

Probing New Physics using SMEFT


Anisha
anisha@glasgow.ac.uk

ICHEP 2022

Based upon arXiv: 2111.05876, in collaboration with
S. D. Bakshi, S. Banerjee, A. Biekötter, J. Chakrabortty, S. K. Patra, M. Spannowsky

July 8, 2022

SMEFT path to New Physics



Using the Bottom-up approach

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_i C_i^{(5)} Q_i^{(5)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i C_i^{(6)} Q_i^{(6)} + \dots$$

$Q^{(n)}$ = **effective operators** $C^{(n)}$ = **Wilson coefficients**

Modification in the observables is written in a model independent manner are written as:

$$\Delta obs = obs^{Exp} - obs^{SM} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i C_i^{(6)} Q_i^{(6)} + \dots$$

Thus can act as an efficient way for data interpretation.

Warsaw basis operators

Grzadkowski et al. 1008.4884

H^6		$H^2 \psi^2 D$		ψ^4	
Q_H	$(H^\dagger H)^3$	$Q_{Hl}^{(1)}$	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L)$	$Q_{lq}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{l}_L \gamma_\mu l_L) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)$
$H^4 D^2$		$Q_{Hl}^{(3)}$	$(H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{l}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu l_L)$	$Q_{lq}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{l}_L \tau^I \gamma_\mu l_L) (\bar{q}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu q_L)$
$Q_{H\square}$	$(H^\dagger H) \square (H^\dagger H)$	Q_{He}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R)$	Q_{ee}	$(\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R) (\bar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R)$
Q_{HD}	$(H^\dagger \mathcal{D}_\mu H)^* (H^\dagger \mathcal{D}^\mu H)$	$Q_{Hq}^{(1)}$	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)$	Q_{uu}	$(\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R) (\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)$
X^3		$Q_{Hq}^{(3)}$	$(H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{q}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu q_L)$	Q_{dd}	$(\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)$
Q_G	$f^{ABC} G_\rho^{A,\mu} G_\mu^{B,\nu} G_\nu^{C,\rho}$	Q_{Hu}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R)$	Q_{eu}	$(\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R) (\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)$
$Q_{\tilde{G}}$	$f^{ABC} \tilde{G}_\rho^{A,\mu} G_\mu^{B,\nu} G_\nu^{C,\rho}$	Q_{Hd}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R)$	Q_{ed}	$(\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)$
Q_W	$\epsilon^{IJK} W_\rho^{I,\mu} W_\mu^{J,\nu} W_\nu^{K,\rho}$	Q_{Hud}	$(\tilde{H}^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu d_R) + \text{h.c.}$	$Q_{ud}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)$
$Q_{\tilde{W}}$	$\epsilon^{IJK} \tilde{W}_\rho^{I,\mu} W_\mu^{J,\nu} W_\nu^{K,\rho}$	$H^2 X^2$		$Q_{ud}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{u}_R \frac{\lambda^A}{2} \gamma^\mu u_R) (\bar{d}_R \frac{\lambda^A}{2} \gamma_\mu d_R)$
$H^2 X^2$		$H \psi^2 X$		Q_{le}	$(\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L) (\bar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R)$
Q_{HG}	$(H^\dagger H) G_{\mu\nu}^A G^{A,\mu\nu}$	Q_{eW}	$(\bar{l}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_R) \tau^I H W_{\mu\nu}^I$	Q_{lu}	$(\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L) (\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)$
$Q_{H\tilde{G}}$	$(H^\dagger H) \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^A G^{A,\mu\nu}$	Q_{eB}	$(\bar{l}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_R) H B_{\mu\nu}$	Q_{ld}	$(\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)$
Q_{HW}	$(H^\dagger H) W_{\mu\nu}^I W^{I,\mu\nu}$	Q_{uG}	$(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{\lambda^A}{2} u_R) \tilde{H} G_{\mu\nu}^A$	Q_{qe}	$(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L) (\bar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R)$
$Q_{H\tilde{W}}$	$(H^\dagger H) \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^I W^{I,\mu\nu}$	Q_{uW}	$(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R) \tau^I \tilde{H} W_{\mu\nu}^I$	$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu q_L) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R)$
Q_{HB}	$(H^\dagger H) B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{uB}	$(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R) \tilde{H} B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{qu}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu \frac{\lambda^A}{2} q_L) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^A}{2} u_R)$
$Q_{H\tilde{B}}$	$(H^\dagger H) \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dG}	$(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{\lambda^A}{2} d_R) H G_{\mu\nu}^A$	$Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu q_L) (\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R)$
Q_{HWB}	$(H^\dagger \tau^I H) W_{\mu\nu}^I B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dW}	$(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R) \tau^I H W_{\mu\nu}^I$	$Q_{qd}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_L \frac{\lambda^A}{2} \gamma^\mu q_L) (\bar{d}_R \frac{\lambda^A}{2} \gamma_\mu d_R)$
$Q_{H\tilde{W}B}$	$(H^\dagger \tau^I H) \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^I B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dB}	$(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R) H B_{\mu\nu}$	Q_{ledq}	$(\bar{l}_L^j e_R) (\bar{d}_R q_{Lj})$
$H^3 \psi^2$		ψ^4		$Q_{quqd}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_L^j u_R) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_L^k d_R)$
Q_{eH}	$(H^\dagger H) (\bar{l}_L e_R H)$	Q_{ll}	$(\bar{l}_L \gamma_\mu l_L) (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L)$	$Q_{quqd}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_L^j \frac{\lambda^A}{2} u_R) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_L^k \frac{\lambda^A}{2} d_R)$
Q_{uH}	$(H^\dagger H) (\bar{q}_L u_R \tilde{H})$	$Q_{qq}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu q_L) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)$	$Q_{lequ}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{l}_L^j e_R) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_L^k u_R)$
Q_{dH}	$(H^\dagger H) (\bar{q}_L d_R H)$	$Q_{qq}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{q}_L \tau^I \gamma_\mu q_L) (\bar{q}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu q_L)$	$Q_{lequ}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{l}_L^j \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_R) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_L^k \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R)$

