ \pm} \mathbf{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( K K} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{K}^{\mp}$

Purely combinatorial
Combine the $\mathbf{D} \pm_{s}$ candidates with the $\mathbf{K}^{\mp}$ requesting

$$
\left|\mathrm{Q}_{\text {Tot }}\right|=1
$$

Despite main peak clearly visible, there is a heap in the low invariant mass region


## SigMC

## Status

## $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{u}} \mathbf{D}^{ \pm}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( \mathbf { K K } \pi ^ { \pm } ) \mathrm { K } ^ { \mp }}$

Many possible reasons:
$D_{s}$ not correctly identified
Kaons mixed
The two hemispheres confused ...

Despite main peak clearly visible, there is a heap in the low invariant mass region


## SigMC

## Status

## $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{s}} \mathbf{D}^{ \pm}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( \mathbf { K K } \boldsymbol { \pi } ^ { \pm } ) \mathrm { K } ^ { \mp }}$



## SigMC

## Status

## $\left.\mathrm{B}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{ \pm} \mathbf{N}^{\boldsymbol{}}{ }^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( K K} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{K}^{\mp}$

If $D_{s}$ get truth-matched, the low mass heap decreases

Though a small contribution is still present

Thought of dividing the K into 2 sub-groups
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ - Kaons
"prompt-" Kaons (i.e. coming from $B_{s}$ )


## Status

## IncMC

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~K}^{\mp} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{K K} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{K}^{\mp}
$$

In any case...
the heap seems to be coming from combinatorial combinations of $K+$ ККп


## SigMC

## Status

## $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}{ }^{ \pm} \mathrm{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{K K} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{ \pm}\right) \mathrm{K}^{\mp}$

Thought of dividing the K into 2 sub-groups
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ - Kaons
"prompt-" Kaons (i.e. coming from $B_{s}$ )

To do so, use $\varphi$ mass as discriminating values

## SigMC

## Status

## $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{ \pm} \mathrm{S}^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( \mathbf { K K } \boldsymbol { \pi } ^ { \pm } ) \mathrm { K } ^ { \mp }}$

Thought of dividing the K into 2 sub-groups
$D_{s}$ - Kaons
"prompt-" Kaons

To do so, use $\varphi$ mass as discriminating values

## Status

## IncMC

## $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{ \pm} \mathrm{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( \mathbf { K K } \boldsymbol { \pi } ^ { \pm } ) \mathrm { K } ^ { \mp }}$

## NB

The "best" $\varphi$ mass is always selected


## Status <br> PID

## REMINDER

We did not have all the necessary ingredients:

1. $\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}$ in Delphes
2. $\mathrm{dN} / \mathrm{dx}$ in EDM4HEP (recently added by Clements)
3. $\mathrm{dN} / \mathrm{dx}$ in key4SimDelphes

Regarding the first item
A function which gives the energy loss by a track at different $\beta \gamma$ was inserted in TrackUtil method A method to evaluate the energy loss cell per cell is being tested
A method to evaluate the truncated mean for the particle identification was added

## NEW

$\mathrm{dE} / \mathrm{dx}$ is in Delphes... some checks to do, but we're almost there

## Full MC

## Status

## $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\mathbf{~}} \mathbf{D}^{ \pm}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{K}^{\mp} \rightarrow \mathbf{( \mathbf { K K } \boldsymbol { \pi } ^ { \pm } )} \mathrm{K}^{\mp}$

http://fcc-physics-events.web.cern.ch/fcc-physics-events/Delphesevents_dev_IDEA.php

|  | Main mode | Decay chain | Background mode | Decay chain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $\boldsymbol{B}_{s} \rightarrow D_{s}^{ \pm} K^{\mp}$ | $D_{s}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \pi^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}_{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{s}^{* \pm} \boldsymbol{K}^{\mp}$ | $D_{S}^{* \pm} \rightarrow \gamma \phi \pi^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$ |
| NB | " | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \rho^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$ | " | $D_{S}^{* \pm} \rightarrow \gamma \phi \rho^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, \rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0}$ |
|  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{B}_{s} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{s}^{ \pm} \boldsymbol{K}^{* \mp}$ | $D_{s}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \pi^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, K^{* \mp} \rightarrow K^{\mp} \pi^{0}$ |
| These data sets |  |  | " | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \rho^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, \rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0}, K^{* \mp} \rightarrow K^{\mp} \pi^{0}$ |
| can be good for 100\% PID |  |  | $\boldsymbol{B}_{s} \rightarrow D_{s}^{ \pm} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\mp}$ | $D_{s}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \pi^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$ |
|  |  |  | " | $D_{s}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \rho^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, \rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0}$ |
|  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{B}_{s} \rightarrow D_{s}^{ \pm} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\bar{\mp}}$ | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \pi^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, \rho^{\bar{\mp}} \rightarrow \pi^{\mp} \pi^{0}$ |
| Will be re-run o |  |  | $B^{0} \rightarrow D_{s}^{ \pm} K^{\mp}$ | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \pi^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$ |
| FC's PID is the |  |  | " | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \rho^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, \rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0}$ |
| FCs PID is there |  |  | $\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow D_{s}^{-} p^{+}$ | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \pi^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$ |
|  |  |  | " | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi \rho^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, \rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0}$ |
|  |  |  | $\Lambda_{b}^{0} \rightarrow D_{s}^{*-} p^{+}$ | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \gamma \phi \pi^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}$ |
|  |  |  | " | $D_{S}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \gamma \phi \rho^{ \pm}, \phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}, \rho^{ \pm} \rightarrow \pi^{ \pm} \pi^{0}$ |

## Conclusion and Outlook

## Conclusion and Outlook

Deep into the analysis, added some functions the FCCSW classes... might push them at some point

ave been reconstructed

into the $\mathbf{B O}_{\mathbf{s}}$ (and the $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ) mass
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Implement(ing) vertex reconstruction

## Conclusion and Outlook

Deep into the analysis, added some functions the FCCSW classes... might push them at some point

Despite PID is $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ correct, $\mathbf{B O}_{\mathbf{s}} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}$ mass have been reconstructed

Some few refinements need to be put into the $\mathbf{B O}_{\mathbf{s}}$ (and the $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ) mass

## Conclusion and Outlook

Deep into the analysis, added some functions the FCCSW classes... might push them at some point

Despite PID is $100 \%$ correct, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}$ mass have been reconstructed

Some few refinements need to be put into the $\mathbf{B O}_{\mathbf{s}}$ (and the $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ) mass

## Next Steps

## Add the PID

(cannot give a time on this, will interface with FC)

## Implement(ing) vertex reconstruction

at the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}$ level (few weeks)

## Conclusion and Outlook

Deep into the analysis, added some functions the FCCSW classes... might push them at some point

Despite PID is $100 \%$ correct, $\mathbf{B o}_{\mathbf{s}} \& \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{s}$ mass have been reconstructed

Some few refinements need to be put into the $\mathbf{B O}_{\mathbf{s}}$ (and the $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ) mass

## Next Steps

## Add the PID

(cannot give a time on this, will interface with FC)

Implement(ing) vertex reconstruction

Reproduce the plots of the $\mathrm{BO}_{\mathrm{s}}$ reconstructed mass on the right ${ }^{[3]}$

Ref. [3] describes a generic FCC scenario, so it would be useful to see them within EDM4hep
 at the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}$ level (few weeks)

Thank you
for the attention!


