The R2D2 Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay Experiment

R. Ward¹, on behalf of the R2D2 Collaboration

¹University of Birmingham

International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP) 2022

7th July 2022







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreements No 845168 (neutronSphere), No 841261 (DarkSphere) and No 101026519 (GaGARin).

R. Ward (University of Birmingham) - ICHEP 2022

The R2D2 $0\nu\beta\beta$ Experiment

$0\nu\beta\beta$: Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay

>Are neutrinos their own antiparticle?

- $\circ~0\nu\beta\beta$ is the most sensitive test of the Majorana nature of the neutrino
- \odot Deeply linked to the ν mass mechanism can probe question of ν mass hierarchy









0ν2β

Requirements for sensitivity:
 Large mass of 0νββ-capable isotope
 Good resolution at low energies
 Low-to-zero backgrounds

R2D2: Rare Decays with a Radial Detector

Investigate 0νββ in ¹³⁶Xe isotope using spherical proportional counters Collaborative effort between IN2P3 laboratories, CEA Saclay, and the University of Birmingham

Why ¹³⁶Xe?

- 9% abundance in natural Xe
- Safe and easy to enrich

Gaseous Xe allows pressure variation:

- Event containment in high pressure
- Background rejection in moderate pressure
- Good energy resolution < 0.55 g/cm³

$$Q_{etaeta}(^{136}\mathrm{Xe})=2.458~\mathrm{MeV}$$



Spherical Proportional Counters



Electric field $\propto 1/r^2$

Inherent division into 'drift' and 'amplification' regions

 $\vec{E} = \left(\frac{V_1}{r^2} \cdot \frac{r_c r_a}{r_c - r_a}\right) \hat{r} \approx \left(\frac{V_1}{r^2} r_a\right) \hat{r} \qquad \begin{array}{l} r_c = \text{cathode radius} \\ r_a = \text{anode radius} \end{array}$

Capacitance independent of detector size o Low electronic noise

$$C = 4\pi\epsilon_0 \cdot \frac{r_c r_a}{r_c - r_a} \approx 4\pi\epsilon_0 r_a \sim 1 \text{ pF}$$

- Maximum volume-to-surface ratio
- ➢ High pressure operation
- Simple, robust design with a flexibility in target gas

See talks by K. Nikolopoulos and I. Manthos for other applications!



G. Charpak, I. Giomataris; CEA Saclay

Pulse-Shape Discrimination

 \geq Determine interaction properties from pulse-shape analysis • Detector fiducialisation and background rejection capabilities



R. Ward (University of Birmingham) - ICHEP 2022

Low-Radius Point-Like

R2D2: Roadmap

>Goal for demonstrator: limit of $T_{0\nu\beta\beta}^{1/2}(^{136}\text{Xe}) > 2.5 \times 10^{25}$ yr with 1 year of data taking



Energy Resolution: Overview

First goal: demonstrate energy resolution
 Ø40 cm Al detector in low-noise facility in Bordeaux
 Custom-made electronics: OWEN project
 Ar:CH₄ (98%: 2%) at 0.2 bar and at 1.1 bar
 ²¹⁰Po source → 5.3 MeV α-particles - near Q_{ββ} = 2.458 MeV





JINST (2018) 13 P11006



Energy Resolution: Data vs Simulation

Reconstruct observables such as total charge, Qt, and signal duration, Dt, for the pulses

Use dedicated simulation to understand experimental detector response



GEANT

Energy Resolution: Results

> 1.1% resolution at 5.3 MeV → 1.6% at 2.458 MeV • Resolution $\propto \sqrt{E}$

Resolution independent of track length
 Same resolution at 1.1 bar (~3 - 4 cm) and
 0.2 bar (~22 cm)

0.5% contribution from electronics
 0.9% resolution without noise

> Will expand study to Xe in the future

	W [eV]	Fano
Ar	~26	~0.16
Xe	~22	0.13-0.17

JINST (2021) 16 P03012



Scintillation Signal

- Operate SPC in pure Argon and use scintillation light as a trigger
 - \odot First measurements performed with a $6\times6~mm^2$ Hamamatsu SiPM
- Primary interaction produces scintillation
 o Prompt signal, s₁
- Avalanche produces electroluminescence
 Delayed signal, s₂
- Time difference, Δt , between s_1 and s_2 allows electron drift time to be measured
 - \odot Excellent agreement between data and simulation for α -particles

Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 1028 (2022) 166382



R. Ward (University of Birmingham) - ICHEP 2022

Next-Steps

New, higher pressure detector is commissioned
 Enables 40 bar gas

Perform studies with 5 bar of Ar

O New results soon!