Warsaw basis operators

Grzadkowski et al. 1008.4884

H^6		$H^2 \psi^2 D$		ψ^4	
Q_H		$(H^\dagger H)^3$		$Q_{Hl}^{(1)} \left(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L)$	
$H^4 D^2$		$Q_{Hl}^{(3)} \left(H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) (\bar{l}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu l_L)$		$Q_{lq}^{(1)} (\bar{l}_L \gamma_\mu l_L) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)$	
$Q_{H\square}$		$Q_{He} \left(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) (\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R)$		$Q_{lq}^{(3)} (\bar{l}_L \tau^I \gamma_\mu l_L) (\bar{q}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu q_L)$	
Q_{HD}		$Q_{Hq}^{(1)} \left(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)$		$Q_{ee} (\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R) (\bar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R)$	
X^3		$Q_{Hq}^{(3)} \left(H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) (\bar{q}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu q_L)$		$Q_{uu} (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R) (\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)$	
Q_G		$Q_{Hu} \left(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R)$		$Q_{dd} (\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)$	
$Q_{\tilde{G}}$		$Q_{Hd} \left(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) (\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R)$		$Q_{eu} (\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R) (\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)$	
Q_W		$Q_{Hud} \left(\tilde{H}^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu d_R) + \text{h.c.}$		$Q_{ed} (\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)$	
$Q_{\tilde{W}}$				$Q_{ud}^{(1)} (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)$	
$H^2 X^2$		$H \psi^2 X$		$Q_{ud}^{(8)} \left(\bar{u}_R \frac{\lambda^A}{2} \gamma^\mu u_R \right) (\bar{d}_R \frac{\lambda^A}{2} \gamma_\mu d_R)$	
Q_{HG}		$Q_{eW} (\bar{l}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_R) \tau^I H W_{\mu\nu}^I$		$Q_{le} (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L) (\bar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R)$	
$Q_{H\tilde{G}}$		$Q_{eB} (\bar{l}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_R) H B_{\mu\nu}$		$Q_{lu} (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L) (\bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R)$	
Q_{HW}		$Q_{uG} \left(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{\lambda^A}{2} u_R \right) \tilde{H} G_{\mu\nu}^A$		$Q_{ld} (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L) (\bar{d}_R \gamma_\mu d_R)$	
$Q_{H\tilde{W}}$		$Q_{uW} (\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R) \tau^I \tilde{H} W_{\mu\nu}^I$		$Q_{qe} (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L) (\bar{e}_R \gamma_\mu e_R)$	
Q_{HB}		$Q_{uB} (\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_R) \tilde{H} B_{\mu\nu}$		$Q_{qu}^{(1)} (\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu q_L) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R)$	
$Q_{H\tilde{B}}$		$Q_{dG} \left(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{\lambda^A}{2} d_R \right) H G_{\mu\nu}^A$		$Q_{qu}^{(8)} \left(\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu \frac{\lambda^A}{2} q_L \right) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^A}{2} u_R)$	
Q_{HWB}		$Q_{dW} \left(\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R \right) \tau^I H W_{\mu\nu}^I$		$Q_{qd}^{(1)} (\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu q_L) (\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R)$	
$Q_{H\tilde{W}B}$		$Q_{dB} (\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_R) H B_{\mu\nu}$		$Q_{qd}^{(8)} \left(\bar{q}_L \frac{\lambda^A}{2} \gamma^\mu q_L \right) (\bar{d}_R \frac{\lambda^A}{2} \gamma_\mu d_R)$	
$H^3 \psi^2$		ψ^4		$Q_{ledq} \left(\bar{l}_L^j e_R \right) (\bar{d}_R q_{Lj})$	
Q_{eH}		$Q_{quqd}^{(1)} (\bar{q}_L^j u_R) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_L^k d_R)$			
Q_{uH}		$Q_{quqd}^{(8)} \left(\bar{q}_L^j \frac{\lambda^A}{2} u_R \right) \epsilon_{jk} \left(\bar{q}_L^k \frac{\lambda^A}{2} d_R \right)$			
Q_{dH}		$Q_{lequ}^{(1)} \left(\bar{l}_L^j e_R \right) \epsilon_{jk} \left(\bar{q}_L^k u_R \right)$			
		$Q_{lequ}^{(3)} \left(\bar{l}_L^j \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_R \right) \epsilon_{jk} \left(\bar{q}_L^k \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_R \right)$			

❖ Model Independent Analysis in SMEFT

Ellis, (Madigan), (Mimasu), (Murphy), Sanz & You [1803.03252](#), 2012.02779

Dawson, Homiller & Lane [2007.01296](#)

Ethier, Magni, Maltoni, Mantani, Nocera Rojo, Slade, Vryonidou & Zhang [2105.00006](#)

Brivio, Bruggiser, Geoffray, Killian, Kramer [2108.01094](#)

da Silva Almeida, Alves, Éboli & Gonzalez-Garcia [2108.04828](#)

Anisha, Bakshi, Banerjee, Biekötter, Chakrabortty, Patra, Spannowsky [2111.05876](#)

Contribution of operators on different observables

EWPO

$$O_{NP} = O_{SM} + \sum_i \frac{\mathcal{A}_i}{\Lambda^2} C_i.$$

Leading order contributions
Linear in $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}$

