# Estimating the SuperB experimental reach on $(g-2)_{\tau}$



Alberto Cervelli Universita' & INFN Pisa SuperB Retreat Valencia, 7-13 Jan 2008



# Outline

- n Observables
- n Experimental issues
  - $_{\rm q}$  Diluition
  - $_{\rm q}$  Systematics

#### n Prospects & Conclusion

What are we looking for?

 ${\tt n}$  We need to measure the polar distribution of  $\tau$  when produced

Need to track back the direction from its producs (and we have neutrinos...)

n Then fit cos(θ) distribution with a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polinomial: goal is to measure ratios between 2<sup>nd</sup> order and constant coefficients

# Observables

We studied for preliminary studies Re[F<sub>2</sub>(s)] with dσ/dcos(θ) fit (not requiring polarized beams): acording to Th. Paper more sensitive w.r.t polarized beam measurement, but more systematically limited.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos(\theta)} = a \cdot \cos(\theta)^2 + b$$

$$a \propto \beta^2 |F_1|^2 \longrightarrow \frac{b}{a} \cdot |F_1|^2 = \frac{2 - \beta^2}{\beta^2} \cdot |F_1|^2 + 4\operatorname{Re}[F_2]$$

$$b \propto (2 - \beta^2) \cdot |F_1|^2 + 4\operatorname{Re}[F_2]$$

### Expectations from Th.

- We want to estimate the systematic limitations of the exp. measurement.
- n We begin considering 1-3 prong  $\tau^+\tau^-$  topologies with a 1-prong tag, whose experimental selection is cleaner
- n Need to tag the sample:
  - g Lepton tag: higher purity & higher diluition (at least 3 neutrinos)
  - <sup>q</sup> Hadronic tag: lower purity & lower diluition (2 neutrinos)
- <sup>n</sup> We will not have any reduction in statistics!!



arXiv:0707.2496v1 [hep-ph] J.Bernabeu et.al Tau anomalous magnetic moment form factor at Super B/Flavor factories

# Experimental issues: tracking

- n Main issues come from tracking efficiency and data- MCmatch in reconstruction.
- n one can expect to understand the event efficincy up to 0.1% (without dedicated studies)
- n Interplay of 2 distinct effects:
  - <sup>q</sup> Diluition: Symmetrical effects affecting reconstruction will smear the  $\cos(\theta)$  distribution introducing systematical errors during unfolding, mainly affects constant term of polynomial **b**
  - Systematic error: Asymmetrical effects could introduce a bias in the  $\cos(\theta)$  distribution altering the shape *a*
- These effects introduce further uncertainty ~0.05% but it can be reduced using dedicated studies and control samples

# Prospects

Improving knowledge of detector and reconstruction can reduce diluition.

Probably we need to constrain pt to improve data-MC match. Dedicated studies





Control samples from ISR decays shown a data-MC mismatch proportional to polar angle.

This will introduce a linear slope in the  $cos(\theta)$  distribution. Not Physical can be used to reduce systematics

# Conclusion

- We are working to estimate the exp. systematic limitations that will affect the expectations of hep-ph 0707.2496
- Due to many detector based issues the time scale should be revised too... (we need a great knowledge of detector and reco.. Not feasible on 1<sup>st</sup> year of running)
- Systematicals coming from tracking will reduce sensitivity as well but we can work around them
- From (very) preliminary studies I would expect a sensitivity of ~1-1.2 10<sup>-6</sup> @ 75 ab<sup>-1</sup>

### Experimental issues for Magnetic FF

χ⁄/nd

2

2.25

A0

A1

1.5

1.75

1.25

Polar Angle  $\theta$  (rad)

- <sup>q</sup> Using physical constraints (no dependence on the cosine of the polar angle for the cross section) the systematical uncertainty can be reduced.
- <sup>q</sup> Using dedicated studies we can take the systematics under control achieving sensitivities for the Magnetic FF as high as 10<sup>-6</sup>

3

2.5

2

.5

1

ģ

0.5

0.75

Data-Mc mismatch (%)



9