

Tau Physics Summary



Alberto Lusiani

**INFN and Scuola Normale Superiore
Pisa**



SuperB Workshop VI

New Physics at the Super Flavour Factory SuperB

January 7-15th, 2008 (IFIC-Valencia)



Outline

- ◆ progress during the workshop
- ◆ physics reach of SuperB golden channels
- ◆ plans

Tau physics topics overview

LFV Decays

- ◆ safe conservative SuperB reach estimate
- ◆ how to estimate improvements
- ◆ improvements we have considered

CPV in tau decay

- ◆ no progress w.r.t. CDR
- ◆ needs tau spin effects simulation

Tau EDM

- ◆ more interesting than assumed in CDR
- ◆ needs tau spin effects simulation

Charged Current Universality Measurements

- ◆ no planned work (syst. limitations)

CPT test on tau lifetime

- ◆ no progress w.r.t. Tuesday
- ◆ 2nd priority vs. golden channels

CPT test on tau mass

- ◆ no planned work (syst. limitations)

Tau $g-2$

- ◆ exp. UL estimate: arXiv:0707.2496 [hep-ph]
- ◆ interesting - not mentioned in CDR
- ◆ needs tau spin effects simulation

LFV decays: $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$

S.Banerjee presentation

- ◆ work to improve selection for *BABAR* analysis (not yet ready)
- ◆ cannot disclose details until the analysis is submitted (before summer?)
- ◆ no new information yet w.r.t. CDR

Conservative estimate

- ◆ start from last published B-Factories paper (*BABAR*, 232 fb^{-1})
- ◆
$$UL_{\text{EXP}}^{90} = \frac{\max(2.4, 1.6 \sqrt{N_{\text{BKG}}})}{2 \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) \cdot \epsilon} \quad N_{\text{BKG}} = 6.2 \cdot (\mathcal{L}/232 \text{ fb}^{-1}) \quad \epsilon = 7.42\%$$
- ◆ $\mathcal{L} = 75 \text{ ab}^{-1} \rightarrow UL_{\text{EXP}}^{90} = 7 \cdot 10^{-9}$
- ◆ in order to get to $UL_{\text{EXP}}^{90} = 2 \cdot 10^{-9}$ (CDR):
 - ▶ either suppress BKG $\times 12$ at constant signal efficiency
 - ▶ or increase efficiency $\times 3.5$ at constant BKG
 - ▶ or increase efficiency & BKG $\times 12$
 - ▶ no proof yet the above can be done

LFV decays: $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ **Improvements that were considered**

- ◆ kinematic $\mu\mu\gamma$ rejection: not yet conclusive
- ◆ *BABAR* analysis re-optimization, NN: in progress, not ready
- ◆ SuperB lower asymmetry, better geom. coverage: estimate *UL* better by 5%
- ◆ smaller beam-pipe, more precise d_0 reconstruction: to be investigated
- ◆ **tau polarization from polarized beams**
 - ▶ (must assume how LFV interaction produces μ, γ momenta w.r.t. tau polarization)
 - ▶ several useful discussions (Swagato, Marcello, Oscar, Tony, ...)
 - ▶ progress done towards simulation with KK & Tauola
- ◆ veto muons in tag-side (minimal improvement)
- ◆ remove muon ID to increase efficiency (more work needed)

LFV decays: $\tau \rightarrow 3\ell$, $\tau \rightarrow \ell hh$, ℓP^0 , ℓV^0 **J.Portoles, $\tau \rightarrow \ell hh$, ℓP^0 , ℓV^0 in constrained MSSM**

- ◆ interesting talk (work by J.P, M.Herrero & E.Arganda) and interesting discussions
- ◆ predictions up to 10^{-7} for $\tau \rightarrow \mu\rho$, $\tau \rightarrow \mu\pi^+\pi^-$
- ◆ if in same model $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ is comparable, should promote the other one to golden channel

Plans

- ◆ will revise CDR limits with more conservative ones based on latest publications
- ◆ will investigate/ document how and how much we can improve with SuperB
- ◆ aim at getting BF predictions at Snowmass points

T/CP-odd observables in tau decay in CDR

- ◆ no progress in these days
- ◆ work on tau spin effects simulation continues
- ◆ estimate experimental reach on simulated events or toy MC

Tau EDM

- ◆ interesting discussions with P.Bernabeu and O.Vives
- ◆ even in MSSM models, the tau EDM may increase better than $\propto m_\tau/m_e$
- ◆ there are models with $\propto m_\tau^3/m_e^3$ dependence that may have tau EDM within SuperB reach

Tau (g-2)

- ◆ assuming SUSY explains $(g-2)_\mu$ exp. vs. theory discrepancy
 $\rightarrow \Delta_{\text{SUSY}}[(g-2)_\tau] = m_\tau^2/m_\mu^2 \cdot \Delta_{\text{SUSY}}[(g-2)_\mu] \approx 1\%(g-2)_\tau$ (P.Paradisi, private comm.)
- ◆ from J.Bernabeu et al. paper
 arXiv:0707.2496v1 [hep-ph] Tau anomalous magnetic moment form factor at Super B/Flavor factories
 \rightarrow SuperB resolution is comparable to $\Delta_{\text{SUSY}}[(g-2)_\tau]$
- ◆ presentation by P.Bernabeu, and fruitful discussions
- ◆ A.Cervelli presentation on work in progress to study possible exp. systematic limitations.
- ◆ measurement can profit from beam polarization (simulation needed)

$$H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$$

- ◆ interesting presentation by Miguel Angel Sanchis Lozano
- ◆ useful discussions on how to derive Higgs parity from tau spin measurement through tau decay
- ◆ also here need tau spin effects simulation

Plans

- ◆ simulate beam polarization and tau spin effects
- ◆ $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$
 - ▶ simulate / estimate use of beam polarization effects for selection
 - ▶ continue exploring UL sensitivity improvements with SuperB
- ◆ understand if other cleaner channels could overperform $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ at SuperB
- ◆ study systematic errors on tau EDM, g-2
 - ▶ g-2: continue study on tau angular distribution
 - ▶ study other proposed measurement methods
- ◆ estimate SuperB resolution on CPV in tau decay
- ◆ collaborate with Matteo (Tools) to define realistic requirements on tools, esp. simulation