Using input parameter scheme $\{\alpha, G_F, M_Z\}$, tree level contributions are calculated.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta G_F &= \frac{G_F}{\Lambda^2} (2v^2 C_{Hl}^3 - v^2 C_{ll}), \\ \delta \alpha &= \frac{2 \alpha g_W g_Y v^2}{(g_W^2 + g_Y^2)} \frac{C_{HWB}}{\Lambda^2}, \\ \delta m_Z^2 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{m_Z^2}{G_F} \frac{C_{HD}}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{2^{1/4} \sqrt{\pi \alpha} m_Z}{G_F^{3/2}} \frac{C_{HWB}}{\Lambda^2}.\end{aligned}$$


+

$$H^2 \psi^2 D$$

$Q_{Hl}^{(1)}, Q_{Hl}^{(3)}, Q_{Hq}^{(1)}, Q_{Hq}^{(3)}, Q_{Hu}, Q_{Hd}, Q_{He}$

$H^2 \psi^2 D$	
$Q_{Hl}^{(1)}$	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu H) (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L)$
$Q_{Hl}^{(3)}$	$(H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu H) (\bar{l}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu l_L)$
Q_{He}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu H) (\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R)$
$Q_{Hq}^{(1)}$	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu H) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)$
$Q_{Hq}^{(3)}$	$(H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu H) (\bar{q}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu q_L)$
Q_{Hu}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu H) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R)$
Q_{Hd}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu H) (\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R)$

Couplings of pair of fermions
with gauge bosons are modified



Assuming flavour independence


Brivio & Trott [1706.08945](#)

Dawson & Giardino [1909.02000](#)

Dim-6 operators affecting Higgs Production and decays

ATLAS-CONF-2020-053

Higgs Production channels at leading order



- Higgs coupling with gauge bosons

$$Q_{HG} = (H^\dagger H) G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a,\mu\nu} \rightarrow C_{HG} v h G_\mu^a G^{a,\nu}$$

$$Q_{HW} = (H^\dagger H) W_{\mu\nu}^I W^{I,\mu\nu} \rightarrow C_{HW} v h W_\mu W^\nu$$

$$Q_{HWB} = (H^\dagger \tau^I H) W_{\mu\nu}^I B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$Q_{HB} = (H^\dagger H) B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$Q_{HD} = (H^\dagger D_\mu H)^* (H^\dagger D^\mu H)$$

- Higgs coupling with top pairs

$$Q_{tH} = (H^\dagger H) (\bar{q}_L t_R) \widetilde{H} \rightarrow C_{tH} v^2 \bar{t} t h$$

- Couplings of pair of fermions with gauge bosons & $\bar{\psi}\psi W(Z)H$ new contact interactions

$$Q_{Hu} = (H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R) \rightarrow C_{Hu} v^2 \bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R Z^\mu$$

$$\rightarrow C_{Hu} v \bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu u_R Z^\mu h$$

$$Q_{Hq}^{(1)}, Q_{Hq}^{(3)}, Q_{Hu}, Q_{Hd}$$

- Couplings of top pairs with gluons $\bar{t} t g$


$$Q_{tG} = (\bar{q}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{\lambda^a}{2} t_R) \widetilde{H} G_{\mu\nu}^a$$

- For triple gluon couplings

$$Q_G = f^{abc} G_\rho^{a,\mu} G_\mu^{b,\nu} G_\nu^{c,\rho}$$

Dim-6 operators affecting Higgs Production and decays


Higgs decay channels at leading order



$$Q_{HB} = (H^\dagger H) B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$Q_{HWB} = (H^\dagger \tau^I H) W_{\mu\nu}{}^I B^{\mu\nu}$$


$$Q_{HW} = (H^\dagger H) W_{\mu\nu}{}^I W^{I,\mu\nu}$$



$$Q_{Hl}^{(1)} = (H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L)$$

$$Q_{Hl}^{(3)} = (H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{l}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu l_L)$$

$$Q_{He} = (H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H) (\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R)$$



$$Q_{bH} = (H^\dagger H) (\bar{q}_L b_R H)$$

$$Q_{\tau H} = (H^\dagger H) (\bar{l}_L \tau_R H)$$

$$Q_{\mu H} = (H^\dagger H) (\bar{l}_L \mu_R H)$$

Brivio, Corbett & Trott [1906.06949](#)

From these combinations of production and decay channels, μ is given.

$$\mu = \frac{\sigma(pp \rightarrow h)}{\sigma(pp \rightarrow h)_{SM}} \frac{BR(h \rightarrow f)}{BR(h \rightarrow f)_{SM}}.$$

keeping terms linear in $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2}$

Brivio [2012.11343](#)

ATLAS-CONF-2020-053

Fitmaker- Ellis, Madigan, Mimasu, Sanz & You, [2012.02779](#)


Using SMEFTsim, the theoretical predictions are obtained.

Dim-6 operators affecting DiHiggs

At leading order $gg \rightarrow hh$


- Tri-linear Higgs coupling get affected

$$Q_H = (H^\dagger H)^3 \rightarrow C_H v^3 h^3$$




- Higgs gluon coupling get affected

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{HG} &= (H^\dagger H) G_{\mu\nu}^a G^{a,\mu\nu} \rightarrow C_{HG} v h G_\mu^a G^{a,\nu} \\ &\rightarrow C_{HG} h^2 G_\mu^a G^{a,\nu} \end{aligned}$$




- Higgs coupling with top pairs get affected

$$Q_{tH} = (H^\dagger H)(\bar{q}_L t_R \widetilde{H}) \rightarrow C_{tH} v^2 \bar{t} t h$$



- Field redefinition of Higgs

$$Q_{H\square} = (H^\dagger H) \square (H^\dagger H)$$



Operators relevant to Diboson (WW/ WZ) process.

