Accretion disks around supermassive black-hole binaries can break

Davide Gerosa

University of Milano-Bicocca

Jun 17, 2022 EuCAPT workshop: Gravitational wave probes of black hole environments Rome, Italy

with: G. Rosotti, R. Barbieri, R. Nealon, E. Ragusa, N. Steinle, G. Lodato, B. Veronesi





European Research Council



Supermassive BHs: the road to merger

Begelman, Blandford, Rees 1980 (seminal) Colpi 2014 (review)



Do gravitational waves remember the disk?

Can't really say with the masses, but spins are the secret

- If misaligned: relativistic spin precession in the LISA band
- Unique signal morphology
- Measurable with LISA's signal-to-noise ratios
- Superkicks strongly correlated with spin dynamics

What are the timescales involved?

Inspiral and spin alignment take place simultaneously in the race towards merger

Gas-driven migration

0

-2

-4

·6

$$M_{1} + M_{2} = M(R)$$

$$10^{0}$$

$$10^{1}$$
High-q: speed up
Rafikov 2013 $Low-q:$ viscous time
Armitage & Natarajan 2002
Massive secondary: slow down
Syer & Clarke 1995; Ivanov et al. 1999; Lodato et al. 2009

Gas disks can align the spins

Bardeen-Petterson effect

Bardeen & Petterson 1975; Rees 1978

- 1. Lense-Thirring (GR) precession: **inner disk** quickly aligns $R_{\rm BP} \simeq \left(\frac{\chi}{\alpha_2}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{H}{R}\right)^{4/3} \left(\frac{GM}{c^2}\right) \sim 10^{-3} {\rm pc}$
- 2. Reaction: the **outer disk** pulls the BH spin on a timescale

$$t_{\rm align} \simeq \frac{M}{\dot{M}} \alpha \left(\frac{\chi}{\alpha_2} \frac{H}{R}\right)^{2/3} \simeq 5 \,\mathrm{Myr}$$

Scheuer Feiler 1996 Natarajan Pringle 1998 Martin+ 2007, 2009 Lodato **DG** 2012

In a binary: is there enough time to align the spins?

Adapted from Caproni et al. 2006

A tale of three timescales

DG+ 2015

Arun et al. (LISA team) 2008; Sesana+ 2011

Small secondaries prevent primaries from aligning!

Step 2 arXiv:2004.02894

How to go beyond timescales and predict the residual misalignments?

A systematic approach to solve for structure of the disks and the backreaction onto the BH

Mass conservation

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[R^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\nu_1 \Sigma R^{1/2} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[\nu_2 \Sigma R^2 \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{\hat{L}}}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right]$$

Momentum conservation

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[\frac{R^{1/2}}{\Sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\nu_1 \Sigma R^{1/2} \right) \hat{\mathbf{L}} \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[\left(\nu_2 R^2 \left| \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{3}{2} \nu_1 \right) \hat{\mathbf{L}} \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nu_2 R L \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial R} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\nu_3 R \mathbf{L} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial R} \right) \\ \left. + \frac{2G}{c^2} \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{L}}{R^3} + \frac{3GM_\star \Sigma R^2}{4R_\star^3} \left(\hat{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_\star \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{L}} \times \hat{\mathbf{L}}_\star \right)$$

 u_1 Shear viscosity \mathbf{J} Lense-Thirring torque

 u_2 Vertical viscosity (warps) \mathbf{L}_{\star} Binary companion torque

Mass conservation

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[R^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\nu_1 \Sigma R^{1/2} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[\nu_2 \Sigma R^2 \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{\hat{L}}}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right]$$

Momentum conservation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} &= \frac{3}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[\frac{R^{1/2}}{\Sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\nu_1 \Sigma R^{1/2} \right) \hat{\mathbf{L}} \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[\left(\nu_2 R^2 \left| \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{3}{2} \nu_1 \right) \hat{\mathbf{L}} \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nu_2 R L \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial R} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\nu_3 R \mathbf{L} + \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial R} \right) \\ &\left. + \frac{2G}{c^2} \frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{L}}{R^3} + \frac{3GM_\star \Sigma R^2}{4R_\star^3} \left(\hat{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}_\star \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{L}} \times \hat{\mathbf{L}}_\star \right) \right] \\ \mathcal{V}_1 \quad \text{Shear viscosity} \qquad \mathbf{J} \quad \text{Lense-Thirring torque} \end{aligned}$$

 u_2 Vertical viscosity (warps) \mathbf{L}_{\star} Binary companion torque

Mass conservation

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[R^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\nu_1 \Sigma R^{1/2} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[\nu_2 \Sigma R^2 \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{\hat{L}}}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right]$$

