12th Cosmic Ray International Seminar - CRIS 2022

Overview and status of gravitational-wave astronomy

Tito Dal Canton Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab





Gravitational-wave theory

Einstein field equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}$$

Linearization Flat spacetime Small perturbation

$$g_{\mu
u}=\eta_{\mu
u}+h_{\mu
u}$$





Astrophysical source Mass ~ 10 M_{Sun} Velocity ~ c Mass quadrupole Q

$$h_{ij} \sim \frac{G}{c^4} \frac{\ddot{Q}}{r} \sim \Delta L/L \sim 10^{-22}$$

Experimental measurement of gravitational waves



Gravitational-wave data



Quasi-stationary quasi-Gaussian noise



. . .

Transient noise, "glitches"

Astrophysical signal

Short-lived / persistent Narrow-band / wide-band Strongly-modeled / weakly-modeled Compact binary mergers Core-collapse supernovae Rotating neutron stars Cosmic string bursts

Data analysis tasks, tools and publication of results

Detector characterization, noise removal, data visualization BayesWave, GWPy, iDQ, Omicron...

Identification of astrophysical signals

Compact binary mergers: GstLAL, MBTA, PyCBC, SPIIR Short transients: Coherent WaveBurst, X-pipeline Long transients: STAMPAS Quasi-monochromatic signals: FrequencyHough, SkyHough, SOAP, TD F-stat Stochastic background: PyStoch Multimessenger events: PyGRB, RAVEN, X-pipeline

Characterization of individual signals

BAYESTAR, BayesWave, LALInference/Bilby, PyCBC, pyRing, RIFT...

"Hyperanalyses"

. . .

. . .

Cosmology: gwcosmo, ICAROGW Population properties Lensing of gravitational waves Tests of General Relativity

Low-latency results

Latency: seconds to hours. Content: significance, timing, rough spatial localization, rough source classification.



Medium-latency results

Latency: hours to days.

Content: improved localization and classification. Distributed via GCN notices and circulars.

"Offline" results – Event catalogs

Latency: months to years. Content: full event-by-event characterization. LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA: GWTC, GWOSC. AEI Hannover: OGC.

A guide to LIGO-Virgo detector noise and extraction of transient gravitational-wave signals LIGO & Virgo collaborations; arXiv:1908.11170

90% area: 1251 de

Sensitivity improvement over the years



Detection rate ~ range³ for $z \le 1$, then cosmology.

Range grows with mass up to ~100 M_{Sun} then drops back to zero.

Evolution of detections with sensitivity





Total mass ~65 M_{Sun} redshift ~0.1



- Direct detection of GWs. LIGO/Virgo, arXiv:1602.03837
- Unambiguous observation of a black hole merger. LIGO/Virgo, arXiv:1608.01940
- Measurement of merger rate density.
- Establish GW astronomy.

GW170817, GRB 170817A and AT 2017gfo







- Unambiguous observation of a neutron star merger. LIGO/Virgo, arXiv:1710.05832
- Measurement of NS-NS merger rate density.
 - Link NS mergers and short GRBs.
 LIGO/Virgo/Fermi-GBM/INTEGRAL, arXiv:1710.05834
- Unambiguous observation of a kilonova.
- Equation of state of dense nuclear matter.
- Establish multimessenger astronomy with GWs. LIGO/Virgo/others, arXiv:1710.05833





- Shortest transient confidently detected. LIGO/Virgo, arXiv:2009.01075
- Various astrophysical interpretations possible. LIGO/Virgo, arXiv:2009.01190
- Simplest one: BH-BH merger of total mass ~150 M_{Sun} at redshift ~0.6, e.g. "tail" of population.





- Ambiguous nature of the secondary object: either a very light BH or a very massive NS.
- Estimates of max possible NS mass favor the first hypothesis.
- The combination of masses, mass ratio, and rate is challenging to explain.

ApJ Letters, 896:L44 (20pp), 2020





- Most likely a NS-BH merger. LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA, arXiv:2106.15163
- However, no robust EM counterparts found so far...
- ...and signal too weak to infer the nature of the least massive object.

Population of binary-black-hole mergers

LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA, arXiv:2111.03634



Population of neutron-star mergers

LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA, arXiv:2111.03634





Fixed BH mass model + galaxy catalog

Lensing effects

Abbott et al 2021 ApJ 923 14









ESA/Hubble & NASA

NASA, ESA, and STScI

NASA, ESA, Hubble SM4 ERO Team, ST-ECI

- Magnification of individual events; distortion of individual waveforms; repeated events.
- A priori expected rate very small, $\leq 10^{-3}$.
- Multiple searches performed using 2019 data \rightarrow No evidence for lensing effects so far.
- Assuming no lensing, we can constrain the compact binary merger rate at high redshift.



Consistency with General Relativity

LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA, arXiv:2112.06861

Residual tests

Inspiral-merger-ringdown consistency

Post-Newtonian

GW dispersion relation

GW polarization

Spin-induced quadrupole moment of compact objects

Remnant object properties / quasi-normal modes

Post-merger echoes



No evidence for any new physics here. Improved limit on graviton mass:

 $m_g \leq 1.27 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{eV}/c^2$

Updated 16 June 2022	— 01	O 2	O 3	— 04	O 5
LIGO	80 Mpc	100 Мрс	100-140 Мрс	160-190 Mpc	240-325 Mpc
Virgo		30 Мрс	40-50 Мрс	80-115 Mpc	150-260 Mpc
KAGRA			0.7 Мрс	(1-3) ~ 10 Mpc	25-128 Mpc

Next update 15 November

Third-generation ground-based detectors





GW astronomy has been around for 7 years as of this week.

Discoveries dominated by binary BH mergers, with a few NS mergers. Starting to see interesting details in the BH population. More NS mergers needed to really start probing their population.

General Relativity neatly explains all these observations.

Still, many open questions and raised eyebrows in many directions... E.g. what will Nature offer *beyond* compact binary mergers?

Next year is going to be hectic. Surprises and new questions expected.

We will definitely not answer all the questions with today's observatories.

Thank you for your attention!