- For triple gauge couplings

$$Q_W = \epsilon^{IJK} W_\rho^{I,\mu} W_\mu^{J,\nu} W_\nu^{K,\rho}$$

$$Q_{HWB} = (H^\dagger \tau^I H) W_{\mu\nu}^I B^{\mu\nu}$$

- Couplings of pair of fermions with gauge bosons $\bar{\psi}\psi V$ get affected


$$H^2 \psi^2 D : Q_{Hl}^{(1)}, Q_{Hl}^{(3)}, Q_{Hq}^{(1)}, Q_{Hq}^{(3)}, Q_{Hu}, Q_{Hd}, Q_{He}$$

- Due to input parameter scheme

$$Q_{HD} = (H^\dagger D_\mu H)^* (H^\dagger D^\mu H)$$


$$Q_{ll} = (\bar{l}_L \gamma_\mu l_L)(\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L).$$

WW/ WZ production with leptonic decays



$H^2 \psi^2 D$	
$Q_{Hl}^{(1)}$	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)(\bar{l}_L \gamma^\mu l_L)$
$Q_{Hl}^{(3)}$	$(H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)(\bar{l}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu l_L)$
Q_{He}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)(\bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu e_R)$
$Q_{Hq}^{(1)}$	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)(\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)$
$Q_{Hq}^{(3)}$	$(H^\dagger i \tau^I \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)(\bar{q}_L \tau^I \gamma^\mu q_L)$
Q_{Hu}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)(\bar{u}_R \gamma^\mu u_R)$
Q_{Hd}	$(H^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)(\bar{d}_R \gamma^\mu d_R)$

Relevant SMEFT dimension-6 operators



Anisha, Bakshi, Banerjee, Biekötter, Chakrabortty, Patra, Spannowsky [2111.05876](#)

Data sets considered

LEP-1 and 2 data

- EWPO
- Diboson data

LHC Run-I and II data

- Higgs signal strengths
- Simplified template cross-sections
- Diboson production distribution
- Di-Higgs signal strengths

277 measurements

Observables		no. of measurements	2020
Electroweak Precision Observables (EWPO)			
$\Gamma_Z, \sigma_{had}^0, R_l^0, A_l, A_l(\text{SLD}), A_{FB}^l, \sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}^l(\text{Tev}), R_c^0, A_c, A_{FB}^c, R_b^0, A_b, A_{FB}^b, m_W, \Gamma_W$		15	✓
LEP-2 WW data		74	✓
Higgs Data			
7 and 8 TeV Run-I data	ATLAS & CMS combination	20	✓
	ATLAS & CMS combination $\mu(h \rightarrow \mu\mu)$	1	✓
	ATLAS $\mu(h \rightarrow Z\gamma)$	1	✓
13 TeV ATLAS Run-II data	$\mu(h \rightarrow Z\gamma)$ at 139 fb^{-1}	1	✓
	$\mu(h \rightarrow \mu\mu)$ at 139 fb^{-1}	1	✓
	$\mu(h \rightarrow \tau\tau)$ at 139 fb^{-1}	4	
	$\mu(h \rightarrow bb)$ in VBF and $t\bar{t}H$ at 139 fb^{-1}	1+1	
	STXS Higgs combination	25	✓
	STXS $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma/ZZ/b\bar{b}$ at 139 fb^{-1}	42	
13 TeV CMS Run-II data	STXS $h \rightarrow WW$ in ggF, VBF at 139 fb^{-1}	11	
	CMS combination at up to 137 fb^{-1}	23	✓
	$\mu(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ in Vh at 35.9/41.5 fb^{-1}	2	
	$\mu(h \rightarrow WW)$ in ggF at 137 fb^{-1}	1	
	$\mu(h \rightarrow \mu\mu)$ at 137 fb^{-1}	4	
	$\mu(h \rightarrow \tau\tau/WW)$ in $t\bar{t}h$ at 137 fb^{-1}	3	
	STXS $h \rightarrow WW$ at 137 fb^{-1} in Vh	4	
	STXS $h \rightarrow \tau\tau$ at 137 fb^{-1}	11	
	STXS $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ at 137 fb^{-1}	27	
	STXS $h \rightarrow ZZ$ at 137 fb^{-1}	18	
ATLAS WZ 13 TeV m_T^{WZ} at 36.1 fb^{-1}		6 bins	✓
ATLAS Zjj 13 TeV $\Delta\phi_{jj}$ at 139 fb^{-1}		12 bins	✓
ATLAS WW 13 TeV $p_T^{\ell 1}$ at 36.1 fb^{-1}		7 bins	✓
Di-Higgs signal strengths ATLAS & CMS 13 TeV data		6	
$\mu_{HH}^{b\bar{b}b\bar{b}}, \mu_{HH}^{b\bar{b}\tau\bar{\tau}}, \mu_{HH}^{b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma}$			

Fitting Terminology

For parameter estimation, Bayesian framework is followed:

$$p(\vec{C} | D) \propto p(D | \vec{C}) p(\vec{C}).$$

Prior Probability distribution: Initial knowledge about the \vec{C} . Uninformative priors are used for WCs taken as to be uniform distributions with large range

- for WCs {-10,10}.

Likelihood: Information about the theory and data. For Gaussian data:

$$\text{Log Likelihood} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i (O_{exp} - O_{th}(\vec{C}))_i V_{ij}^{-1} (O_{exp} - O_{th}(\vec{C}))_j.$$

Posterior: Probability distribution of parameters \vec{C} given the data D.

Unnormalised posterior is sampled using MCMC using the Mathematica package OptEx.



[OptEx, S K Patra, under development](#)

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3404311>

Using this framework, fit is performed for 23 WCs treated as free and independent parameters.

