Jet energy scale and resolution measurements in CMS

Garvita Agarwal (University at Buffalo) on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

July 8th, 2022

ICHEP 2022 Bologna







Motivation



- Jets are the experimental signatures of quarks and gluons produced in pp collisions
- Jet production cross-section is several orders of magnitude higher than that of other processes
- Proper jet calibration is crucial for the majority of SM and BSM analyses and their associated systematic uncertainties e.g. top-mass measurements
- High event pileup (PU) presents a challenge for jet calibration and measurement.
 PU: Multiple pp collisions in the same (IT-PU) and neighbouring (OOT-PU) bunch crossing. Average of 30 pp interactions per bunch crossing in Run2







Particle Flow (PF) algorithm provides a global event description by combining information from various sub-detectors.

Identify stable final state particles as: **photons**, **electrons**, **neutral hadrons**, **charged hadrons**, **muons**. [arXiv:1706.04965v2]



Garvita Agarwal

lalala

5





Jet calibration at CMS



- CMS follows a factorised approach to correct the energy of reconstructed jets to match that of the particle-level jet
- Pileup correction in order to account for the energy coming from PU (offset energy)
- MC-truth-based correction to address non-uniformity of detector response in p_T and η
- Small residual corrections to data for pileup, relative (vs. η) and absolute (vs. p_T) scale
- Jet Energy Resolution (JER) measured in MC events vs. jet p_T, jet η and pileup. Data-to-MC scale factors are derived from dijet events

Pileup offset correction

- Pileup offset in simulation calculated by taking the average difference in p_T between matched jets in simulated samples with and without pileup overlay
- Residual offset correction using data are derived using Random Cone (RC) method
- The data-to-simulation scale factor is estimated from random-triggered events and simulation samples



Simulated response correction

• Derived from jets that are already corrected for pileup offset, to address the non-uniformity of the detector response as a function of p_T and η of the jet.



Simulated jet response vs. reconstructed jet |η| (left) and corrected simulated jet response vs. p_{T, ptcl} (right), both for PF+CHS jets.

Residual corrections for data

Relative **η**-dependent corrections

 Residual corrections for data as a function of jet η are determined with dijet events, where the response of jets of any η for a wide range of p_T is corrected relative to one of the jets in the barrel region. The response is derived using the Missing transverse momentum Projection Fraction (MPF) method.



Schematic for p_T balance method (left), k_{FSR} factor as a function of $|\eta|$ (right)

Residual corrections for data

Absolute **p**_T-dependent corrections

- Residual corrections for data as a function of jet p_T are determined with Z+jet, γ+jet, W(qq) +jet and multijet events by exploiting the transverse momentum balance between the jet to be calibrated and a reference object that is calibrated precisely.
- The absolute p_T scale is then fitted simultaneously to Z+jet, γ +jet and multijet channels and combining the results from p_T balance and MPF methods.



Jet momentum resolution

- Particle level momentum resolution is determined using simulation and data-tosimulation scale factors are extracted using data-based methods.
- Jet energy resolution (JER) in simulation is the width of the gaussian fit to the particle-level response (p_T/p_{T,ptcl}).



Outlook

- Using PUPPI as the default PU removal algorithm for Run3 [PUPPI DP Note]
- Higher JES precision by employing new techniques
- Automation of JEC sequence for faster derivation (using columnar analysis tools such as COFFEA)
- PUPPI the only option for Phase2 with PU~200 interactions





Exiting times ahead!

BACKUP

Jet and MET reconstruction



PUPPI

- Uses the information of vertices reconstructed from charged-particle tracks
- For charged particles, the PUPPI weight is assigned based on tracking information
 - Weight for Charged particles associated to LV = 1
 - Weight for Charged particles associated to PU vertex = 0
- Neutral particles assigned weights based on α

$$\alpha_i = \log \sum_{j \neq i, \Delta R_{ij} < R_0} \left(\frac{p_{T,j}}{\Delta R_{ij}} \right)^2$$

In $|\eta| < 2.5$, where tracking information is available, only charged particles associated with the LV are included as particle j, whereas all particles with $|\eta| >$ 2.5 are included.



CHS vs PUPPI



- PUPPI has a good performance in both efficiency and purity
- CHS efficiency is nearly close to 100% but purity impacted at high PU scenarios
- $\bullet\,$ PUPPI performs better than CHS at high η

Jet PF composition



EOY plots - will be updated for Legacy Run2 in the upcoming JERC paper

JES Uncertainties



• JES uncertainty sources and total uncertainty (quadratic sum of individual uncertainties)