Machine Learning in Oscillation Experiments *Fernanda Psihas*

MMXXII

arameter.

present

Multi messenger

masses, interactions

Particle the cosmos

Neutrino Os

astrophysics "

Oscillation future a



Takeaways



Increasing algorithm complexity introduces new challenges in bias and uncertainty quantification.



Oscillations experiments must **solve the bias and uncertainty problem in ML** to enable precision measurements for next-generation experiments.

What Is Machine Learning?



Algorithms whose performance for a given task improves with experience



Algorithms

Algorithms

Algorithms



What Is Machine Learning?



Algorithms whose **performance** for a given **task** improves with **experience**

same operating principle increasing complexity

Target (function) approximation

A collection of connected units called artificial neurons or nodes



Activation function and weights set values on each node, given some input.



Target (function) approximation

The accuracy of the output is quantified with a loss function



Target (function) approximation

The accuracy of the output is quantified with a loss function



ML in Signal ID & Parameter Estimation





Event-by-event tagging of the 210Po decay α is essential to the CNO detection.

This is enabled by a Multi-layer perceptron. The MLP exploits the scintillation time-decay differences from alpha and beta-like events.



Experimental evidence of neutrinos produced in the CNO fusion cycle in the Sun

Nature 587, 577–582 (2020) Cite this artic

The Borexino Collaboration

Adoption of BDT improvés theirput multi-site tagging of e CCvelike events, from 34.4% to 46.7% in efficiency.

(ICRC2021) Atmospheric oscillations with Super-Kamiokande and prospects for SuperK-Gd - https://pos.sissa.it/395/008



https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.3262

NNs + Feature Extraction







Convolutional neural networks or CNNs eliminate the inefficiencies coming from feature extraction steps upstream by **disentangling from reconstruction**.

Allow the network to **learn and extract features** rather than selecting them a-priori.

NNs + Feature Extraction







Convolutional neural networks or CNNs eliminate the inefficiencies coming from feature extraction steps upstream by **disentangling from reconstruction**.

Allow the network to **learn and extract features** rather than selecting them a-priori.



NOvA uses Convolutional Neural Networks to extract features and classify events.

CNNs increased effective exposure by 30% compared to traditional ID methods.

Training on neutrino beam and antineutrino beam simulations separately further increased their efficiency for anti-ve signal by 14%

| $\bar{\nu}$ Effic | iency Improv | ement |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Trainin | ng Sample (ID | > 0.9) |
| $\bar{\nu}_e$ CC Signal | $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ CC Signal | $\bar{\nu}$ NC Signal |
| 14% | 6% | 10% |

A convolutional neural network neutrino event classifier

A. Aurisano¹, A. Radovic², D. Rocco³, A. Himmel⁴, M.D. Messier⁵, E. Niner⁴, G. Pawloski³,
 F. Psihas⁵, A. Sousa¹ and P. Vahle²

Published 1 September 2016 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ © 2016 IOP Publishing Ltd and Sissa Medialab srl

Journal of Instrumentation, Volume 11, September 2016





Measurement of Neutrino Oscillations and Improvements from Deep Learning. Fernanda Psihas https://inspirehep.net/literature/1672901

Incorporating Detector Geometry

Graph Neural Networks infer the directional correlations from features and relative positioning of elements in the training data, which is useful for clustering populations.



Spherical CNNs use projections of 2D arrays onto a spherical plane. Good example of potential for adapting CNNs to detector geometry. https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.10130





Graph NNs are natural for clustering PMT signals. GNN based reco. Yields 20% + resolution in energy & zenith. **Expected sensitivity equivalent to** 25% more statistics.

GNNs Neutrino Event Reconstruction. Neutrino 2022 poster. Rasmus Ørsøe. <u>https://indico.kps.or.kr/event/30/contributions/785/</u>





T2K is also using GNNs for removing crosstalk & ghost hits from tracks in preparation for The SuperFGD near detector for

improvements with respect to charge cuts.

| GNN | | | Charge Cut | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| Efficiency Purity | Track 94% 96% | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Other} \\ 96\% \\ 95\% \end{array}$ | Efficiency Purity | Track 93% 80% | Other 80% 91% | |

Graph neural network for 3D classification of ambiguities and optical crosstalk in scintillatorbased neutrino detectors Sa´ul Alonso-Monsalve, et.al. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2009.00688.pdf

ML for neutrino oscillations

Reconstruction

- Background rejection/ classification
- Data quality selections
- Bias reduction
- Hardware triggering
- MonteCarlo generation
- Accelerator operations
- Data-size reduction

These techniques are improving our current sensitivities and informing experiment design for next-gen experiments, enabling physics analyses that would otherwise be impossible.