Individual & Global fit results

Anisha, Bakshi, Banerjee, Biekötter, Chakrabortty, Patra, Spannowsky 2111.05876



Model dependent analysis using SMEFT

Dawson, Homiller & Lane 2007.01296


Ellis, Madigan, Mimasu, Murphy, Sanz & You [2012.02779](#)

Brivio, Bruggiser, Geoffray, Killian, Kramer 2108.01094


Bakshi, Chakrabortty, (Englert), Spannowsky, (Stylianou) ([2009.13394](#)), [2012.03839](#)

Anisha, Bakshi, Banerjee, Biekötter, Chakrabortty, Patra, Spannowsky 2111.05876


Top-Down Approach



Bottom-Up Approach



❖ Connecting Bottom-up approach with Top-down approach



SM extended with Extra Scalar Doublet $\mathcal{H}_2(1,2, -1/2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}_2} \supset & \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{H}_2|^2 - m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 |\mathcal{H}_2|^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2}}{4} |\mathcal{H}_2|^4 - (\eta_H |\widetilde{H}|^2 + \eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} |\mathcal{H}_2|^2) (\widetilde{H}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{H}_2^\dagger \widetilde{H}) \\
& - \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} |\widetilde{H}|^2 |\mathcal{H}_2|^2 - \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} |\widetilde{H}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_2|^2 - \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3} \left[(\widetilde{H}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_2)^2 + (\mathcal{H}_2^\dagger \widetilde{H})^2 \right] \\
& - \left\{ Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)} \bar{l}_L \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 e_R + Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)} \bar{q}_L \mathcal{H}_2 u_R + Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)} \bar{q}_L \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 d_R + h.c. \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

- $m_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ is the mass of the heavy scalar doublet taken to be cut-off Λ .
- After integrating out this doublet at one loop using CoDEx, the WCs are generated in terms of model parameters.
- For simplification, assumed Z_2 symmetry i.e $\mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow -\mathcal{H}_2$ and the number of parameters are reduced.

<https://github.com/effExTeam/Precision-Observables-and-Higgs-Signals-Effective-passageto-select-BSM>

SMEFT Matching results

Dim-6 Ops.	Wilson coefficients
Q_{dH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{eH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{uH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_H	$-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^3}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_H^{\text{SM}} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} \frac{\lambda_H^{\text{SM}} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{24\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^3}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{H\square}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HD}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{24\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HB}	$\frac{g_Y^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{g_Y^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{768\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HW}	$\frac{g_W^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{g_W^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{768\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HWB}	$\frac{g_W g_Y \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$

Dim-6 Ops.	Wilson coefficients
$Q_{Hl}^{(1)}$	$\frac{g_Y^4}{3840\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{Hq}^{(1)}$	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{11520\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{Hd}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{5760\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{He}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{Hu}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{2880\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{Hl}^{(3)}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{Hq}^{(3)}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_W	$\frac{g_W^3}{5760\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ll}	$-\frac{g_W^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{g_Y^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$

Dim-6 Ops.	Wilson coefficients
$Q_{ud}^{(1)}$	$\frac{g_Y^4}{4320\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{lq}^{(3)}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{3840\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{qq}^{(3)}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{dd}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{17280\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ed}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{2880\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ee}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{eu}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{1440\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{uu}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{4320\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{lu}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{2880\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{qe}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{5760\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ld}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{5760\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{qq}^{(1)}$	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{69120\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{le}^{(1)}$	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$\frac{g_Y^4}{17280\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{8640\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{lq}^{(1)}$	$\frac{g_Y^4}{11520\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$




18 operators contribute in model dependent analysis

CoDEX SMEFT Matching Result

Bakshi, Chakrabortty & Patra [1808.04403](#)

Constraints on the model parameters - 2D posteriors


- 3 model parameters
- Uniform distributions of range $\{-50, 50\}$.
- Effects on different datasets.



BSM dependent WC space

Using the samples of points generated for $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}$, $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}$, $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}$, the distributions for the 9 WCs are obtained. These correspond to the bounds from the model information.


Dim-6 Ops.	Wilson coefficients
Q_{dH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{eH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{uH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_H	$-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^3}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_H^{\text{SM}} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} \frac{\lambda_H^{\text{SM}} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{24\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^3}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{H\square}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HD}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{24\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HB}	$\frac{g_Y^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{g_Y^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{768\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HW}	$\frac{g_W^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{g_W^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{768\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HWB}	$\frac{g_W g_Y \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$



BSM dependent WC space

Using the samples of points generated for $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}$, $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}$, $\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}$, the distributions for the WCs are obtained. These correspond to the bounds from the model information.

Dim-6 Ops.	Wilson coefficients
Q_{dH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{eH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{uH}	$\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_H	$-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^3}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_H^{\text{SM}} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} \frac{\lambda_H^{\text{SM}} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{24\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^3}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{H\square}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HD}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{24\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HB}	$\frac{g_Y^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{g_Y^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{768\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HW}	$\frac{g_W^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{g_W^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{768\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HWB}	$\frac{g_W g_Y \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$





Effect of RGE on model dependent analysis

(Alonso), Jenkins, Manohar & Trott
[1308.2627](#), [1310.4838](#), [\(1312.2014\)](#)


37 SMEFT operators RG running **51 SMEFT operators**

- With assumptions of Z_2 symmetry, 18 operators contribute in 3 model parameter constraints.
- Modification in matching expressions lead to relaxed BSM parameter bounds.
- With increase in $m_{\mathcal{H}_2}$, the model parameter spaces are becoming more relaxed.



Model dependent WCs spaces

- Similar behaviour is observed for the model generated WCs.



- After including RGE of WCs, parameter spaces of WCs not constrained by data are obtained using BSM parameter spaces.