Momentum conservation

Mass conservation

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} = \frac{3}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[R^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left(\nu_1 \Sigma R^{1/2} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[\nu_2 \Sigma R^2 \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{\hat{L}}}{\partial R} \right|^2 \right]$$

Momentum conservation

An iterative boundary value problem

- Look for stationary solutions
- Inner disk is aligned with the BH, outer disk aligned with the binary
- But viscosities are non linear! $\nu = \nu(\alpha, \partial \hat{\mathbf{L}} / \partial R)$ Ogilvie 1999, Ogilvie Latter 2013
- A **new iterative scheme**, which simultaneously solves for the viscosity and the disk dynamics

The shape of the disk

Critical obliquity

(these are the individual disks, not circumbinary)

- Solutions ceases to exists!
- Strong indication this is a physical effects
- Hints previously reported Tremaine and Davis 2014

Coupled alignment and inspiral

A secular path to disk breaking?

Disk physics with gravitational waves?

Is the critical obliquity really there?

Check my 1D stationary solutions against 3D SPH

Tackling the problem head on

Nealon, **DG**+ (2022)

Large suite of >70 SPH runs

Breaking/tearing (single)

Breaking/tearing (multiple)

A surprising agreement...

...with some interesting 3D effects on top (e.g. spirals can prevent breaking)

Can LISA teach us something about disk warps?

Haven't really tackled the LISA problem yet... but **now we have all the ingredients we need...**

DG+, arXiv:1503.06807 DG+ arXiv:2004.02894 Nealon, DG+ arXiv:2111.08065 Steinle, DG arXiv:22xx.soon

Next episode in this story...

Accretion disks around supermassive black-hole binaries can break

Davide Gerosa

University of Milano-Bicocca

Jun 17, 2022 EuCAPT workshop: Gravitational wave probes of black hole environments Rome, Italy

with: G. Rosotti, R. Barbieri, R. Nealon, E. Ragusa, N. Steinle, G. Lodato, B. Veronesi

European Research Council

Backup: scalings

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\rm LT} \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{M}{10^7 M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{\chi}{0.5}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{H_0/R_0}{0.002}\right)^{-4/3} \\ \times \left(\frac{\alpha}{0.2}\right)^{-2} \left[\frac{\zeta}{1/(2 \times 0.2^2)}\right]^{-2} {\rm pc} \,, \\ \kappa \simeq 0.66 \left(\frac{M}{10^7 M_{\odot}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\chi}{0.5}\right)^2 \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{10^7 M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{R_{\star}}{0.1 {\rm pc}}\right)^{-3} \\ \times \left(\frac{H_0/R_0}{0.002}\right)^{-6} \left(\frac{\alpha}{0.2}\right)^{-3} \left[\frac{\zeta}{1/(2 \times 0.2^2)}\right]^{-3} \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega \simeq \left(0.54 \times 10^{0.55\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{M}{10^7 M_{\odot}}\right)^{-1+2\gamma/3} \left(\frac{\chi}{0.5}\right)^{2(\gamma-1)/3} \\ \times \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{10^7 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1+\gamma/3} \left(\frac{R_{\rm b}}{0.05 {\rm pc}}\right)^{-\gamma} \left(\frac{t_{\rm b}}{10^6 {\rm yr}}\right) \\ \times \left(\frac{H_0/R_0}{0.002}\right)^{-2(\gamma+1/3)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{0.2}\right)^{-\gamma-1/3} \left[\frac{\zeta}{1/(2\times0.2^2)}\right]^{2/3-\gamma}$$

(5)

Backup: linear vs nonlinear

Backup: backreaction

Backup: impact of the migration parameter

Backup: disk structure

Backup: disk structure

Backup: disk structure

Backup: importance of iterative procedure

 α

Backup: no solution region

