



Beam test results on PHOSWICH configuration



Experimental set-up



- Single crystal "modified" with a fast scintillator on front face and two SiPMs coupled to the front and rear BGO face.
- Testbeam goal: test for the first time a new BGO prototype and try to discriminate BGO from plastic scintillator signal

Lateral scan

- Beam energies: p70 MeV and C115 MeV/u
- Different beam positions
 (1.5, 4.5, 7.5, 10.5, 13.5, 16.5, 19.5, 22.5) cm
- Beam hits the lateral face of the BGO crystal



Frontal scan

- Beam energies: p70-220 MeV and C115-400 MeV/u
- Beam crosses before the plastic scintillator and then the BGO crystal

	Energy p [MeV]	Energy ([MeV/u
()	70	115
	120	190
	170	260
	227	330
Beam		400





- Analysis on Charge Vs Amplitude scatter plots
- NUV SiPM signals
- A direct proportionality between charge and amplitude in BGO signals is expected
- Summing all the scatter plots with different positions a distribution over the full range of charge and amplitude is



31.05.2022





- Profile of Charge Vs Amplitude scatter plot for all ٠ the positions together
- Fit on the profile to obtain a straight line ٠







- Plot of the separation line, obtained from the lateral scan, is overlapped on frontal scan data with the same energy.
- Selecting only events with charge larger than the fit, we found a distribution similar to the right peak in rising time distribution
- Rising times in BGO signals are higher

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Analyzing scatter plots with higher energies it is easy to see that the slope of the separation line depends on the beam energy





 In order to find a separation line for all the energies, events with rising times corresponding to the right peak(tail) of the rising time distribution were selected





• The profiles of charge versus amplitude scatter plots for all the energies were fitted, obtaining different slopes for each energy







Slope Vs Beam Energy (Carbon_NUV SiPM)

- The slope of the separation line changes with the energy of the incident beam
- The dependence of the slope of the separation line with the beam energy looks linear
- The slopes of all the separation lines can be corrected in terms of the energy.



Charge Vs Amplitude scatter plots with their separation lines corrected in energy :





- Analysis on Charge Vs Amplitude scatter plots
- RGB SiPM signals
- A direct proportionality between charge and amplitude in BGO signals is expected
- Summing all the scatter plots with different positions a distribution over the full range of charge and amplitude is
 obtained











- Plot of the separation line, obtained from the lateral scan, is overlapped on frontal scan data with the same energy.
- Selecting only events with charge larger than the fit, we found a distribution similar to the right peak in rising time distribution
- Rising times in BGO signals are higher





- The slope of the separation line depends on the beam energy
- In order to find a separation line for all the energies, events with rising times corresponding to the right peak(tail) of the rising time distribution were selected
- The profiles of the scatter plots of charge versus amplitude for all the energies were fitted, obtaining different slopes for each energy



Slope Vs Beam Energy (Carbon_RGB SiPM)

- The dependence of the slope of the separation line deviates from linearity as the energy increases
- The slopes of all the separation lines can be corrected in terms of the energy <u>only</u> for lower energies



- BGO signals have higher values of rising times
- The **slope** of the separation line **increases with the beam energy**, with a linear relationship for the signal read by the NUV SiPM
- It's possible to separate for the lower energies the two signal populations and also at higher energies for the signal read by the NUV SiPM
- The identification of the signals originated in BGO is implemented by combining rising time and charge/amplitude information