Conclusions

- ♣ Constraints on WCs obtained using the *bottom up* approach of SMEFT.
- ♣ Connecting *bottom up* approach with *top down* approach.
 - Bounds on BSM parameters.
 - Model dependent WCs Parameter spaces are more constrained as compared to those obtained when WCs are treated free.

Future Prospects



- ♣ Aim to include top sector data and flavour observables in the global fit.
- ♣ Studying the effects of dim-6 squared and dim-8 contributions.
- ♣ Include observables which can affect four-fermi operators.
- ♣ Build a framework to compare BSM theories using the matching results.

Thank you for the attention !

Back up

Constraining effects of different datasets

Anisha, Bakshi, Banerjee, Biekötter, Chakrabortty, Patra, Spannowsky 2111.05876




Complete 1-loop Wilson coefficients within seconds !

Manually matching BSMs to SMEFT is involved.

Package for automation is much needed.

**CoDEx: Wilson coefficient calculator connecting SMEFT
to UV theory**

SDB, J Chakrabortty, S K Patra
Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 1, 21 • e-Print: 1808.04403



<https://effexteam.github.io/CoDEx/>

CoDEx: Extra Scalar Doublet

Heavy field properties

{Name, Color, Isospin, Hypercharge, Spin, Mass}

```
list = { hf, 1, 2, -1/2, 0, mH2}
```

Heavy field representation

```
 $\varphi = \text{defineHeavyFields[ list ]}$ 
```

BSM Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}_2} = & \mathcal{L}_{SM} + |D_\mu \mathcal{H}_2|^2 - m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 |\mathcal{H}_2|^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2}}{4} |\mathcal{H}_2|^4 - (\eta_H |\tilde{H}|^2 + \eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} |\mathcal{H}_2|^2) (\tilde{H}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{H}_2^\dagger \tilde{H}) \\ & - \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} |\tilde{H}|^2 |\mathcal{H}_2|^2 - \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} |\tilde{H}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_2|^2 - \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3} [(\tilde{H}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_2)^2 + (\mathcal{H}_2^\dagger \tilde{H})^2] \\ & - \left\{ Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)} \bar{L}_L \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 e_R + Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)} \bar{q}_L \mathcal{H}_2 u_R + Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)} \bar{q}_L \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 d_R + \text{h.c.} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

```

LH2 = -  $\frac{\lambda H2}{4}$  (dag[\varphi].\varphi)2 - ( $\eta H$  dag[Ht].Ht +  $\eta H2$  dag[\varphi].\varphi) (dag[Ht].\varphi + dag[\varphi].Ht)
-  $\lambda H21$  (dag[Ht].Ht) * (dag[\varphi].\varphi) -  $\lambda H22$  (dag[Ht].\varphi) * (dag[\varphi].Ht) -  $\lambda H23$  ((dag[Ht].\varphi)2 + (dag[\varphi].Ht)2)
-  $yH2e$  ((lepb[1][[1]] * \varphi t[[1]] + lepb[1][[2]] * \varphi t[[2]]).eR[1]
+ eRb[1].(hermitianConjugate[\varphi t[[1]]] * lep[1][[1]] + hermitianConjugate[\varphi t[[2]]] * lep[1][[2]]))
+  $yH2u$  ((qdubb[1, 1][[1]] * \varphi[[1]] + qdubb[1, 1][[2]] * \varphi[[2]]).uR[1, 1]
+ uRb[1, 1].(hermitianConjugate[\varphi[[1]]] * qdub[1, 1][[1]] + hermitianConjugate[\varphi[[2]]] * qdub[1, 1][[2]]))
+  $yH2d$  ((qdubb[1, 1][[1]] * \varphi t[[1]] + qdubb[1, 1][[2]] * \varphi t[[2]]).dR[1, 1]
+ dRb[1, 1].(hermitianConjugate[\varphi t[[1]]] * qdub[1, 1][[1]] + hermitianConjugate[\varphi t[[2]]] * qdub[1, 1][[2]]))
```

Tree-level Wilson coefficients

In[4]: codexOutput[LH2, list, model -> "2HDM", outRange -> "Tree", operBasis -> "Warsaw"]

Matching scale = mass of heavy field = mH2

Q_H	$(H^\dagger H)^3$	$\frac{\eta H^2}{mH^2}$
Q_{eH}	$(H^\dagger H)(\bar{e} e H) + \text{h.c.}$	$-\frac{\eta H y H^2 e}{mH^2}$
Q_{uH}	$(H^\dagger H)(\bar{q} u \tilde{H}) + \text{h.c.}$	$\frac{\eta H y H^2 u}{mH^2}$
Q_{dH}	$(H^\dagger H)(\bar{q} d H) + \text{h.c.}$	$-\frac{\eta H y H^2 d}{mH^2}$
Q_{le}	$(\bar{l} \gamma_\mu l)(\bar{e} \gamma_\mu e)$	$-\frac{y H^2 e^2}{4 mH^2}$
$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q} \gamma^\mu q)(\bar{u} \gamma_\mu u)$	$-\frac{y H^2 u^2}{4 mH^2}$
$Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q} \gamma_\mu q)(\bar{d} \gamma_\mu d)$	$-\frac{y H^2 d^2}{4 mH^2}$
Q_{ledq}	$(\bar{l}^j e)(\bar{d} q_j) + \text{h.c.}$	$\frac{y H^2 d y H^2 e}{2 mH^2}$
$Q_{quqd}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}^j u) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}^k d) + \text{h.c.}$	$-\frac{y H^2 d y H^2 u}{2 mH^2}$
$Q_{lequ}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{l}^j e) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}^k u) + \text{h.c.}$	$\frac{y H^2 e y H^2 u}{2 mH^2}$



1-loop level Wilson coefficients

In[5]: `initializeLoop["2HDM" , list]`

In[6]: `codexOutput[LH2, list, model -> "2HDM", outRange -> "Loop", operBasis -> "Warsaw"]`

Out[6]:

Matching scale = heavy field mass

*1-loop processes involving
only heavy propagators in the loop.