A Review on Machine Learning for Neutrino Experiments

Fernanda Psihas (Fermilab), Micah Groh (Indiana U.), Christopher Tunnell (Rice U.), Karl Warburton (Iowa State U.) (Aug 3, 2020)

Published in: Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 35 (2020) 33, 2043005 · e-Print: 2008.01242



Maintaining sensitivity to new physics









She would know this is **not** what animals look like in nature.





Maintaining sensitivity to new physics









She would know this is **not** what animals look like in nature.





This is a real fish! Training can enhance or suppress sensitivity to the unexpected!

Model Dependence & Uncertai





(A) Cow: 0.99, Pasture:
0.99, Grass: 0.99, No Person:
0.98, Mammal: 0.98

(B) No Person: 0.99, Water:
0.98, Beach: 0.97, Outdoors:
0.97, Seashore: 0.97

<u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1807.04975.pdf</u> Recognition in Terra Incognita, October 2018. Conference: 15th European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV 2018) The composition of the training samples largely impacts network performance.

Are our algorithms **reproducing the model-based distributions**we train with?



Domain Adversarial Networks

A tool for bias reduction



Reducing model bias in a deep learning classifier using domain adversarial neural networks in the MINERvA experiment. G. Perdue, et.al. <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1808.0833</u>

Bias and Unexpected Learning

Robust physical-world attacks on deep learning visual classification.

68.6%

77.5%

DARKER

FEMALES

Performance on Facial Analysis Task of Gender Classification

98.7%

DARKER

MALES

FKAIROS **98.7%**

amazon

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.08945.pdf. Robust Physical-World Attacks on Deep Learning Visual Classification, June 2018, CVPR DOI:<u>10.1109/CVPR.2018.00175</u>

Targeted-Attack Success

100%

100%

LIGHTER

MALES

92.9%

93.6%

LIGHTER

FEMALES

Joy Buolamwini / MIT Media Lab

Camouflage
GraffitiCamouflage Art
(LISA-CNN)Camouflage Art
(GTSRB-CNN)Image: Camouflage Art
(LISA-CNN)Image: Camouflage Art
(GTSRB-CNN)Image: Camou

100%

66.67%

Gender and racial bias in facial recognition algorithms.

80%



Voice recognition accuracy issues, especially for multi-lingual speakers.

Performance On Real Data







MRE (Muon Removed - Electron):

Select a muon neutrino interaction with traditional ID methods.

Remove the muon hits and replace them with a single simulated electron of matching momentum.

Data/MC comparisons show less than 1% difference in efficiency.

| PID | Sample | Preselection | PID | Efficiency | Efficiency diff % | |
|-----|--------|--------------|--------|------------|-------------------|--|
| CVN | Data | 262884 | 188809 | 0.718222 | -0.36% | |
| | MC | 277320 | 199895 | 0.720809 | | |

Addressing ML challenges to neutrino experiments

... from the research



Bias

Find bias AND reduce bias AND quantify bias

There is NO "unbiased" training sample! (Bias to flat is still bias)



Model Dependence

There is no "model independent" sample! (Non-physical models are still models) Propagate uncertainties through both model training AND model usage Design algorithms that minimize across known systematic uncertainties.





Compare algorithm performance in real data. Design labeled-data training sets (test beams, known sources, etc.) Design further tests of Data-MC robustness.



Sensitivity to new physics

Unsupervised learning to identify missing physics & unexpected learned features Design tools for interpretability: test extracted features, principal component, etc.

Addressing ML challenges to neutrino experiments

... from the community

ML is part of the particle physics toolkit. With increasing complexity, increasing scrutiny is required. Teach the use and interpretation of ML as an essential skill of particle physics research.

Develop techniques for robustness metrics and systematic bias assessment that can become the standard for machine learning applications in particle physics.

Contribute to AI research by developing solutions to the bias and uncertainty questions of the industry broadly.

Particle Physics is Uniquely Applicable to A.I.

DETECTOR DATA

is **information-dense** & **un-labeled** Many times includes **space correlations**/topology.

SIMULATIONS

Produced at **large-scale** and reproducible from physics principles. Tunable to better/worse match **real data.**

MEASUREMENTS

Analyses that produce **high precision** measurements Focus on **uncertainty quantification** and bias assessments.

Conclusions



Machine learning techniques have and will continue to improve our experimental sensitivities in neutrino physics.



Developing expertise as a community will enable us to face the challenges introduced by increasing algorithm complexity

•

Particle physics experiments are uniquely equipped to solve the bias and uncertainty problem in ML for next-gen oscillation experiments and the broader community.

Thank you



