LIGHT DARK MATTER DETECTION

NEW IDEAS AND NEW TOOLS

Angelo Esposito



SAPIENZA Università di Roma

Ricap-22, Sapienza, September 2022

OUTLINE

- Searching for sub-GeV dark matter
- The effective theory approach
- <u>MeV to GeV</u>: Migdal effect in semiconductors
- <u>keV to MeV</u>: superfluid ⁴He and anti-ferromagnets
- Outlook

SUB-GEV DARK MATTER

• Most of the matter (~80%) that interacts gravitationally is dark



- One of the strongest evidences for physics beyond the Standard Model
- However... huge possible mass range
 →
 detection techniques
 vary widely depending on the dark matter mass



SUB-GEV DARK MATTER



- Dark matter is a particle but too light for nuclear recoil
- Need new materials or observables



EFT APPROACH

- For sub-GeV dark matter one needs to delve into the condensed matter world
- Need to account for the complicated many-body physics (correlations, strong coupling, ...), e.g.:



• It would be ideal to be able to ignore these intricacies





EFT APPROACH

- Hierarchy between scales (energy, time, wavelength, ...)
 formulate the problem in effective field theory terms
- The EFT is universal

 independent on the complicated
 physics of the far away scale, e.g.



• Apply the same idea to condensed matter!



<u>MeV to GeV</u> Migdal effect in semiconductors

[Berghaus, AE, Essig, Sholapurkar - to appear]





MIGDAL EFFECT

- For sub-GeV dark matter nuclear recoil signals become challenging
 sensitivity can be lowered by looking for inelastic processes
 [e.g., Essig, Mardon, Volansky PRD 2012, 1108.5383; Kouvaris, Pradler PRL 2017, 1607.01789]
- One such process is the Migdal effect



• Less likely... but lower threshold! sensitivity to O(100 MeV) masses

SAPIENZA

Angelo Esposito

expected to lower the

[e.g., Vergados, Ejiri - PLB 2005, hep-ph/0401151; Ibe, Nakano, Shoji, Suzuki - JHEP 2018, 1707.07258]

MIGDAL EFFECT

Semiconductors have small 𝒪(eV) bandgap
 → Migdal effect should allow to probe down to 𝒪(MeV) masses



• So far approached only under some simplifying assumptions



[Liang, Zhang, Zheng, Zhang - PRD 2020, 1912.13484; Liu,

Wu, Chi, Chen - PRD 2020, 2007.10965; Knapen, Kozaczuk, Lin

- PRL 2021, 2011.09496; Liang, Mo, Zheng, Zhang - PRD 2021,

2011.13352; Liang, Mo, Zheng, Zhang - 2205.03395]



en, Kozaczuk, Lin – PRL 2021, 2011.09496] Sapienza, September 2022







EFT

Migdal effect in old-fashioned perturbation theory



- Separation of scales ($\omega \sim eV \gg E_{ph} \sim 10$ meV) allows to integrate out the intermediate lattice mode

$$H_{eff} = \frac{1}{m_N \omega^2} \overrightarrow{\nabla} H_{\chi L} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla} H_{eL} + \mathcal{O}(1/\omega^3) \qquad \text{[Berghaus, Sholapurkar]}$$





Sapienza, September 2022

AE, Essig, - to appear]

MIGDAL RATE

• Now simple to determine the rate for Migdal emission

$$\frac{d^{2}\Gamma}{d\omega dE_{ph}} \propto \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{Q}} \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K})}{|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}| |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q}|} \operatorname{Im} \left(-\epsilon_{\mathbf{K}\mathbf{Q}}^{-1}(\mathbf{k},\omega)\right) S(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}, E_{ph})$$
energy loss function (ELF) — electronic dynamics structure factor — crystal response

 Energy loss function is already well studied

[e.g., Knapen, Kozaczuk, Lin - PRD 2021, 2101.08275; Hochberg et al. - PRL 2021, 2101.08263; Knapen, Kozaczuk, Lin - PRD 2022, 2104.12786]

• Structure factor should be measured from neutron scattering data

$$\frac{d^2\sigma_n}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{\sigma_n}{4\pi} \frac{k_f}{k_i} S(q, E)$$

• No data yet in the range of interest ($q \simeq 10 \text{ keV} - 100 \text{ keV}$)



MIGDAL RATE

• If not interested in phonon energy, the rate is independent on the details of the crystal lattice!