RGFlow of the Wilson coefficients

In[7]: `RGFlow[Wilson coefficients, mH2, μ]`

Out[7]:

SMEFT Matching results

Dim-6 Ops.	Wilson coefficients
Q_{dH}	$\frac{\eta_H^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_H \eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\eta_H Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)}}{m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{3\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)}}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{3\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $\frac{\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)}}{4\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $\frac{5\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)}}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_d^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{eH}	$\frac{\eta_H^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_H \eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\eta_H Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{3\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{3\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $\frac{\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{4\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $\frac{5\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_e^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{uH}	$\frac{\eta_H^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{3\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\eta_H Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{3\eta_H \eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{3\eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{4\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{3\eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{192\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2 Y_u^{\text{SM}}}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{5\eta_H \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_H	$\frac{3\eta_H^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2}}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{17\eta_H^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{\text{SM}}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\eta_H^2}{m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{3\eta_H^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{4\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_H \eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{\text{SM}}}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{3\eta_H \eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{13\eta_H^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{16\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{3\eta_H \eta_{\mathcal{H}_2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^3}{48\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $\frac{\lambda_H^{\text{SM}} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{7\eta_H^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}}{4\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_H^{\text{SM}} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}}{24\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^3}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}}{8\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{H\square}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\eta_H^2}{32\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$ $-\frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1} \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HD}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}^2}{96\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,3}^2}{24\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HB}	$\frac{g_Y^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{g_Y^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{768\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HW}	$\frac{g_W^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,1}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{g_W^2 \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{768\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{HWB}	$\frac{g_W g_Y \lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2,2}}{384\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$

Dim-6 Ops.	Wilson coefficients
$Q_{Hl}^{(1)}$	$\frac{g_Y^4}{3840\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{HQ}^{(1)}$	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{11520\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{Hd}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{5760\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{He}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{Hu}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{2880\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{Hl}^{(3)}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{HQ}^{(3)}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_W	$\frac{g_W^3}{5760\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ll}	$-\frac{g_W^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{g_Y^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$

Dim-6 Ops.	Wilson coefficients
$Q_{ud}^{(1)}$	$\frac{g_Y^4}{4320\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{lq}^{(3)}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{3840\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{qq}^{(3)}$	$-\frac{g_W^4}{7680\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{dd}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{17280\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ed}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{2880\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ee}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{eu}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{1440\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{uu}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{4320\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{lu}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{2880\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{qe}	$\frac{g_Y^4}{5760\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ld}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{5760\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{qq}^{(1)}$	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{69120\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{le}	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{1920\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)2}}{128\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)2}}{4m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$\frac{g_Y^4}{17280\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)2}}{128\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)2}}{4m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$-\frac{g_Y^4}{8640\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{3\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)2}}{128\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)2}}{4m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{quqd}^{(1)}$	$-\frac{3\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{64\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} - \frac{Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{2m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{lequ}^{(1)}$	$\frac{3\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{64\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(u)}}{2m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
$Q_{lq}^{(1)}$	$\frac{g_Y^4}{11520\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$
Q_{ledq}	$\frac{3\lambda_{\mathcal{H}_2} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{64\pi^2 m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2} + \frac{Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(d)} Y_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{(e)}}{2m_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2}$

CoDEX SMEFT Matching Result

Bakshi, Chakrabortty & Patra 1808.04403

BSM scenarios considered

BSM field	Spin	SM quantum numbers			Mass
		$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	
\mathcal{S}	0	1	1	0	$m_{\mathcal{S}}$
Δ	0	1	3	0	m_{Δ}
\mathcal{S}_1	0	1	1	1	$m_{\mathcal{S}_1}$
\mathcal{S}_2	0	1	1	2	$m_{\mathcal{S}_2}$
Δ_1	0	1	3	1	m_{Δ_1}
\mathcal{H}_2	0	1	2	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$m_{\mathcal{H}_2}$
Σ	0	1	4	$\frac{1}{2}$	m_{Σ}
φ_1	0	3	1	$-\frac{1}{3}$	m_{φ_1}
φ_2	0	3	1	$-\frac{4}{3}$	m_{φ_2}
Θ_1	0	3	2	$\frac{1}{6}$	m_{Θ_1}
Θ_2	0	3	2	$\frac{7}{6}$	m_{Θ_2}
Ω	0	3	3	$-\frac{1}{3}$	m_{Ω}
χ_1	0	6	3	$\frac{1}{3}$	m_{χ_1}
χ_2	0	6	1	$\frac{4}{3}$	m_{χ_2}
χ_3	0	6	1	$-\frac{2}{3}$	m_{χ_3}
χ_4	0	6	1	$\frac{1}{3}$	m_{χ_4}

CoDEx- Bakshi, Chakrabortty & Patra [1808.04403](#)

<https://github.com/effExTeam/Precision-Observables-and-Higgs-Signals-Effective-passageto-select-BSM>

