Beam test characterization of oriented crystals in strong field conditions

Pietro Monti-Guarnieri

on behalf of the STORM, KLEVER and Muon Collider collaborations

University of Insubria & INFN Milano Bicocca

ICHEP 2022, 08th July 2022



Overview

- 1. What is the strong field condition for an oriented crystal?
- **2.** When is this condition satisfied?
- 3. Why should we care about it?
- 4. How did we observe it?





Oriented crystals (Lindhard)



Particles incident on randomly oriented matter ("amorphous")



Bethe-Heitler bremsstrahlung due to the interactions with singlenuclei electrical fields





Particles incident with small angle (θ) on an oriented lattice, arranged in planes or strings

If θ is small enough, the particles fall in a **bound state**: oscillatory dynamics (**channeling**) + **coherent** build-up of the **bremsstrahlung** radiation



The Strong Field Condition (SFC)

Ultra-relativistic particles experience a **constant and enhanced electrical field** and thus emit hard photons with high intensity, if it holds:

$$\chi = \frac{\gamma E}{E_0} > 1$$
$$\theta < \Theta_0 = \frac{U_0}{mc^2}$$

> The effect is visible already at $\theta \sim 1^{\circ}, E \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$

➤ The angular acceptance is energy-independent but crystal-dependent (since E, U₀ are the nuclei field and potential-well depth, while $E_0 \approx 1.3 \cdot 10^{18}$ V/m is the Schwinger critical field).

For <111> axis in tungsten, $\chi = 1$ at 13.6 GeV and $\Theta_0 \sim 1.75$ mrad

[values from M. Soldani et al, arXiv:2203.07163 (2022).]



Planar channeling Axial channeling Strong field (CFA)

The radiation length reduction

The strong crystalline field affects both **bremsstrahlung** and **pair production**. Thus, in an oriented lattice:

- Electromagnetic showers become much more compact
- > The «effective» value of X_0 is expected to decrease



Particle



Amorphous or randomly oriented crystal

Why should we care?

There are several applications for oriented crystals in HEP and astrophysics, but the **experimental data** available today are **insufficient** for any practical purpose, due to:

- Scarcity of samples tested (e.g., Ir, W, BGO)
- > Scarcity of lengths tested (~ $1 X_0$)

\rightarrow More studies are needed!

Beam dump experiments (searches for light Dark Matter)



without affecting λ_{int}

Light-weight calorimeters for multimessenger astrophysics



The experimental setup at the CERN SPS

August 2021: 2 weeks-long beam test at the H2 extracted beam line.

Goal: characterization of several crystal samples (1 and 2 X_0 PbWO₄, 2 X_0 PbF₂) with **120 GeV** electrons (top) or tagged photons (bottom).





The reference detectors

Silicon strip tracking detectors $(10 \div 30 \ \mu m \text{ spatial resolution})$

SiPMs coupled to the crystal under investigation

 γ -calorimeter (3 × 3 matrix of BGO crystals, $\approx 20.5 X_0$)





The crystal-beam alignment

After a preliminary laser-guided pre-alignment, the **stereogram reconstruction** allows the determination of the correct **alignment** between the crystal axes and the particle beam.

This procedure is carried out by performing several **angular scans**, in search for the position of **maximum particle multiplicity and maximum energy deposit**.





 $\theta_{y,mis}$

Pre-alignmen

Plane

SiPMs PH

 $\theta_{x.mis}$

Preliminary results: PbWO₄ (<100> axis)





Preliminary results: PbF₂ (<110> axis)





Estimation of the radiation length reduction

Idea: **simulate the shower development** inside the crystal and evaluate the ratio of the lengths needed to obtain the measured **energy deposit enhancement**.





Conclusions & outlooks

- ✓ Strong field effects have been measured in several scintillating crystals, with both electrons and tagged photon beams, in terms of:
 - Enhancement of the energy deposited
 - Reduction of the **radiation length**
- ✓ For the first time ever, the SFC was observed also in a Cherenkov radiator.
- ✓ SiPMs coupled to the crystals showed comparable or better performances than plastic scintillators, for the stereogram reconstruction and X_0 reduction estimation.

What comes next:

- **Simulation** of the experimental setup, to confirm our findings
- □ Realization of the first particle detector based on oriented crystals.



Thank you for your attention!

For questions or comments please contact: pmontiguarnieri@studenti.uninsubria.it

The KLEVER experiment

- □ Goal: measure for the first time BR $(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu})$ up to ~ 20% precision
- □ 5 years-long data taking during LHC Run 4, in NA62-like conditions (400 GeV/c protons)
- Very complex setup, due to the high background induced by kaon decay products (mainly soft photons and neutrons).
- Oriented crystals could be useful for the realization of the Small Angle Calorimeter, which will sit directly in the beam line:
 - □ Goal: measure > 30 GeV photons with < 10⁻⁴ inefficiency, while remaining blind to hadrons (~ 440 MHz of neutrons)
 - Baseline solution: ultra-fast Cherenkov sampling calorimeter (PbF₂), partially-oriented, with 100 ps temporal resolution and 2-pulse separation at ~ 1 ns.





The experimental setup at the CERN SPS

Electron spectrometer (7 Lead Glass homogenous ECALs + 1 lead-scintillator shashlik ECAL)



Plastic scintillator ($\approx 5 \text{ cm thick}$) used as charged particle multiplicity counter





Preliminary results: $PbWO_4(1X_0)$



Multiplicity counter spectra (left) and SiPM spectra (right) for a tagged photon beam impinging on the crystal sample.



Energy calibration of the SiPM (PbF₂)





Estimation of the radiation length reduction

An alternative way to estimate the reduction of X_0 in an oriented crystal uses the following model for the longitudinal shower development:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = E_0 b \frac{(bt)^{a-1} e^{-bt}}{\Gamma(a)}$$

where *a* depends on the critical energy of the material and $b \approx 0.5$. The total energy deposited inside a crystal of length t_0 is:

$$E(t_0) = \int_0^{t_0} dt \ \frac{dE}{dt} = E_0 \frac{\Gamma(a,0) - \Gamma(a,bt_0)}{\Gamma(a)}$$

The ratio of axial vs amorphous energy deposits is measured experimentally (SiPMs). Thus, setting $t_0^{axial} > 1$ and $t_0^{amorphous} = 1$, one can solve numerically the equation and estimate t_0^{axial} .



Shower model from *E. Longo and I. Sestili, Nuclear Instruments and Methods* 128.2 (1975)

