

# LHCf Run II physics results in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

# Alessio Tiberio on behalf of the LHCf collaboration

# Ultra-high-energy cosmic rays





# **Contribution from accelerators**



LHC

## Why forward?





## **Experimental setup**





# Neutrons in p-p at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV



- Models do not reproduce the peak structure at η > 10.75 and underestimate the total cross section in this region
- For 8.65 < η < 10.75 either EPOS-LHC or SIBYLL 2.3 has the best agreement with data, depending on the pseudorapidity region



ICHEP 2022, Bologna (Italy), July 6-13, 2022

6

JHEP07 (2020) 016

Adriani et al.

# Inelasticity in p-p at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

LUCC

 Neutron elasticity distribution is not well reproduced by any model (SIBYLL 2.3 better than others)

 Average neutron inelasticity is well reproduced with QGSJet II-04 and not far from the prediction of other models, except PYTHIA 8.212



ICHEP 2022, Bologna (Italy), July 6-13, 2022

Ò

Adriani et al.

JHEP07 (2020) 016

# $\pi^{\circ}$ in p-p at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (preliminary)



8

- Good agreement between Arm1 and Arm2 data and between "Type I" and "Type II" events
- Arm2 acceptance covers the gaps in Arm1 data for  $X_F < 0.6$  and extends the low- $p_T$  coverage for  $X_F >$ 0.6, while Arm1 extends the acceptance to higher  $p_T$



# $\eta$ in p-p at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (preliminary)







 Better agreement with QGSJET, but still a factor
 ~2 difference at low X<sub>F</sub>

# **ATLAS-LHCf combined analysis**





- The number of tracks in the central region gives information on the type of collision
- Requiring no charged tracks in ATLAS for  $|\eta| < 2.5$  a sample of low-mass diffractive events can be selected



# Combined analysis with ATLAS (photons in p-p at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV)



- Good agreement with EPOS-LHC for η > 10.94
- Best agreement with EPOS-LHC and PYTHIA 8.212DL for 8.81 < η < 8.99</li>





# Combined analysis with ATLAS (photons in p-p at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV)



- The fraction of diffractive-like events differs between models
- Best agreement with EPOS-LHC for η > 10.94
- Best agreement with
  PYTHIA 8.212DL for
  8.81 < η < 8.99</li>





# Combined analysis with ATLAS: ongoing analysis

Study of multi parton interaction (MPI), as proposed in S. Ostapchenko et al, Phys. Rev. D 94, 114026

 Study of the correlation between the energy of a neutron detected by LHCf and the number of charged tracks detected by ATLAS in the central region



#### **Future prospects**



- Operation in **proton-proton** collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$  TeV
  - \* Increase of  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$  statistics thanks to the upgrade of the readout electronics and a dedicated trigger scheme
  - ★ Allow the K<sup>0</sup> analysis thanks to the increased statistics
  - ★ Joint acquisition with ATLAS planned
    - operation with roman pots (ALFA and AFP): hadronization of single diffractive events and  $\Delta$  resonance (p+ $\pi^0$ )
    - operation with ATLAS ZDC: improve hadron resolution from ~40% to ~20% (measurements of p- $\pi$  cross section via one-pion exchange process)
- Operation in proton-oxygen and oxygen-oxygen collision (2023 or 2024)
  - best configuration to probe CR-atmosphere collision
  - direct measurement of nuclear modification factor (no background from ultra peripheral collisions as in p-Pb collisions)

# backup

### **Detectors performance**

- Two sampling and position sensitive calorimeters
- Tungsten + GSO scintillators
- Depth: 44 X<sub>0</sub>, 1.6 λ
- Energy resolution:
  - < 3% (photons, E > 200 GeV)
  - ~ 40% (neutrons)

#### Arm 1

- Transverse size: 20 x 20 mm<sup>2</sup> and 40 x 40 mm<sup>2</sup>
- 4 x-y GSO bars layers
- Position resolution: 100 µm (photons, E > 200 GeV)





- Transverse size: 25 x 25 mm<sup>2</sup> and 32 x 32 mm<sup>2</sup>
- 4 x-y silicon µstrip layers
- Position resolution: 40 μm (photons, E > 200 GeV)

ICHEP 2022, Bologna (Italy), July 6-13, 2022



Arm 2

#### **Event categories**











ICHEP 2022, Bologna (Italy), July 6-13, 2022

# Phys. Rev. D83 (2011) 054026



# $N_{\mu}$ vs parameters



#### ICHEP 2022, Bologna (Italy), July 6-13, 2022

# Best agreement with QGSJET and EPOS LHC for η > 10.94

 Good agreement with EPOS-LHC and PYTHIA 8.212 for 8.81 < η < 8.99 at energies below 3 TeV

# Photons in p-p at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV





Ö

Adriani et al.,

PLB

780 (2018) 233-

<u>5</u> 2007 000

# **Diffraction mass distribution**







 $\Delta \eta \simeq -\ln(\xi_x)$ 

Q. D. Zhou et al., Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77:212

# $\pi^0$ geometrical acceptance



Arm2 geometrical acceptance



ICHEP 2022, Bologna (Italy), July 6-13, 2022

# Trigger logic



- "Shower" trigger
  - prescale factor: 14
  - ~100% efficiency for photons (E > 200 GeV)
  - ~70% efficiency for neutrons (E > 1 TeV)
- "Type I" trigger
  - prescale factor: 1
  - $-\pi^0$  with one photon in each calorimeter (efficiency ~98%)
  - η
- "High EM" trigger
  - prescale factor: 1
  - high energy photons (E > 1 TeV)
  - $\pi^0$  with both photons in the same calorimeter (efficiency ~97%)

# Arm2 DAQ upgrade



- Replace aged electronics
  - lack of replacements for FOXI optical transmitters/receivers, control ring boards, ...
- Speed-up the readout by a factor ~10
  - Arm2 silion DAQ gives the main contribution to dead time (~1 ms)
  - GbEthernet (~1 Gbps) protocol will be used instead of FOXIchip protocol (~100 Mbps)

## Arm2 DAQ upgrade





ICHEP 2022, Bologna (Italy), July 6-13, 2022