# Teaming up for supernova discovery Exploiting synergies between neutrino experiments for the next galactic core-collapse supernova

M. Bendahman, A.C. Buellet, M. Bugli, J. Coelho, A. Coleiro, J. Dawson, V. van Elewyck, S. El Hedri, T. Foglizzo, D. Franco, I. Goos, J. Guilet, A. Kouchner, J. Novak, M. Oertel, T. Patzak, S. Sacerdoti, A. Tonazzo, C. Volpe, G. de Wasseige (LEAK collaboration)













Laboratoire Univers et Théories



#### **Core-collapse supernovae** Extreme, complex, and not-fully-understood phenomena



Proto-neutron star

- End of life of a heavy star (> 8 solar masses)
- Collapse of the core of the star: explosion or black hole formation
- Nucleosynthesis of heavy elements, key role in star formation
- Conditions for explosion not fully understood  $\Rightarrow$  Need to observe the core of the star



#### **Core-collapse supernovae** Extreme, complex, and not-fully-understood phenomena



Proto-neutron star

- End of life of a heavy star (> 8 solar masses)
- Collapse of the core of the star: explosion or black hole formation
- Nucleosynthesis of heavy elements, key role in star formation
- Conditions for explosion not fully understood  $\Rightarrow$  Need to observe the core of the star





- **Complementary** information from the different **flavors**





H. T. Janka [arXiv:1702.08713]





- **Complementary** information from the different **flavors**





H. T. Janka [arXiv:1702.08713]





- **Complementary** information from the different **flavors**



H. T. Janka [arXiv:1702.08713]





- **Complementary** information from the different **flavors**







### The LEAK project **Combining neutrino experiments to characterize supernovae**

- Joint project, gathering: **Experimentalists** from KM3NeT, DUNE, and DarkSide-20k (APC) **Theorists** working on **CCSN** simulations (AIM, CEA) proto-neutron-star dynamics (LUTh) neutrino flavor conversions (APC)
- Improve the sensitivity of large-scale water Cherenkov telescopes to core-collapse supernovae Historically: Low Energy Analyses at KM3NeT
- Investigate the impact of progenitor properties on neutrino spectra
- Characterize supernova and neutrino properties by combining complementary neutrino experiments



#### The LEAK project Combining neutrino experiments to characterize supernovae

- Joint project, gathering: Experimentalists from KM3NeT, DUNE, and DarkSide-20k (APC) Theorists working on CCSN simulations (AIM, CEA) proto-neutron-star dynamics (LUTh) neutrino flavor conversions (APC)
- Improve the sensitivity of large-scale water Cherenkov telescopes to core-collapse supernovae *Historically: Low Energy Analyses at KM3NeT*
- Investigate the impact of progenitor properties on neutrino spectra
- Characterize supernova and neutrino properties by combining complementary neutrino experiments



### Featured neutrino experiments **KM3NeT, DUNE, and DarkSide-20k**



Water Cherenkov detectors (starring KM3NeT)

Effective mass: 100 ktons



#### DUNE (liquid argon detector)

 $\mathcal{V}_{\rho}$ Effective mass: 40 ktons



#### **LEAK** activities Characterizing core-collapse supernovae

 Locate supernovae using triangulation See previous talk by M. Bendahman [Core-collapse supernovae at KM3NeT]

From neutrinos to supernovae: combine neutrino observations

• From supernovae to neutrinos: rotating progenitors with magnetic fields

#### **LEAK** activities Characterizing core-collapse supernovae

 Locate supernovae using triangulation See previous talk by M. Bendahman [Core-collapse supernovae at KM3NeT]

From neutrinos to supernovae: combine neutrino observations

From supernovae to neutrinos: rotating progenitors with magnetic fields

## **CCSN** properties from neutrino rate variations Each flavor has its own story to tell

- All neutrino experiments can record the neutrino rate time variations (aka light curves)
- Complementary flavor sensitivity  $\Rightarrow$  distinctive light curves for each experiment
- Predict light curve shapes using CCSN simulations
- Build observables to combine observations and infer CCSN parameters



27 solar mass progenitor with LS220 equation of state Simulations from A. Mirizzi et al [Nuovo Cimento Rivista Serie, 39, 1]



### **Combining detector observations Preliminary study with "perfect" detectors**

- Optimistic scenario: neglect background and resolution effects
- Investigate all CCSN phases  $\Rightarrow$  predictions using **1D simulations** A. Mirizzi et al [Nuovo Cimento Rivista Serie, 39, 1]
- Flavor conversion: assume **MSW adiabatic transitions** for all phases
- Observables: relative contribution of electronic flavors

