r-process nucleosynthesis and kilonovae from compact binary mergers

Diego Vescovi¹

1.Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany

2 nd PANDORA Progress Meeting INFN-LNS Catania - Italy, 16-17 December 2021

The origin of heavy elements in the Solar System

- Fusion reactions between charged particles
- Neutron capture processes :
	- ➔ **r(apid)-process**
	- ➔ **s(low)-process**

r-process: basic ideas

- key reactions: (A, Z) + $n \leftrightarrow (A + 1, Z)$ + γ
- *r*-process requires initial high *n n* and *T*
	- ➔ high *n n* : *τ(n,γ) << τβ-decay*
- equilibrium freeze-out: *n*_n drops and β-decays take over

Where can the *r*-process occur?

- 1) Neutrino-driven winds from core-collapse supernovae (CCSN)
- 2) Neutron-rich polar jets of magneto-rotational supernovae (MHD-SNe) or accretion disk outflows of collapsars
- 3) Ejecta from binary neutron star mergers (BNS) or neutron star-black hole (NS-BH) systems

First evidence of *r*-process nucleosynthesis in kilonova from GW170817

r-process nucleosynthesis in BNS mergers

- Electron fraction $Y_{\rm e} \sim n_{\rm p}/(n_{\rm n}$ + $n_{\rm p}) \rightarrow$ dominant parameter
- ➔ Threshold value *Y***e,crit ≈ 0.25**

Production of lanthanides dramatically changes photon opacity *κ γ*

- no lanthanides: low opacity (κ_γ ≲ 1 cm²/g)
- presence of lanthanides: increased opacity (*κ ^γ* ≳ 10 cm2/g)

BNS merger + kilonova

- Red emission:
	- ➔ Tidal ejecta
	- ➔ Peak luminosity at days 1 week after the merger
	- \rightarrow Lanthanide dominated low Y_e
- Blue emission:
	- ➔ Polar ejecta
	- ➔ Peak luminosity at 1-2 days after the merger
	- \rightarrow 1st/2nd peak dominated high Y_e

 γ -rays

observer

Properties of GW170817/AT2017gfo

- 17/08/17, GW+EM detection of an event compatible with BNS merger (LVC PRL 2017)
- Blue component
- Red component
- Thermal emission by radioactive decay of heavy elements synthesized in multicomponent (2-3) ejecta

Diego Vescovi - Catania, 2021 *r*-process nucleosynthesis and kilonovae from compact binary mergers 7

Properties of GW170817/AT2017gfo

Spectral analysis hampered due to:

- Heavy elements have **forest of lines** hence strong blending
- **Relativistic velocity** makes for extremely broad lines (multicomponents and different velocities)
- Atomic data are <u>incomplete and</u> uncertain
- \rightarrow The analysis of the spectrum at 1.5 days suggested the presence of **strontium** (Watson +19)

Composition effects on kilonova spectra and lightcurves

• Light curve profile depends on the properties of the ejecta (e.g., mass, velocity, composition \rightarrow opacity)

$$
\Rightarrow t_{\text{peak}} \equiv \left(\frac{3M\kappa}{4\pi\beta v c}\right)^{1/2} \approx 1.6 \text{ d } \left(\frac{M}{10^{-2} M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{v}{0.1 \text{ c}}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\kappa}{1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}}\right)^{1/2}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow L_{\text{peak}} \approx M \dot{e}_r (t_{\text{peak}}) \approx 10^{41} \text{erg s}^{-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{th,v}}{0.5}\right) \left(\frac{M}{10^{-2} M_{\odot}}\right)^{0.55} \left(\frac{v}{0.1 \text{ c}}\right)^{0.65} \left(\frac{\kappa}{1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}}\right)^{-0.65}
$$

- The kilonova emission is reprocessed by atomic opacities (mainly boundbound transitons) to optical and infrared wavelengths
- ➔ *T*peak ~ 5000 10000 K
- ➔ The emission in these wavelengths can **probe the composition of the ejecta**

Opacities for kilonova lightcurve calculations

- Usually, constant 'grey' opacities are assumed (e.g. Perego+ 17; Villar+ 17)
- ➔ Need to consider **detailed** Tanaka+ 20 $10³$ **atomic opacities of r-process** Almost flat **elements** $10²$ Planck mean opacity (cm² g⁻¹) $10¹$ ≥ 0.40 • Systematic opacity calculations 10^0 covering all relevant r-process $\kappa \succ\sim 1$ ${\rm cm}^2 {\rm g}^{-1}$ elements are now available (e.g., 10^{-1} Fontes+ 20, Tanaka+ 20) 10^{-2} ➔ Understand general features 10^{-3} 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 Ω Temperature (K)

… but not accurate in transition wavelengths → not **suitable for element identification**

Elements' signatures in kilonova spectra I

- To identify particular spectral features, we need **accurate transiton data** (e.g., VALD database)
- Not complete in the NIR region
- Synthetic spectra at 1.5 days from parameterized outflows (Nomoto+ 21)
- ➔ For a lanthanide-rich model, features of doubly ionized heavier elements, such as **Ce**, **Tb**, and **Th**.

→ Possibility to detect them in future NS merger events

Elements' signatures in kilonova spectra II

- Presence of Sr confirmed in lanthanide-poor ejecta
- ➔ **Ca absorption feature** is also identified \rightarrow tracer of high-Y_e ejecta (>~ 0.40)
- AT2017gfo spectra do not exhibit such features
	- ➔ Ca abundance too low
- Systematic calculations and radiative transfer simulations including the NIR lines are **inconclusive**

Elements' signatures in kilonova spectra III

➔ **H and He are abundant**

- The amount of Sr predicted by ab-initio BNS models is **consistent** with the one required by the analysis reported in Watson+ 19
- However, they probably **never contribute** to the kilonova spectrum
- Presence of H/He lines

 \rightarrow Supernovae

Summary

- BNS mergers are major production sites of *r*-process elements
- Thermal emission from radioactively-heated multi-component ejecta from BNS mergers accounts for the kilonova AT2017gfo
- No clear chemical signatures for heavy r-process elements
- Evidence for light r-process elements \rightarrow Sr
- Future element detection?
	- ➔ **Yes**: Ca, Sr, Ce, Tb, Th (+ Nd and U, see Even+ 20)
	- ➔ **No**: H, He
- Atomic data in the NIR wavelengths are crucial to fully decode kilonova spectra