LATEST GRAVITATIONAL WAVE RESULTS

M. Alessandra Papa Max Planck Inst. for Gravitational Physics, Hannover



INSPIRAL

HANFORD, WASHINGTON LIVINGSTON, LOUISIANA RINGDOWN

MERGER

THE NEXT BIG THING

gravitational waves from spinning neutron stars

Binary merger signals

Spinning neutron star signals





no gravitational waves

Binary merger signals

Spinning neutron star signals





Binary merger signals





GRAVITATIONAL WAVES FROM SPINNING NEUTRON STARS

- signal always there
- very weak:

• amplitude
$$h_0 = \frac{2\pi^2 G}{c^4} \frac{I \varepsilon f_{gw}^2}{D} = 2 \times 10^{-25}$$
 for
D=1 kpc
 $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$

 $f_{gw} = 1 \text{ kHz}$

• amplitude from binary merger $\,pprox\,10^{-21}$

THE LONGER THE OBSERVATION IS, THE BETTER

basic idea: combine the data, the signals adds coherently, the noise does not





<u>obviously not to scale !</u>

SIGNAL AT THE DETECTOR





obviously not to scale !

RESOLUTION GROWS WITH OBSERVATION LENGTH

- if now does not know the source of the signal, the data needs to be differently combined for every tentative frequency value and for every sky position
- number of points to evaluate quickly grows with observation time ($\propto T^5$ for a typical all-sky search)



duration X

duration 6X

same patch of sky

MOST CHALLENGING DETECTION PROBLEM OF GW ASTRONOMY

8

 $\approx 10^{26}$ waveforms resolvable with 1 year of data

OPTIMAL SEARCH METHODS CANNOT BE USED

- have to develop new methods
- sacrifice depth and/ or breadth of search, depending on target





OPTIMAL SEARCH METHODS CANNOT BE USED

- P. Brady et al, Searching for periodic sources [...], Phys.Rev.D 57 (1998)
- B.Krishnan et al, The Hough transform search for ..., Phys.Rev.D 70 (2004)
- C. Cutler et al, [...] multiple detectors and multiple GW pulsars, Phys.Rev.D 72 (2005)
- R. Prix, [...] metric of the multi-detector F-statistic, Phys.Rev.D 75 (2007)
- H. Pletsch, Parameter space correlations of the optimal statistic [...], Phys.Rev.D 78 (2008)
- H. Pletsch et al, Exploiting global correlations..., Phys.Rev.Lett. 103 (2009)
- B. Knispel et al, Pulsar Discovery by Global Volunteer Computing, Science 329 (2010)
- B. Owen, How to adapt [...] for r-modes, Phys.Rev.D 82 (2010)
- R. Prix et al, [...] Optimal StackSlide method at fixed computing cost, Phys.Rev.D 85 (2012)
- V. Dergchev, Novel universal statistic [...], Phys.Rev.D 87 (2013)
- P. Astone et al, Method for all-sky searches of continuous [...], Phys Rev D 90 (2014)
- B. Behnke et al, Post processing methods [...], Phys.Rev.D 91 (2015)
- S. Walsh, Comparison of methods [...], Phys.Rev.D 94 (2016)
- M.A. Papa, Hierarchical follow-up [...], Phys.Rev.D 94 (2016)
- P. Leaci et al, Novel directed search strategy ..., Phys.Rev.D 95 (2017)
- Ming et al, Optimally setting up directed searches [...], Phys Rev 97 (2018)
- O. Piccinni et al A new data analysis framework [...], Class.Quant.Grav. 36 (2019)
- S. Walsh, Optimizing the choice of analysis methods [...], Phys.Rev.D 99 (2019)
- Dreissigacker et al, Deep Learning continuous gravitational waves [...], Phys.Rev.D 102 (2020)
- R. Tenorio et al, [..] hierarchical MCMC follow-up [...], Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021)
- P. Covas et al, Improved [...] method [...] for stars in binary systems, arXiv:2208.01543 (2022)





TARGETS



 interesting objects (known pulsars)

regions (galactic centre, globular clusters, young SN remnants)

all-sky

THE PRIMARY GOAL IS TO MAKE THE FIRST DETECTION

very sensitive searches but so far no detection

• can constrain signal amplitude



ALL-SKY SURVEYS

SEARCHING FOR ONE THAT IS NEARBY AND BUMPY ENOUGH BE DETECTABLE

O3 DATA



plausible ε values

EVIDENCE OF MINIMUM ELLIPTICITY ?