Ratio = KM3NeT + DUNE

- DarkSide
- KM3NeT + DUNE DarkSide

Estimate observables over different time windows, evaluate discriminating power

KM3NeT + DUNE + DarkSide

11 solar masses



nverted ordering

Normal ordering



11 solar masses



nverted ordering

Normal ordering



11 solar masses





11 solar masses





### Identifying the neutrino mass ordering **Ratio of electronic neutrinos rates over all flavor contributions**



- Effect expected to be robust, warrants a more in-depth study



• The ratio of electronic vs non-electronic flavors strongly depends on the neutrino mass ordering



### From mass ordering to survival probabilities The wonders of the DUNE/DarkSide ratio

• The visibility of the neutronization peak in DUNE depends on  $p_{\rho\rho}$ 

> Inverted ordering:  $p_{\rho\rho} \sim 0.3$ Normal ordering:  $p_{\rho\rho} \sim 0.02$

- Traditional approaches: measure mass ordering with DUNE alone, compare trigger times between experiments, etc.. V. Brdar et al [JCAP 08 (2022) 067]
- Our approach: compare DUNE and DarkSide results to measure  $p_{\rho\rho}$





### Estimate the $\nu_e$ survival probability **Capturing the neutronization peak**



- $p_{\rho\rho}$  dependence of DUNE/DarkSide ratio for 3D simulations with different progenitor masses  $\Rightarrow$  similar dependence across models, only %-level variations
- (e.g. neutrino decay, see A. de Gouvea et al [Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 4, 043013])

Simulations from I. Tamborra et al [Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 4, 045032]



Perspectives: extract neutronization peak to reduce model dependence, constrain new physics scenarios





#### **LEAK** activities **Characterizing core-collapse supernovae**

 Locate supernovae using triangulation See previous talk by M. Bendahman [Core-collapse supernovae at KM3NeT]

From neutrinos to supernovae: combine neutrino observations

• From supernovae to neutrinos: rotating progenitors with magnetic fields

## **Atypical supernovae: rotation and magnetic fields** Unraveling the dynamics of gamma ray bursts with neutrinos



- Rotating progenitors + magnetic fields of ~10<sup>15</sup>G can lead to magnetars
- Magnetic fields modify the rotation profile of the CCSN progenitor
- Impact of the magnetic field magnitude and **polarity** on the CCSN explosion?
- Investigate the imprints of magnetic fields on the CCSN neutrino spectra



### Simulating magnetic fields Impact on the magnetic field polarity on the accretion phase

 $\log(|B_{r,\theta}|/B_0)$ 





- Effects of magnetic field alone: 3D simulations, rotating 28M. progenitor
- One "control" simulation with no magnetic field
- Add an initial  $10^{12}$  G field, test different polarities
- Run simulations up to 400-600 ms

M. Bugli et al, Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. 507 (2021) 1, 443-454

#### **Magnetic fields and neutrino fluxes** Impact of magnetic fields in the progenitor's equatorial plane



- Most robust predictions in the equatorial plane
- Magnetic fields reduce neutrino emission during the late accretion phase
- Reduction observed for all flavors, with varying strengths
- Is this reduction visible in all experiments?





### Capturing the effect of magnetic fields A noticeable impact in most neutrino experiments





Proof of principle: compare the numbers of observed events in [200-400] ms

• All experiments can reject the "no B" hypothesis up to almost the galactic center

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Capturing the effect of magnetic fields A noticeable impact in most neutrino experiments

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

Proof of principle: compare the numbers of observed events in [200-400] ms

• All experiments can reject the "no B" hypothesis up to almost the galactic center

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Conclusion

- experiments and enrich the landscape of possible neutrino signatures
- Key asset of coherent neutrino-nucleus scattering experiments
- neutrino experiments (e.g. suppression of the neutrino flux)
- Future: build a catalogue of observables and CCSN simulations  $\Rightarrow$  toolbox to characterize the next core-collapse supernova

Supernova studies go two ways: extracting supernova properties from neutrino

Combining neutrino experiments allows to evaluate flavor conversion parameters

Strong magnetic fields in supernova progenitors can lead to visible signatures in

![](_page_28_Figure_10.jpeg)

### **Distinguishing progenitor masses** Asymmetry between electronic and non-electronic flavors

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Need more complex observables, test robustness w.r.t CCSN models carefully

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Higher discriminating power for the asymmetry than for the ratio, no significant discrepancy at 10kpc

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)