## Heavy flavor physics at FCC-ee July 09, 2022 ICHEP 2022

Xunwu Zuo for the FCC-ee flavor group



. 7

## Outline

- FCC-ee dataset
- b physics program
- Charm physics program
- Tau physics program
- Other opportunities



## FCC-ee

- 91km ring near CERN
- Possibility for 4 experiment sites
- Operate at Z, WW, ZH, tt energies
- ~15 years of operation starting 2045(?)





## FCC-ee dataset

Much more than just "H-factory"

- Splendid datasets expected with a plethora of physics opportunities
  - EW precision measurement (Z, W, H, t)
  - QCD precision measurement ( $\alpha_s$ )
  - Flavor physics  $(b, c, \tau)$
  - Rare decay searches
  - BSM particles (ALPs, dark photons, LLPs)

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u></u>	#~2~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Dataset	Operation	Int. lumi. ( $ab^{-1}$ )	Ev
Ζ	4 years	150	$5 \times$
WW	2 years	12	5 ×
ZH	3 years	5	>
tt	5 years	2	>

### xunwu.zuo@cern.ch







## FCC-ee as flavor factory

## **Best option for next-generation flavor machine**

- FCC-ee expects to operate at Z-pole for 4 ye producing a total of  $5 \times 10^{12}$  Z bosons
- About 13x as many  $B^0/B^+$  as at Belle II (50 a
- About 8x as many  $\tau$  as Super tau-charm fact
- All species of b-hadrons are produced
- Decay products significantly boosted

Particle production $(10^9)$	$B^0 \ / \ \overline{B}^0$	$B^+ / B^-$	$B^0_s \ / \ \overline{B}^0_s$	$\Lambda_b \ / \ \overline{\Lambda}_b$	$c\overline{c}$	$\tau^-/\tau^+$
Belle II	27.5	27.5	n/a	n/a	65	45
FCC-ee	300	300	80	80	600	150







ears,	Attribute	$\Upsilon(4S)$	pp	
-	All hadron species		1	
	High boost		1	
$ab^{-1}$ )	Enormous production cross-section		1	
	Negligible trigger losses	1		
tory	Low backgrounds	1		
	Initial energy constraint	1		(





# b physics

xunwu.zuo@cern.ch



# $B^0/B^0_{\rm c} \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

- Measurements of ultra-rare  $B \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ , some unique at FCC-ee
- High invariant-mass resolution expected from excellent tracking performance
- Controlling the misidentification of  $\pi^+$  as  $\mu^+$  is a important consideration in detector design

### Eur. Phys. J. Plus (2021) 136:837



### xunwu.zuo@cern.ch





 $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$  transition



- $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$  is mediated by different diagrams in SM, with their relative importance varying with  $q^2 = M_{II}^2$
- BR highly sensitive to BSM modification (and also have large uncertainties due to non-perturbative QCD)
- Hints of LFUV seen in  $R_K$  and  $R_{K^*}$  between e and  $\mu$
- $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$  not yet established for  $\tau$ 
  - Expected  $BR_{SM} \sim O(10^{-7})$











## $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ transition



- Additional test of LFV in  $b \rightarrow s\tau\tau$
- Background with the same final state can be separated by kinematic properties
  - More ongoing studies on comprehensive backgrounds toward a full analysis
- The goal is to understand the performance/ requirements for vertex detectors (backup for  $\pi^0$  ID)



# $B^+/B^+_{c} \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$

- Not possible to study  $B_c^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$  at LHCb or Belle
- Decays suppressed by CKM
  - Still, millions of signals at FCC-ee
- Highly pure selections can be achieve for both signal modes
  - 2%-3% statistical uncertainties on signal yields



### xunwu.zuo@cern.ch





# Flavor case - $B^+/B_c^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_{\tau}$

Results can be interpreted in two ways

- Measure  $|V_{cb}|$  and  $|V_{ub}|$ 
  - Depends on the theoretical expectation of  $f(B_c^+)$  and  $\mathscr{B}(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})$
- **Constrain BSM models** 
  - Measure ratio relative to a normalization mode  $B_c^+ \rightarrow j/\psi \mu^+ \nu_\mu$  to decoupled from  $|V_{ch}|$  and  $f(B_c^+)$