Woan et al, ApJ 863 (2018)

INTERESTING DIRECTIONS IN THE SKY



Center of our galaxy

INTERESTING DIRECTIONS IN THE SKY



bright LMXB

INTERESTING DIRECTIONS IN THE SKY



Young supernova remnant

YOUNG SUPERNOVA REMNANT distance D, age τ , evolving solely due to GW emission

$$h_0^{spdwn} = \frac{1}{D} \sqrt{\frac{5GI}{8c^3\tau}}$$

CLOSE-BY SUPERNOVA REMNANTS

SNR	Other name	RA+dec	D	au
(G name)		(J2000)	(kpc)	(kyr)
1.9 + 0.3	_	174846.9 - 271016	8.5	0.1
15.9 ± 0.2	_	181852.1 - 150214	8.5	0.54
18.9 - 1.1	_	182913.1 - 125113	2	4.4
39.2 - 0.3	3C 396	190404.7 + 052712	6.2	3
65.7 ± 1.2	DA 495	195217.0 + 292553	1.5	20
93.3 + 6.9	DA 530	205214.0 + 551722	1.7	5
111.7 - 2.1	Cas A	232327.9 + 584842	3.3	0.3
189.1+3.0	IC 443	061705.3 + 222127	1.5	3
189.1 + 3.0	IC 443	061705.3 + 222127	1.5	20
266.2 - 1.2	Vela Jr.	085201.4 - 461753	0.2	0.69
266.2 - 1.2	Vela Jr.	085201.4 - 461753	0.9	5.1
291.0 - 0.1	MSH 11-62	111148.6 - 603926	3.5	1.2
330.2 + 1.0		160103.1 - 513354	5	1
347.3 - 0.5		171328.3 - 394953	0.9	1.6
350.1 - 0.3		172054.5 - 372652	4.5	0.6
353.6 - 0.7	_	173203.3 - 344518	3.2	27
354.4 + 0.0	_	173127.5 - 333412	5	0.1
354.4 + 0.0	_	173127.5 - 333412	8	0.5

• Ming et al, Optimally setting up directed searches [...], Phys Rev 97 (2018), Phys Rev D 93 (2016)



ACCRETING NEUTRON STARS



SPINS OF ACCRETING NEUTRON STARS



Patruno Haskell Andersson, ApJ 850 (2017)

SYNTHETIC POPULATION WITH GRAVITATIONAL WAVE EMISSION



F. Gittins, N. Andersson, Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. 488 (2019)

TORQUE BALANCE

$$h_0^{torq.bal.} = 3.4 \times 10^{-26} X^{-\frac{1}{2}} M^{-\frac{1}{4}} r_m^{\frac{1}{4}} F_X^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{GW}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for R=10 km, M=1.4 M_{\odot} , f_{GW} =600 Hz, r_m = 10 Km, $F_X/X = 3.9 \times 10^{-7}$ erg cm²s⁻¹



$$h_0 = \sqrt{\frac{5G}{2\pi^2 c^3}} \frac{\dot{E}_{GW}}{d^2 f_{GW}^2}$$

torq. bal. if
$$\dot{E}_{GW} = \pi f_{GW} N_{acc}$$

$$N_{acc} = \dot{M} \sqrt{GMr_m}$$

$$4\pi d^2 F_X = X \frac{GM\dot{M}}{R}$$

SCORPIUS X-1 BRIGHTEST X-RAY SOURCE (AFTER SUN)



Abbott et al, arXiv:2209.02863 (2022)



Abbott et al, arXiv:2209.02863 (2022)

"The only guarantee for failure is to stop trying." –JOHN C. MAXWELL

