### In-situ JES validtation with photon+jet events

#### M. Cascella, V. Giangiobbe







# Events with one prompt photon and (at least) one jet

Use the balancing in the transverse plane to check the Jet Energy calibration



M. Cascella, V. Giangiobbe



#### $E' = E_{\tau}^{\gamma} \cosh(\eta^{jet})$

- Using E' to estimate the jet energy
- $R = E^{jet} / E'$
- We compute both <R> and <E<sup>jet</sup>> in bins of E'

# Experimental data

#### Periods A to E (L = 1 pb<sup>-1</sup>)

GRL: the one provided for QCD analysis (CONF notes)

#### Event selection

- Event cleaning (nVtracks>4)
- L1 trigger: EM14
- one good photon (TightIso), at least one central good jet (IsGood, |η|<2.8)</li>
- jet and photon back-to-back ( $|\Delta \phi \pi| < 0.2$ )
- no  $2^{nd}$  jet ( $P_{T}^{2nd} < 10\% P_{T}^{1st}$ )

Comparison with data:  $P_{+}^{\gamma}$ , E'



<u>0 < η < 2.8</u> <u>E' spectrum still preliminary</u>

08/09/10

### Comparison with data (EM scale)



R in bins of E'



N events (normalized to unity)

N events (normalized to unity)

### Comparison with data (EM scale)



#### Comparison with data (EM scale)







# This is a data driven measurement of the calorimeter response to jets

## *The gobal calibration on γ+jet events*



#### On γ+jet events the global weight calibration has a small bias

#### Comparison with data (alobal calibration)



### Comparison with data (global calibration)







N events (normalized to unity)

N events (normalized to unity)

### Comparison with the data (H1)



• 0 <  $|\eta|$  < 2.8; H1 applied

Jets calibrated with H1



#### Conclusions

#### <u>γ+jet balancing as a tool to cross check</u> jet energy scale

#### Work in progress

- Short term: consistent cuts between MC and data, more data/MC comparison, better understanding
- Long term: JES uncertainty, errors and systematics, effect of background
- Hadron Calibration Workshop





## Comparison with the data (EM scale)



• 20 < E' < 30 GeV; 0 <  $|\eta|$  < 2.8; Em scale

M. Cascella, V. Giangiobbe





This is a data driven measurement of the calorimeter response to jets

### Comparison with the data (Numerical Inversion)



#### Photon jet balancing

• 20 < E' < 30 GeV; 0 <  $|\eta|$  < 2.8; NI applied

M. Cascella, V. Giangiobbe

#### Jets calibrated with NI



#### Comparison of the γ – jet balacing for data and Monte Carlo (Numerical Inversion)

#### **Expected** statistics

pT(photon) [GeV]	Gamma+Jet Before selection			Gamma+Jet After selection			QCD After selection		
20 - 50	37023	±	192	1876	±	43	479	±	22
50 -100	3931	±	63	275	±	17	23	±	5
100-200	327	±	18	31	±	6	2.7	±	1.6
200-300	16	±	4.1	1.4	±	1.4	0.09	±	0.31



# The photon cross section



## largest cross section after QCD







M. Cascella, V. Giangiobbe



M. Cascella, V. Giangiobbe