## **2HDM**

lepto-quark model



## CMK fit

- lattice QCD calculations
- Complementary measurement of  $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$  to be added by Belle and FCC-ee



xunwu.zuo@cern.ch



### Potential status of the unitary triangle in 2030s based on LHCb measurements and improved



### xunwu.zuo@cern.ch

## b physics

- Model-independent search\_for CPV in the  $B^0 - B^0$  and  $B^0_{\rm c} - \overline{B^0_{\rm c}}$ mixings
- $|V_{ch}|$  precision and LQCD mixing parameters are main sources of uncertainty.
  - $|V_{cb}|$  will be greatly improved at FCC-ee with on-sell W decays

~2040







# non-b flavor physics

xunwu.zuo@cern.ch



## charm physics

- FCNC  $c \rightarrow u\nu\nu$  decay
  - $D \to \pi \nu \nu, D_{c} \to K \nu \nu, \Lambda_{c}^{+} \to p \nu \nu$ , etc.
  - Complementary to  $c \rightarrow ull$  channels
  - Clean null tests of SM, no experimental limits at the moment
  - BR can be probed down to  $10^{-6}$  level at FCC-ee
- Pure leptonic  $D^0 \rightarrow l^+ l^-$  decay
  - Very little SM contribution
  - NP that contributes to  $c \to ull$  would also have effect on  $D^0 \to l^+ l^-$
- CP asymmetry in  $D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0$  and  $D^0 \to K^0_c K^0_c$  decays
  - CP violation for  $D^0 \to K^0_s K^0_s$  may be ~1% in SM, could become the first evidence of CP violation in charm sector
  - CP violation for  $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$  is 0 in SM, good null test

### xunwu.zuo@cern.ch



Appealing opportunities. Experimental performance to be studied

### Phys. Rev. D 103, 015033

Belle II physics book

Phys. Rev. D 92, 054036

## tau physics

- High precision on tau properties (complementary to <u>STCF</u>)
  - Lifetime measurement benefits from high boost in  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  decays
  - Systematic uncertainties to be studied and improved
- Probe CLFV  $\tau \rightarrow 3\mu$  decay
  - Higher efficiency than the LHC and Belle
  - Essentially background free

Observable	Measurement	Current precision	FCC-ee stat.	Poss
m <sub>τ</sub> [MeV]	Threshold / inv. mass endpoint	1776.86 <b>± 0.12</b>	0.005	
τ <sub>τ</sub> [fs]	Flight distance	290.3 <b>± 0.5 fs</b>	0.005	<
Β(τ→eνν) [%]	Selection of t <sup>+</sup> t <sup>-</sup> ,	17.82 <b>± 0.05</b>	0.0001	No e possil
Β(τ→μνν) [%]	state	17.39 <b>± 0.05</b>	0.0001	

### Mogens Dam

### xunwu.zuo@cern.ch



FCC CDR



(Estimates made in 2018, no significant change since then)







## Other opportunities

- Test LFV in  $Z \rightarrow \tau \mu$ ,  $Z \rightarrow \tau e$  decays and  $H \rightarrow ll'$  decays
  - Probe BR down to  $10^{-8} 10^{-10}$  (SciPost Phys. Proc. 1, 041 (2019))
- FCNC in top quark decays
  - Advantage in  $t \rightarrow \gamma q$  and  $t \rightarrow Zq$  at FCC-ee
  - distinguish c from u
- Measure CMK elements with on-shell  $W \rightarrow bc, W \rightarrow cs$  decays
  - Expect excellent vertexing and flavor tagging efficiencies
  - Direct (theory-free) determination.
  - (Preliminary) expect  $|V_{cb}|$  precision at 0.4%