[Berghaus, AE, Essig, Sholapurkar - to appear]

• The description of Migdal effect in semiconductor is now complete

Angelo Esposito



<u>keV to MeV</u> superfluid ⁴He and anti-ferromagnets

[Acanfora, AE, Polosa - EPJC 2019, 1902.02361; Caputo, AE, Polosa - PRD 2019, 1907.10635; Caputo, AE, Geoffray, Polosa, Sun - PLB 2020, 1911.04511; Caputo, AE, Piccinini, Polosa, Rossi - PRD 2021, 2012.01432]

[AE, Pavaskar - to appear]



COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS

see e.g., Trickle et al. — JHEP 2020, 1910.08092; Griffin et al. — PRD 2020, 1910.10716; Coskuner et al. — PRD 2022, 2102.09567]



- I will focus on two possibilities:
 - A. <u>Spin-independent interactions</u>: phonons in superfluid ⁴He

[see also Guo, McKinsey - PRD 2013, 1302.0534; Schutz, Zurek - PRL 2016, 1604.08206; Kapen, Lin, Zurek - PRD 2017, 1611.06228; Baym et al. - PRD 2020, 2005.08824]

B. <u>Spin-dependent interactions</u>: magnons in anti-ferromagnets

[see also Trickle, Zhang, Zurek - PRL 2020, 1905.13744; Mitridate, Trickle, Zhang, Zurek - PRD 2020, 2005.10256]

Angelo Esposito



COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS

- Try to detect the collective modes created by the interaction with a dark matter particle
- <u>Simple part</u>: dark matter interaction with material

superfluid ⁴ He	anti-ferromagnets	[Acanfora, AE , Polosa — EPJC 2019, 1902.02361; AE , Pavaskar — to appear]
$\mathscr{L}_{int} \sim \bar{\chi} \chi \rho_m(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$	$\mathcal{L}_{int} \sim \bar{\chi} \chi f(\mathbf{q}) \rho_s(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$	

Collective modes:

Angelo Esposito

SAPIENZA

B. anti-ferromagnet -> magnon (spin wave)



EFT

- <u>Hard part</u>: description of the dynamics of phonons and magnons
- Superfluids and anti-ferromagnets spontaneously break a set of symmetries

 gapless collective excitations are Goldstones
- When $q \ll 1/a$, they can be described by an EFT:

$$\begin{split} & \text{superfluid phonon} & \text{magnon in anti-ferromagnets} \\ \mathscr{L}_{\pi} \sim \dot{\pi}^{2} - c_{s}^{2} (\nabla \pi)^{2} + \lambda \dot{\pi}^{3} + \lambda' \dot{\pi} (\nabla \pi)^{2} & \mathscr{L}_{\mu} \sim (\dot{\mu}^{a})^{2} - v_{\mu} (\nabla \mu^{a})^{2} + \dots \\ \rho_{m} \sim g_{1} \dot{\pi} + g_{2} \dot{\pi}^{2} + g_{3} (\nabla \pi)^{3} + \dots & \rho_{s}^{i} \sim c_{6} \left(\delta^{ia} \dot{\mu}^{a} + \delta^{i3} \epsilon^{ab} \mu^{a} \dot{\mu}^{b} + \dots \right) \\ & \text{from equation of state data} \\ & \text{[Acanfora, AE, Polosa - EPJC 2019, 1902.02361]} & \text{from neutron scattering data} \\ \end{split}$$

• Use particle physics method to compute condensed matter rates!

SAPIENZA Università di Roma

SUPERFLUID⁴He

- Calculation of event rates are now easy
- Reproduce (and correct) the result obtained with standard methods
- Extend to 3-phonon emission and discuss intriguing directional signal





[Caputo, AE, Polosa - PRD 2019, 1907.10635; Caputo, AE, Polosa - J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 2020, 1911.07867]



[Caputo, **AE**, Piccinini, Polosa, Rossi - PRD 2021, 2012.01432]

Sapienza, September 2022

17/19

ANTI-FERROMAGNETS

18/19

- Identified the optimal candidate \rightarrow NiO has $v_m \simeq v_{\chi}$ can probe down to $\mathcal{O}(\text{keV})$ masses with single magnon
- The EFT makes it possible to also compute the 2-magnon rate
 push all anti-ferromagnets to O(keV)



SAPIENZA

Angelo Esposito



OUTLOOK

- The search for sub-GeV dark matter requires new ideas
- For light dark matter one must delve in the condensed matter world
- Many problems can be made simple by the use of EFTs
- Migdal effect: push the sensitivity of present day experiments to O(MeV) masses
- ⁴He and anti-ferromagnets: using collective excitations can probe spin-indep. and spin-dep. interactions down to $\mathcal{O}(\text{keV})$