Fit results of Model independent analysis

WCs	95% CI Individual limits	95% CI Global limits
c_{HWB}	[-0.0035, 0.0028]	[-0.19, 0.15]
c_{HD}	[-0.022, 0.0042]	[-0.40, 0.39]
c_{ll}	[-0.006, 0.016]	[-0.10, 0.00]
$c_{Hl}^{(1)}$	[-0.005, 0.012]	[-0.08, 0.12]
$c_{Hl}^{(3)}$	[-0.010, 0.003]	[-0.054, 0.063]
c_{He}	[-0.013, 0.008]	[-0.20, 0.19]
$c_{Hq}^{(1)}$	[-0.023, 0.047]	[-0.057, 0.096]
$c_{Hq}^{(3)}$	[-0.008, 0.016]	[-0.033, 0.063]
c_{Hd}	[-0.15, 0.04]	[-0.29, 0.11]
c_{Hu}	[-0.056, 0.081]	[-0.13, 0.25]
c_H	[-9.6, 6.9]	[-11., 7.0]
$c_{H\square}$	[-0.96, -0.13]	[-1.6, 5.6]
c_{HG}	[-0.0038, -0.0002]	[-0.013, 0.010]
c_{HW}	[-0.010, 0.005]	[-0.28, 0.12]
c_{HB}	[-0.0031, 0.0016]	[-0.050, 0.061]
c_W	[-0.17, 0.34]	[-0.18, 0.33]
c_G	[-0.8, 1.2]	[-1.1, 1.3]
$c_{\mu H}$	[-0.0042, 0.0027]	[-0.0045, 0.0025]
$c_{\tau H}$	[-0.0040, 0.028]	[-0.009, 0.029]
c_{bH}	[-0.036, 0.004]	[-0.029, 0.069]
c_{cH}	[-0.15, -0.01]	[-1.1, 0.20]
c_{tH}	[0.02, 1.2]	[-2.6, 2.6]
c_{tG}	[-0.11, -0.01]	[-0.28, 0.21]

WCs	Correlations																						
	c_{HWB}	c_{HD}	c_{ll}	$c_{Hl}^{(1)}$	$c_{Hl}^{(3)}$	c_{He}	$c_{Hq}^{(1)}$	$c_{Hq}^{(3)}$	c_{Hd}	c_{Hu}	c_H	$c_{H\square}$	c_{HG}	c_{HW}	c_{HB}	c_W	c_G	$c_{\mu H}$	$c_{\tau H}$	c_{bH}	c_{cH}	c_{tH}	c_{tG}
c_{HWB}	1																						
c_{HD}	-0.98	1																					
c_{ll}	-0.03	0.06	1																				
$c_{Hl}^{(1)}$	0.96	-0.98	-0.22	1																			
$c_{Hl}^{(3)}$	0.09	-0.24	0.31	0.17	1																		
c_{He}	0.98	-1.00	-0.07	0.98	0.24	1																	
$c_{Hq}^{(1)}$	-0.41	0.34	-0.13	-0.31	0.20	-0.35	1																
$c_{Hq}^{(3)}$	-0.24	0.13	0.02	-0.13	0.54	-0.13	-0.06	1															
c_{Hd}	-0.01	0.02	-0.05	-0.02	-0.08	-0.02	0.37	0.09	1														
c_{Hu}	-0.31	0.25	-0.15	-0.22	0.16	-0.25	0.59	-0.29	0.26	1													
c_H	-0.10	0.09	-0.02	-0.09	0.01	-0.10	0.08	-0.01	0.03	0.12	1												
$c_{H\square}$	-0.60	0.58	-0.03	-0.56	0	-0.58	0.43	-0.02	0.12	0.55	0.23	1											
c_{HG}	0.07	-0.05	0.02	0.04	-0.13	0.05	-0.06	-0.13	-0.03	-0.10	-0.28	-0.12	1										
c_{HW}	0.88	-0.85	-0.02	0.83	0.02	0.85	-0.38	-0.24	-0.03	-0.33	-0.11	-0.62	0.07	1									
c_{HB}	0.87	-0.86	-0.03	0.85	0.14	0.86	-0.35	-0.13	0	-0.26	-0.09	-0.54	0.07	0.53	1								
c_W	0.15	-0.15	0.02	0.14	0.07	0.15	-0.02	-0.03	0.01	0	-0.01	-0.07	0	0.12	0.13	1							
c_G	-0.05	0.06	0	-0.06	-0.04	-0.06	0.03	-0.03	0	0.03	0.01	0.02	-0.11	-0.03	-0.07	-0.01	1						
$c_{\mu H}$	0	0	-0.01	0	0	0	0.01	-0.02	0.01	0.02	-0.01	0.02	0	0	0	0	0.04	1					
$c_{\tau H}$	0	0	-0.01	0	-0.01	0	0.03	-0.05	0.01	0.05	-0.04	0.01	-0.16	0.01	0.01	0	0.05	0.07	1				
c_{bH}	0.04	-0.11	-0.05	0.11	0.37	0.11	0.01	0.35	0.03	0.09	0.01	0.05	-0.40	0.07	0	0.02	-0.01	0.05	0.28	1			
c_{cH}	0.51	-0.48	0.04	0.45	-0.08	0.48	-0.37	-0.06	-0.12	-0.51	-0.22	-0.95	0.15	0.52	0.48	0.06	0	0	0.08	-0.15	1		
c_{tH}	-0.21	0.22	0	-0.21	-0.07	-0.22	0.15	-0.08	0.03	0.15	-0.19	0.21	0.37	-0.24	-0.14	-0.03	-0.39	-0.02	0.09	-0.01	-0.08	1	
c_{tG}	-0.04	0.02	-0.01	-0.02	0.11	-0.02	0.04	0.10	0.02	0.08	0.16	0.09	-0.78	-0.06	-0.03	0	-0.17	-0.05	0.05	0.27	-0.12	0.14	1

◆ 23 WCs treated as free and
independent parameters.

DiHiggs measurements

channel	ATLAS	CMS
$b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$	-12.7 ± 12.8	-3.9 ± 3.8
$b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$	$-6.3^{+9.9}_{-7.5}$	2.5 ± 2.6
$b\bar{b}\tau\tau$	-4.1 ± 8.4	-5 ± 15

Running of WCs

(Alonso), Jenkins, Manohar & Trott
[1308.2627](#), [1310.4838](#), [\(1312.2014\)](#)

