Soft photon bremsstrahlung at next-to-leading power

Domenico Bonocore, Anna Kulesza

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany

based on arXiv:2112.08329 and ongoing work with R. Balsach

An experimental conundrum

Theoretical descriptions of soft photon emission spectra typically rely on a formula based on the Leading Power (LP) eikonal approximation, where the photon momentum $k \to 0$:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm LP}}{d^3k} = \frac{\alpha}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\omega_k} \int d^3p_3 \cdots \int d^3p_n \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n -\eta_i \eta_j \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{(p_i \cdot k)(p_j \cdot k)}\right) d\sigma_H(p_1, \dots, p_n)$$

LP formula is universal, with no loop corrections, insensitive to spin and recoil, in agreement with classical power spectrum

However, it is in **poor agreement with data** when hadrons are present [1]:

Experiment	Energy	Photon k_t	\exp/th
$K^{+}p$ (BEBC,1984)	$70 {\rm GeV}$	$< 60 { m MeV}$	4.0 ± 0.8
K^+p (EHS, 1993)	$250~{ m GeV}$	$< 40 {\rm ~MeV}$	6.4 ± 1.6
$\pi^+ p \; (\text{EHS}, 1997)$	$250~{ m GeV}$	$< 40 {\rm ~MeV}$	6.9 ± 1.3
$\pi^- p$ (OMEGA, 1997)	$280~{ m GeV}$	$< 10 {\rm ~MeV}$	7.9 ± 1.4
$\pi^+ p$ (OMEGA, 2002)	$280~{ m GeV}$	$< 20 {\rm ~MeV}$	5.3 ± 0.9
pp (OMEGA, 2002)	$450 {\rm GeV}$	$< 20 {\rm ~MeV}$	4.1 ± 0.8
$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons} (\text{DELPHI}, 2010)$	$91~{ m GeV}$	$< 60 {\rm MeV}$	~ 4.0
$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ (DELPHI, 2008)	$91~{ m GeV}$	$< 60 {\rm MeV}$	~ 1.0

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons [3], photon range: 200 MeV < ω_k < 1 GeV

¹⁸⁰	-	l Itio		/jet	atio	_	i i
0- ³ γ	E ● Signal I	<u>8</u> 10 – 1	 Signal/Brems 	ຕ ົ [● Signal		-	1
<u>–</u> 160		- rem		é		• Signal/Brems	[/
Rate	E A Brems	B/B	★ muon brems		al/B	- 5 /	1
140	-	guố		-	<u>,</u>	-	í.
140		<u>~</u> 8 ⊨●			'/ T O	F ' 1	r

Future measurements with the **ALICE** detector are under consideration [2].



<u>Idea:</u> building on recent progress in threshold resummation (where the soft boson is an *undetected* gluon) [4, 5, 6], investigate the impact of Next-to-Leading Power (NLP) corrections to the strict $k \to 0$ limit in the case of a *detected* photon.

NLP via LBK theorem

At the **tree-level**, NLP soft emissions are governed by **next-to-soft theorems**, first studied in QED by Low, Burnett and Kroll (LBK) [7, 8]:



NLP with QCD loop corrections

At NLP, soft theorems receive **loop** corrections. Virtual collinear effects are captured by **radiative jet functions** J^{μ} [9].



 $/ P_2$

$$\mathcal{A}_{n+1} = \left(\mathcal{S}_{LP} + \mathcal{S}_{NLP}\right) \mathcal{A}_n ,$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{LP} = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \frac{\epsilon^*(k) \cdot p_i}{p_i \cdot k} , \quad \mathcal{S}_{NLP} = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \frac{\epsilon^*_\mu(k) k_\nu (S^{\mu\nu} + L^{\mu\nu})}{p_i \cdot k} .$$

Note the coupling with the spin generator $S^{\mu\nu}$ and the orbital angular momentum $L^{\mu\nu}$ of the hard emitter. Hence, NLP soft emissions are sensitive to **spin** and **recoil** of the hard emitter.

