Theory Status and Outlook

Fernando Quevedo University of Cambridge ICHEP 2022 Bologna July 2022

Formal≈ Arxives hep-th

In memoriam



Steven Weinberg 1933-2021

Fundamental open questions in formal HEP

- Solvable Quantum Field Theories (QFTs)
 - * **S-matrix** (perturbative and non-perturbative effects,...)
 - * Strongly coupled QFTs (e.g. Confinement)
 - * Phases of QFTs ...

Quantum gravity

- * Mathematical consistency (EFT vs UV completion)
- * Black holes (singularity, information)
- * **Big-bang** (singularity, wave function of the universe, density perturbations,...)
- * Vacuum energy and transitions (Dark energy, Tunneling in gravity, CDL,...)
- * Unification (BSM and gravity)

e.g. see all parallel talks

Black holes & Information

Main progress in past 25 years

AdS/CFT correspondence (Gauge/Gravity duality, Holography)



e.g. String theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \equiv 4d$ N=4 SU(N_c) Yang-Mills

- Large N_c
- Emerging 'space'!

Maldacena 1997,...

Main progress in past 3 years

Hawking information loss paradox:

- We 'knew' from AdS/CFT that evolution should be unitary, but why?
- Recent progress: Calculations indicate unitarity (not yet full proof but close)

Penington 2019, Almheiri et al, Maldacena et al....

(Earlier work 2005-2020s: Ryu-Takayanagi, Hubeny et al., Wall, ...).

Also: number of microscopic states matching the black hole entropy (Strominger&Vafa 1995) + recent derivations using AdS/CFT (Benini et al 2015, Pando-Zayas et al 2020)

Hawking evaporation





Black holes and generalised holography

- Wedge/codimension 2 holography
- T- T deformations, subregions holography and dS to dS duality
- Von Neuman algebras and duality beyond large N
- Minkowski and celestial sphere

Takayanagi et al 2020

Silverstein et al 2021

Witten et al 2021

Strominger et al

Islands, holography and cosmology?

e.g. Hartman, 2019 van Raamsdonk et al 2020-2022, Gorbenko et al 2021, Bousso et al 2022.

(Islands and early universe? vacuum transitions? De Sitter entropy?)

Formal Theory and HEP

Formal≈String, ...

Challenges for UV complete Models

- Gravity quantum
- Gauge and matter structure of SM
- Hierarchy of scales + masses (including neutrinos)
- Flavor CKM, PMNS mixing, CP no FCNC
- Hierarchy of gauge couplings (unification?)
- 'Stable' proton + baryogenesis
- Inflation or alternative for CMB fluctuations
- Dark matter (+ avoid overclosing)
- Dark radiation (N_{eff}~3.04)
- Dark energy

N.B. If ONE of them does not work, rule out the model!!!



e.g. Calabi-Yau Compactifications and the Brane World

New tools: ML

• Machine (supervised and reinforcement) learning

Lukas et al 2018-2019

Genetic algorithms

Abel et al et al 2021

- **1. For model selection**
- 2. Computing explicit metrics of Calabi-Yau manifolds

Anderson et al, Douglas et al, Jejjala et al 2020

Review Ruehle Phys Rep. 2020

Recent Progress

- N =10¹⁵ F-theory models with MSSM spectrum Cvetic et al 2019
- N>10²³ heterotic models with MSSM spectrum Constantin et al 2019

Concrete MSSM models with moduli stabilisation

Cicoli et al 2021

Three related questions



Dine-Seiberg Problem

Dine, Seiberg 1985 Only fully trust runaway part (swampland conjecture, Vafa et al) T

 $V \longrightarrow 0$ at weak coupling and large volume.

e.g. String Landscape from Flux compactifications



Two leading scenarios

- Non-perturbative vs tree level (KKLT) $W_0 \ll 1$ Kachru et al 2003
- Perturbative vs non-perturbative (LVS)

 $\delta K \sim 1/\mathcal{V} \text{ and } \delta W \sim e^{-a\tau}$

 $\mathcal{V} \sim e^{a\tau}$

• Alternative? Perturbative

Antoniadis et al 2021 Burgess et al 2022

Conlon et al 2003



e.g. Naturalness ?

