

# B→K\*vv vs HAD tag: impact of bwd EMC and fwd PID

## <u>Elisa Manoni</u> INFN Sez. Perugia



DGWG session, Caltech general Meeting, December 14, 2010

elisa manoni

infn perugia



## Outline

- <sup>\*</sup> DG configurations and samples from September production
- \* impact of fwd PID in Breco and Breco+Bsig selection efficiencies
- \* impact of bwd EMC used as a veto
- \* impact of material in front of Fwd EMC



### Detector geometries

\* DG\_4 : SVT\_L0 + fwd TOF+ bwd EMC

DGWG session

INFN

- offline can study: impact of fwd PID, impact of bwd EMC
- \* DG\_4a : as DG\_4 but TOF made if Air (0-thickness TOF)
  - comparing with DG\_4 , study impact of TOF material in front of the EMC



INFN

SuperB

## Sample used

\* 2010\_September production, FastSim release V0.2.5, revs 307 and 311

Sample	Bkg conditions	$N_{events}^{analyzed}(10^6)$			
DG 4					
$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \nu \bar{\nu}$ vs generic $B^0$	allbkgs	3.06			
$B^+ \to K^{*+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ vs generic $B^-$	allbkgs	3.33			
$B^0$ hadronic cocktail vs generic $B^0$	allbkgs	150.96			
$B^+$ hadronic cocktail vs generic $B^-$	allbkgs	189.28			
$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \nu \bar{\nu}$ vs generic $B^0$	nopairs	2.97			
$B^+ \to K^{*+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ vs generic $B^-$	nopairs	3.15			
$B^0$ hadronic cocktail vs generic $B^0$	nopairs	377.20			
$B^+$ hadronic cocktail v s generic $B^-$	nopairs	400.00			
DG 4a					
$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \nu \bar{\nu}$ vs generic $B^0$	allbkgs	3.15			
$B^+ \to K^{*+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ vs generic $B^-$	allbkgs	3.12			
$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \nu \bar{\nu}$ vs generic $B^0$	nopairs	3.03			
$B^+ \to K^{*+} \nu \bar{\nu}$ vs generic $B^-$	nopairs	3.00			
$B^0$ hadronic cocktail vs generic $B^0$	nopairs	376.24			
$B^+$ hadronic cocktail vs generic $B^-$	nopairs	325.28			

elisa manoni

infn perugia

4

## Analysis strategy

Baseline analysis

- DG\_4\_allbkgs with Fwd TOF on and Bwd EMC off
- Kaons form Bsig and Breco: likelihood based selectors TightLHKaonfTOFSelection
- \* impact of Fwd TOF:
  - DG\_4\_allbkgs with Fwd TOF off and Bwd EMC off
  - Kaons form Bsig and Breco: likelihood based selectors TightLHKaonSelection
- \* impact of **Bwd EMC**:
  - DG\_4\_allbkgs with Fwd TOF switched on and Bwd EMC switched on
  - cut on Eextra deposited in bwd EMC (+ usual cut on Eextra from Barrel+Fwd)

$$\frac{\delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon_{xxx,on} - \varepsilon_{xxx,off}}{\varepsilon_{xxx,off}}$$

- \* impact of material in front of Fwd EMC
  - DG\_4a\_nopairs with Fwd TOF switched on and Bwd EMC switched off
  - evaluate  $\pi^0$  mass and  $\gamma$  energy resolutions and compare with DG\_4

elisa manoni



## Impact of Fwd PID DG\_4, cocktail + signal (all backgrounds)

6























#### December 14, 2010

## **R**emarks on $K\pi^0$ vs $K\pi$

\* Signal side Kaon momentum, before PID requirements

DGWG session

INFN

Κπ				
	DCH	DCH+TOF		
fwd sig $K$	$(6.0 \pm 0.6)\%$	$(6.0 \pm 0.6)\%$		
fwd sig $K$ , PID OK	$(35 \pm 5)\%$	$(60 \pm 5)\%$		
$K\pi^0$				
	DCH	DCH+TOF		
fwd sig $K$	$(7.6 \pm 1.3)\%$	$(7.6 \pm 1.3)\%$		
fwd sig $K$ , PID OK	$(13 \pm 6)\%$	$(66 \pm 8)\%$		





#### December 14, 2010

## Remarks on $K_s \pi$

- After Breco sel. + Bsig mode reconstr. :
  - Kpi: nTOF+DCH nDCH = 45
  - Ksp: nTOF+DCH nDCH = 10
- \* After Breco+Bsig sel. :

DGWG session

- Kpi: nTOF+DCH nDCH = 19
  (42% of gained events falls in the signla box)
- Ksp: nTOF+DCH nDCH = 7

(70% of "gained" events falls in the signal box)

- → most of the Kspi events gained with TOF (i.e. higher Breco reconstruction) survive the full selection
- → full selection efficiency gain higher than Breco efficiency gain





## Impact of Bwd EMC DG\_4 cocktail + signal (no pairs)



INFN







## Eextra\_bwd cut: optimization

<sup>k</sup> Strategy:

INFN

- scan the region Eextra\_Bwd  $\in$  [0.05,0.5] GeV and compute FOM = S/sqrt(B)
- optimal cut  $\leftrightarrow$  maximum FOM



INFN

Superi

## Eextra\_bwd cut: results

#### \* EextraBwd < 0.05 GeV:

$B^0  o K^{*0}  u ar{ u}$						
Sample	$N_{\rm sel}$	$arepsilon_{ m tpt}$	$N_{\rm sel,Bwd}$	$\varepsilon_{ m tot,Bwd}$	$\delta \varepsilon / \varepsilon$	
$B^0 \to K^{*0} \nu \bar{\nu}$	727	$(24.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$	719	$(24.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}$	$(2.4\pm)\%$	
$B^0$ had cocktail	76	$(20\pm2) imes10^{-8}$	60	$(16\pm2) imes10^{-8}$	21%	
$S/\sqrt{B}$		$83 \pm 7$		$93 \pm 9$		
$B^+ \to K^{*+}(K_z \pi^+) \nu \bar{\nu}$						
Sample	$N_{\rm sel}$	$\varepsilon_{ m tot}$	$N_{ m sel,Bwd}$	$\varepsilon_{ m tot,Bwd}$	$\delta \varepsilon / \varepsilon$	
$B^+ \to K^{*+} \nu \bar{\nu}$	223	$(7.1 \pm 0.5)  imes 10^{-5}$	217	$(7.0 \pm 0.5)  imes 10^{-5}$	1.4%	
$B^+$ had cocktail	48	$(12.0 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-8}$	40	$(10.0 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-8}$	17%	
$S/\sqrt{B}$		$32 \pm 4$		$35 \pm 5$		

$$\delta\left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{(B)}}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{(B)}}\right)_{bwd} - \left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{(B)}}\right)_{nobwd}}{\left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{(B)}}\right)_{nobwd}} = \bigvee \begin{array}{c} K\pi : (10 \pm 3)\% \\ K_s\pi : (8 \pm 3)\% \end{array}$$

elisa manoni



## Impact of material in front of fwd EMC DG\_4a cocktail + signal



Superi

## $\pi^0$ and $\gamma$ reconstruction

	DG 4	DG 4a
brr $\pi^0$ reco eff	$58.76 \pm 0.01\%$	$58.73 \pm 0.01\%$
brr $\pi^0$ truth eff	$25.40 \pm 0.01\%$	$25.45 \pm 0.01\%$
fwd $\pi^0$ reco eff	$21.81 \pm 0.08\%$	$22.17 \pm 0.08\%$
fwd $\pi^0$ truth eff	$36.4\pm0.2\%$	$43.8 \pm 0.2\%$
brr $\gamma$ reco eff	$4.8583 \pm 0.0006\%$	$4.8159 \pm 0.0006\%$
brr $\gamma$ truth eff	$5.932 \pm 0.003\%$	$5.950 \pm 0.003\%$
fwd $\gamma$ reco eff	$9.231 \pm 0.004\%$	$9.213 \pm 0.004\%$
fwd $\gamma$ truth eff	$7.14\pm0.01\%$	$7.20 \pm 0.01\%$

\* more on  $\pi^0$  mass resolution and gamma energy resolution @ tomorrow EMC session

INFN

Super

## Impact on physics results (I)

10

0.2

0.4

Eextra barrel + forward distributions, before Eextra cut

minimum gamma energy = 30 MeVDG\_4 signal MC DG\_4a signal MC



0.6

0.8



elisa manoni

1 1.2 E<sup>lab,BrrFwd</sup> (GeV)

1.2

#### December 14, 2010



## Impact on physics results (II)

DG\_4 signal MC DG\_4a signal MC

INFN

Superi

\* cut flow efficiency: DG\_4 and DG\_4a consistent within statistical error







## Conclusion

DG studies performed using September\_2010 Production

### \* FWD PID:

- gain on Breco reconstruction around 3%
- gain when applying PID requirements on the signal side around 2-4%
- total gain is expected to be 3% + 2-4% but selection cuts reduce the overall gain (some sanity check needed)

#### \* BWD EMC

- preliminary studies indicates a 10% enhancement in the FOM when applying the Eextra\_bwd cut

### \* EFFECT OF MATERIAL IN FRONT OF FWD EMC

- 1.5% loss in  $\pi^0$  efficiency reconstruction, gamma reconstruction efficiency almost unchanged
- physics performances doesn't seem to change on signal MC
- more will be discussed at tomorrow EMC session

elisa manoni





- \* limit the number of reconstructed Breco channels
  - reconstruct only modes with purity >50%
  - generate ad-hoc BB cocktail sample instead of generic
- \* Available Bsig modes

- Κ\*νν

- Kvv,  $K_s(\pi\pi)vv$
- $\tau v$ , with  $\tau \rightarrow evv$ ,  $\mu vv$ ,  $\pi v$ ,  $\rho(\pi \pi^0)v$ ,  $a_1(\rho \pi)v$

elisa manoni