FCC CDR







xunwu.zuo@cern.ch



## b physics

 $|V_{ud}| \\ |V_{us}| f_+^{K \to \pi}(0) \\ |V_{cd}| \\ |V_{cs}|$  $\Delta m_d \, [\mathrm{ps}^{-1}]$  $\Delta m_s \ [\mathrm{ps}^{-1}]$  $|V_{cb}|_{\rm SL} \times 10^3$  $\frac{|V_{cb}|_{W \to cb} \times 10}{|V_{ub}|_{SL} \times 10^3}$  $\frac{|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|}{|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|} \text{ (from}$  $\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau \nu) \times 1$  $\mathcal{B}(B \to \mu \nu) \times$  $\sin 2\beta$  $\alpha$ [°] (mod 180°  $\gamma[\circ] \pmod{180^\circ}$  $\beta_s$ [rad]  $A_{\rm SL}^d \times 10^4$  $A_{\rm SL}^{s} \times 10^5$  $\bar{m}_t$  [GeV]  $\alpha_s(m_Z)$  $f_{+}^{K \to \pi}(0)$  $f_{K} [GeV]$  $f_{B_{s}} [GeV]$  $\frac{B_{B_s}}{f_{B_s}/f_{B_d}}$  $\frac{B_{B_s}}{\tilde{B}_{B_s}}/\tilde{B}_{B_d}$  $\tilde{B}_{B_s}$  $\eta_B$ 

### xunwu.zuo@cern.ch



### Phys. Rev. D 102, 056023 (2020)

	Central	Uncertainties				Re
	values	Current [28]	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III	Pha
	0.97437	$\pm 0.00021$	id	id	id	
	0.2177	$\pm 0.0004$	id	id	id	
	0.2248	$\pm 0.0043$	$\pm 0.003$	id	id	[4
	0.9735	$\pm 0.0094$	id	id	id	[28
	0.5065	$\pm 0.0019$	id	id	id	
	17.757	$\pm 0.021$	id	id	id	
2	42.26	$\pm 0.58$	$\pm 0.60$	$\pm 0.44$	id	
$0^{3}$	12.20	•••	•••	•••	$\pm 0.17$	[3
	3.56	$\pm 0.22$	$\pm 0.042$	$\pm 0.032$	id	
$(m \Lambda_b)$	0.0842	$\pm 0.0050$	$\pm 0.0025$	$\pm 0.0008$	id	
104	0.83	$\pm 0.24$	$\pm 0.04$	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.009$	[2
10 <sup>6</sup>	0.37	•••	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 0.02$	id	
	0.680	$\pm 0.017$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.002$	$\pm 0.0008$	[29
°)	91.9	$\pm 4.4$	$\pm 0.6$	id	id	
°)	66.7	$\pm 5.6$	$\pm 1$	$\pm 0.25$	$\pm 0.20$	[29
	-0.035	$\pm 0.021$	$\pm 0.014$	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.002$	[3
	-6	$\pm 19$	$\pm 5$	$\pm 2$	$\pm 0.25$	[14,1
	3	$\pm 300$	$\pm 70$	$\pm 30$	$\pm 2.5$	[14,1
	165.30	$\pm 0.32$	id	id	$\pm 0.020$	[2
	0.1185	$\pm 0.0011$	id	id	$\pm 0.00003$	[2
	0.9681	$\pm 0.0026$	$\pm 0.0012$	id	id	
	0.1552	$\pm 0.0006$	$\pm 0.0005$	id	id	
	0.2315	$\pm 0.0020$	$\pm 0.0011$	id	id	
	1.219	$\pm 0.034$	$\pm 0.010$	$\pm 0.007$	id	
	1.204	$\pm 0.007$	$\pm 0.005$	id	id	
	1.054	$\pm 0.019$	$\pm 0.005$	$\pm 0.003$	id	
	1.02	$\pm 0.05$	$\pm 0.013$	id	id	[30
	0.98	$\pm 0.12$	$\pm 0.035$	id	id	[30
	0.5522	$\pm 0.0022$	id	id	id	



# $\pi^0$ identification

- Need exquisite EM calorimetry for pi0 identification
  - $3\%/\sqrt{E}$  expected





### JINST 15 P11005 Fraction of photons Correct pairing Wrong pairing 1.2 Total paired y Expected $\gamma$ (from real $\pi^0$ 's) 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0 0.95 0.15 0.05 0.2 0.25 0.1 EM resolution