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM} = \sum_{i} c_i \mathcal{O}_i, \quad [c_i] + [\mathcal{O}_i] = 4.$$

• $[\mathcal{O}_i] = 0$: $c_0 = \Lambda$

$$\frac{\Lambda}{M_P^4} \sim 10^{-123} \ll 1 \qquad \Lambda/\langle H \rangle^4 \sim 10^{-60}$$

Cosmological constant problem

• $[\mathcal{O}_i] = 2$: $c_2 = m^2$ $H^2 = \mathcal{O}_2$

$$\frac{m_h}{M_P} \sim 10^{-15}$$

Hierarchy problem

- $[\mathcal{O}_i] = 3$: $c_3 = M^{\nu} \ \nu_R \nu_R = \mathcal{O}_3$. Right handed neutrino mass term ?
- $[\mathcal{O}_i] = 4$: All other terms in SM

Higher order operators SMEFT

Can we address both problems at once?

• String landscape (Weinberg-Bousso-Polchinski+...)

Worst solution to the cc problem with the exception of all the others!

But: not yet a full solution (de Sitter, populating the landscape, measure problem,...)

Alternatives?

Quintessence at least as difficult as dS!

Cicoli et al 2021

Challenges to KKLT, LVS,...

- Fluxes under control only in SUSY 10D? (Sethi, Kachru-Trivedi, de Alwis et al...)
- All SUSY breaking part is 4D EFT. Trust EFT? (Carta, et al, Moritz et al, Kallosh, Gautason

et al, Hamada et al, Kachru et al.)

- Higher corrections in LVS? (Cicoli et al.)
- Antibranes (non susy, singularity?) (Bena et al, Moritz et al, Cohen-Maldonado et al, Gao et al)
- **Tadpole problem** (Bena et al., Crino et al, Junghans, Xin Gao et al, Vafa et al...)
- **Consistency with AdS/CFT** (de Alwis et al, Conlon et al, Vafa et al...)
- **Tuning W₀<<1? in KKLT** (Demirtas et al, Alvarez-Garcia et al, Blumenhagen et al)

e.g. String Theory and Cosmology

Inflation



ACDM + inflation
(source of almost scale invariant, gaussian,
 adiabatic density perturbations)

Note: There is no theory behind (origin of dark matter, dark energy, inflation, etc.)

Concrete Models of String Inflation

$\operatorname{String}\operatorname{Scenario}$	n_s	r
$D3/\overline{D3}$ Inflation	$0.966 \le n_s \le 0.972$	$r \le 10^{-5}$
Inflection Point Inflation	$0.92 \le n_s \le 0.93$	$r \le 10^{-6}$
DBI Inflation	$0.93 \le n_s \le 0.93$	$r \le 10^{-7}$
Wilson Line Inflation	$0.96 \le n_s \le 0.97$	$r \le 10^{-10}$
${ m D3/D7}$ Inflation	$0.95 \le n_s \le 0.97$	$10^{-12} \le r \le 10^{-5}$
Racetrack Inflation	$0.95 \le n_s \le 0.96$	$r \le 10^{-8}$
N - flation	$0.93 \le n_s \le 0.95$	$r \le 10^{-3}$
Axion Monodromy	$0.97 \le n_s \le 0.98$	$0.04 \le r \le 0.07$
Kahler Moduli Inflation	$0.96 \le n_s \le 0.967$	$r \le 10^{-10}$
Fibre Inflation	$0.965 \le n_s \le 0.97$	$0.0057 \le r \le 0.007$
Poly – instanton Inflation	$0.95 \le n_s \le 0.97$	$r \le 10^{-5}$

Burgess, Cicoli, FQ 2013

Challenges: eta problem, scales (KL problem), moduli stabilisation, observations?