At the cross-section level, for numerical purposes, it is convenient to express LBK theorem with **shifted kinematics**:

$$|\mathcal{A}(p_1,\ldots,p_n,k)|^2 = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n -\eta_i \eta_j \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{p_i \cdot k \, p_j \cdot k}\right) |\mathcal{A}(p_1 + \delta p_1,\ldots,p_n + \delta p_n)|^2$$

LP factor!

where shifts are defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\delta p_{\ell}^{\mu}} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \eta_i \eta_j \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{p_i \cdot k \, p_j \cdot k}\right)^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left(\eta_m \eta_\ell \frac{(p_m)_{\nu} G_{\ell}^{\mu\nu}}{p_m \cdot k}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{p_m \eta_\ell}{p_m \eta_\ell} \frac{(p_m)_{\nu} G_{\ell}^{\mu\nu}}{p_m \cdot k}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{p_m \eta_\ell}{p_m \eta_\ell} \frac{(p_m)_{\nu} G_{\ell}^{\mu\nu}}{p_m \eta_\ell}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{p_m \eta_\ell}{p_m \eta_\ell} \frac{(p_m)_{\mu} G_{\ell}^{\mu$$

and



In particular, for a process with a single quark-antiquark pair in the **mass-less limit** (such as $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma$), the leading correction to the LBK contribution comes from the quark radiative jet, which in dimensional regularization (with $d = 4 - 2\varepsilon$ and $\bar{\mu}$ the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scale) reads at one-loop [4]

$$J^{\mu(1)} = \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{2p \cdot k}\right)^{\varepsilon} \left[\left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon} + 4 + 8\varepsilon\right) \left(\frac{n \cdot k}{p \cdot k} \frac{p^{\mu}}{p \cdot n} - \frac{n^{\mu}}{p \cdot n}\right) - (1 + 2\varepsilon) \frac{ik_{\alpha} S^{\alpha \mu}}{p \cdot k} + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} - 3\varepsilon\right) \frac{k^{\mu}}{p \cdot k} + (1 + 3\varepsilon) \left(\frac{\gamma^{\mu} m}{p \cdot n} - \frac{p^{\mu}}{p \cdot k} \frac{k m}{p \cdot n}\right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2, k) .$$

Thus, the next-to-soft theorem receives a logarithmic correction:

$$\left(\sum_{i} \epsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k) q_{i} J_{i}^{\mu(1)}\right) \mathcal{A}_{n} = \frac{2}{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}} \left[\sum_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log\left(\frac{\overline{\mu}^{2}}{2p_{i} \cdot k}\right)\right) q_{j} p_{i} \cdot k \frac{p_{j} \cdot \epsilon}{p_{j} \cdot k}\right] \mathcal{A}_{n}$$

The soft photon bremsstrahlung at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ becomes

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm NLP}}{d^3k} = \frac{d\sigma_{\rm NLP-tree}}{d^3k} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{d\sigma_{\rm NLP-J}}{d^3k} \ , \label{eq:alpha}$$

Shifted kinematics allows to efficiently implement LBK theorem in the bremsstrahlung cross-section:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{NLP-tree}}}{d^3k} = \frac{\alpha}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\omega_k} \int d^3 p_3 \cdots \int d^3 p_n \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n -\eta_i \eta_j \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{(p_i \cdot k)(p_j \cdot k)}\right)$$
$$(1 - (1 - z)R_{12}) d\sigma_H(p_1 + \delta p_1, \dots, p_n + \delta p_n)$$

• Correction of order $|(\omega_k)^0 \sim 1|$ to LP spectrum

where

 $/ P_2$



• Correction of order $\left| \alpha_s \log \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{2p_i \cdot k} \right) \right|$ to LP spectrum, i.e. particularly enhanced for small ω_k and small k_t

References

[1] Cheuk-Yin Wong. 1404.0040.
 [2] D. Adamová et al. 1902.01211.
 [3] J. Abdallah et al. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 67:343–366, 2010.

[4] DB et al. JHEP, 06:008, 2015.
[5] M. Beneke et al. JHEP, 03:043, 2019.
[6] I. Moult et al. JHEP, 08:013, 2018.

[7] F. Low. Phys. Rev., 110:974–977, 1958.
[8] T. Burnett and N. Kroll. PRL, 20:86, 1968.
[9] V. Del Duca. Nucl. Phys., B345:369–388, 1990.