>Xe gas filling

 $\ensuremath{\circ}$ Implementing recovery and circulation system

Implement gas purification system to remove electronegative impurities

Calibrate with different radioactive sources $o^{207}Bi → β$ -particles

 \circ Gaseous Rn source→ *α*-particles

>Optimise sensor technologies



ACHINOS: Multi-Anode Sensor

 \geq Multiple anodes equidistant from the centre

• Decouples drift and amplification regions

- Larger volume detectors
- Higher gas pressures
- Smaller anodes ($< \emptyset 1 \text{ mm}$)

Multi-channel readout allows further detector fiducialisation and background rejection





The R2D2 $0\nu\beta\beta$ Experiment



Summary

- ightarrow R2D2 Collaboration aims to search for 0νββ using spherical proportional counters filled with Xe
- ➢ First results are promising!
 - $\odot\,1.1\%$ resolution at 5.3 MeV
 - $\rightarrow 1.6\%$ energy resolution at $Q_{\beta\beta} = 2.458$ MeV

Successful read out of scintillation light

- Next steps are to run with Xe and enable higher pressures
 - Set-up commissioned, data-taking to begin soon
- Closely working with other SPC developments to optimise experiment

 ACHINOS, radiopure materials, simulation





Additional Slides

$0\nu\beta\beta$ Results

https://arxiv.org/abs/1411.4791

$\beta\beta^-$ decay	experiment	$T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$ [y]	$m_{\beta\beta}$ [eV]
$^{48}_{20}$ Ca $\rightarrow ^{48}_{22}$ Ti	ELEGANT-VI [119]	$> 1.4 \times 10^{22}$	< 6.6 - 31
	Heidelberg-Moscow [224]	$> 1.9 imes 10^{25}$	< 0.23 - 0.67
$^{76}_{32}\mathrm{Ge} ightarrow ^{76}_{34}\mathrm{Se}$	IGEX [226]	$>1.6 imes10^{25}$	< 0.25 - 0.73
	GERDA [32]	$> 2.1 \times 10^{25}$	< 0.22 - 0.64
$^{82}_{34}\text{Se} \rightarrow {}^{82}_{36}\text{Kr}$	NEMO-3 [120]	$> 1.0 \times 10^{23}$	< 1.8 - 4.7
$^{100}_{42}\mathrm{Mo} \rightarrow ^{100}_{44}\mathrm{Ru}$	NEMO-3 [121]	$> 2.1 \times 10^{25}$	< 0.32 - 0.88
$^{116}_{48}\mathrm{Cd} \rightarrow ^{116}_{50}\mathrm{Sn}$	Solotvina [234]	$> 1.7 imes 10^{23}$	< 1.5 - 2.5
$^{128}_{52}\text{Te} \rightarrow ^{128}_{54}\text{Xe}$	CUORICINO [235]	$> 1.1 \times 10^{23}$	< 7.2 - 18
$^{130}_{52}\text{Te} \rightarrow ^{130}_{54}\text{Xe}$	CUORICINO [236]	$> 2.8 \times 10^{24}$	< 0.32 - 1.2
$136 \mathbf{Y}_0 \rightarrow 136 \mathbf{B}_2$	EXO [239]	$> 1.1 \times 10^{25}$	< 0.2 - 0.69
$_{54}\text{Ae} \rightarrow _{56}\text{Da}$	KamLAND-Zen [241]	$> 1.9 imes 10^{25}$	< 0.15 - 0.52
$^{150}_{60}\text{Nd} \rightarrow ^{150}_{62}\text{Sm}$	NEMO-3 [243]	$> 2.1 \times 10^{25}$	< 2.6 - 10