Recent BICEP/KECK 2021 results

 $r_{0.05} = 0.014^{+0.010}_{-0.011}$ ($r_{0.05} < 0.036$ at 95% confidence)



From Flauger 2021 (see Kallosh-Linde)

Early Universe, CMB: PLANCK 2018 versus WMAP 2010



Correlations: e.g. Kallosh-Linde problem



Post-Inflation (Moduli Domination)



M>30 TeV

Coughlan et al 1983, Banks et al, de Carlos et al 1993

After Inflation

- Period of Moduli (matter) domination
- Or Kination + matter domination+radiation domination
- Oscillons or Oscillatons (boson stars)
- Reheating=moduli decay
- General constrain: dark radiation!
- Axiverse



Potential signatures: High frequency Gravitational waves!!!

Ultra High Frequency Gravitational Waves

See also:

http://www.ctc.cam.ac.uk/activities/UHF-GW.php

Challenges and Opportunities of Gravitational Wave Searches at MHz to GHz Frequencies

N. Aggarwal^{a,*}, O.D. Aguiar^b, A. Bauswein^c, G. Cella^d, S. Clesse^e, A.M. Cruise^f, V. Domcke^{g,h,i,*}, D.G. Figueroa^j, A. Geraci^k, M. Goryachev^l, H. Grote^m, M. Hindmarsh^{n,o}, F. Muia^{p,i,*}, N. Mukund^q, D. Ottaway^{r,s}, M. Peloso^{t,u}, F. Quevedo^{p,*}, A. Ricciardone^{t,u}, J. Steinlechner^{v,w,x,*}, S. Steinlechner^{v,w,*}, S. Steinlechner^{v,w,}

24 Nov 2020

[gr-qc]

arXiv:2011.12414v1

Abstract

The first direct measurement of gravitational waves by the LIGO and Virgo collaborations has opened up new avenues to explore our Universe. This white paper outlines the challenges and gains expected in gravitational wave searches at frequencies above the LIGO/Virgo band, with a particular focus on the MHz and GHz range. The absence of known astrophysical sources in this frequency range provides a unique opportunity to discover physics beyond the Standard Model operating both in the early and late Universe, and we highlight some of the most promising gravitational sources. We review several detector concepts which have been proposed to take up this challenge, and compare their expected sensitivity with the signal strength predicted in various models. This report is the summary of the workshop *Challenges and opportunities of high-frequency gravitational wave detection* held at ICTP Trieste, Italy in October 2019.

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1074510/

^{*}Corresponding authors: Nancy Aggarwal nancy.aggarwal@northwestern.edu, Valerie Domcke valerie.domcke@cern.ch, Francesco Muia fm538@damtp.cam.ac.uk, Fernando Quevedo fq201@damtp.cam.ac.uk, Jessica Steinlechner jessica.steinlechner@ligo.org, Sebastian Steinlechner s.steinlechner@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Cosmological sources of stochastic High Frequency Gravitational Waves



Sources of Coherent Gravitational Waves



The Swampland?



Swampland conjectures

- Swampland: Quantum gravity vs EFT !
- No global symmetries
- Weak gravity conjecture
- Distance conjecture
- 'anti'- de Sitter conjecture:

(It would imply quintessence and no de Sitter and hard to have inflation!?).

- TransPlanckian Conjecture
- Cobordism conjecture...

Vafa et al.

 $M_p \frac{|\nabla V|}{V} \gtrsim c$, Obied et al

Reviews: Palti <u>1903.06239</u> Valenzuela <u>2102.01111</u>

Amplitudes/Bootstrap

- SAGEX https://sagex.org/
- Bootstrap constraints (Unitarity, locality, causality,...)

```
Review: 2202.11012
```

Bootstrap and cosmology

e.g. Baumann et al 2020, Pajer et al 2021-22, Cespedes et al 2021 Review: 2203.08121

- Amplitudehedron,... e.g. Arkani-Hamed et al 2012.15849
- Bootstrap and swampland?

Conclusions

- Continuous steady progress
- Different avenues (black holes, holography, fluxes, dS, swampland, amplitudes, bootstrap, generalized symmetries, etc.)
- Potential contact with phenomenology/experiment (Gravitational waves, axions, cmb, ...)
- Many open questions