High-precision QCD physics at FCC-ee

Francesco Giuli (on behalf of the FCC Collaboration)

XLI International Conference of High Energy Physics Bologna, Italy 07/07/2022





QCD, a key ingredient at future colliders

- \succ QCD is crucial for many *ee*, *pp* measurements:
- > High-precision α_s : affects all x-sections & decays (Higgs, top, etc.)
- > NⁿLO corrections, NⁿLL resummations: affects all pQCD x-sections & decays
- High-precision PDFs: affects all precision W,Z,H measurements & all searches in pp collisions
- Heavy-Quark/Light-Quark/Gluon separation (jet substructure, boosted topologies, etc.): needed for all precision SM measurement &BSM searches with jets in the final jets
- Semihard QCD (low-x saturation, multiple parton interactions, etc.): significant pQCD x-sections at FCC-hh
- Non-perturbative QCD: affects final states with jets -> colour reconnection, parton hadronization, etc.

Precision QCD in e^+e^- collisions

 $\geq e^+e^-$ collisions provide an extremely clean environment with fully-controlled initial state to probe quark and gluons dynamics very precisely



Advantages compared to *pp* collisions:

- QED initial state with known kinematics
- Controlled QCD radiation (final state)
- Well-defined quarks and gluon jets
- Smaller non-pQCD uncertainties (no PDFs, no QCD underlying events, etc.)
- Direct clean parton fragmentation and hadronization
- > QCD physics in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions



QCD coupling α_S

Currently determined by comparing 7 experimental observables to pQCD NNLO or N³LO predictions, plus global average at the Z pole scale



α_S from hadronic τ -lepton decays

 $\succ \text{ Computed at N}^{3}\text{LO: } R_{\tau} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\tau^{-} \to \nu_{\tau} + \text{hadrons})}{\Gamma(\tau^{-} \to \nu_{\tau} e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e})} = S_{\text{EW}} N_{C} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{4} c_{n} \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{n} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{5}) + \delta_{\text{np}}\right)$

> Experimentally we have $R_{\tau,exp} = 3.4697 \pm 0.0080 \ (\pm 0.23\%)$



DIS202

- Theory: better understanding of FOPT vs CIPT differences & need of N⁴LO
- Better spectral functions needed (better precision)
- > Higher statistics: $\mathcal{O}(10^{11})$ from $Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ at FCC-ee(90)
- Extract the τ width from the ultraprecise measurement of its lifetime

07/07/22

α_s from e^+e^- event shapes and jet rates

- Computed at N^{2,3}LO+N(N)LL accuracy
- Experimental observables: Thrust, jet shapes, C-parameter, n-jet cross sections
- Results sensitive to non-pQCD e.g. hadronization accounted for via MCs or analytically

```
\alpha_{s}(m_{z}) = 0.1171 \pm 0.027 (\pm 2.6\%)
```

 $\delta \alpha_{s} | \alpha_{s} < 1\%$

DIS2022

> What next?

- > FCC- e^+e^- : Lower \sqrt{s} (ISR) for shapes, higher \sqrt{s} for jet rates
- Theory: Improved NN(N) # resummed calculations for rates, hadronization for shapes



α_s from hadronic Z decays (FCC-ee)

- > α_s extracted at N³LO from:
 - Combined fit of 3 Z pseudo observables
 - > Full SM fit (with α_s free parameter)

> At FCC-ee:

- Huge Z pole statistics (x10⁵ LEP)
- Exquisite systematic precision (stat. uncertainties much smaller)

- > Theory uncertainties reduced by a factor of 4 computing missing $\alpha_S^5, \alpha^3, \alpha \alpha_S^2$ and $\alpha^2 \alpha_s$ terms
- > 20 times times better precision than today: $\frac{\delta \alpha_S}{\alpha_S} \sim \pm 0.2\%$ (tot), $\pm 0.1\%$ (exp)

• The W and Z hadronic widths :

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{W,Z}}^{\mathrm{had}}(Q) = \Gamma_{\mathrm{W,Z}}^{\mathrm{Born}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i(Q) \left(\frac{\alpha_S(Q)}{\pi} \right)^i + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^5) + \delta_{\mathrm{EW}} + \delta_{\mathrm{mix}} + \delta_{\mathrm{np}} \right)$$

• The ratio of W, Z hadronic-to-leptonic widths :

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{Z}}(Q) = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{had}}(Q)}{\Gamma_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{lep}}(Q)} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{EW}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i(Q) \left(\frac{\alpha_S(Q)}{\pi}\right)^i + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^5) + \delta_{\mathrm{mix}} + \delta_{\mathrm{np}}\right)$$

 \bullet In the Z boson case, the hadronic cross section at the resonance peak in e^+e^- :



α_s from hadronic W decays (FCC-ee)

- $\succ \alpha_{\rm S}$ extracted from N³LO fit of combined Γ_W, R_W W pseudo observables:
- The W and Z hadronic widths :

$$\Gamma^{
m had}_{
m W,Z}(Q) = \Gamma^{
m Born}_{
m W,Z} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i(Q) \left(rac{lpha_S(Q)}{\pi}
ight)^i + \mathcal{O}(lpha_S^5) + \delta_{
m EW} + \delta_{
m mix} + \delta_{
m np}
ight)$$

• The ratio of W, Z hadronic-to-leptonic widths :

$$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W},\mathrm{Z}}(Q) = \frac{\Gamma^{\mathrm{had}}_{\mathrm{W},\mathrm{Z}}(Q)}{\Gamma^{\mathrm{lep}}_{\mathrm{W},\mathrm{Z}}(Q)} = \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{EW}}_{\mathrm{W},\mathrm{Z}}\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i(Q) \left(\frac{\alpha_S(Q)}{\pi}\right)^i + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^5) + \delta_{\mathrm{mix}} + \delta_{\mathrm{np}}\right)$$

At FCC-ee:

- Huge W pole statistics (x10⁴ LEP-2)
- Exquisite systematic precision (stat. uncertainties much smaller)

 $\Gamma_{\rm W}^{\rm tot} = 2088.0 \pm 1.2 \,\,{\rm MeV}$

 $R_W = 2.08000 \pm 0.00008$

 $m_{\rm W} = 80.3800 \pm 0.0005 \, {\rm GeV}$

 $|V_{cs}| = 0.97359 \pm 0.00010 \leftarrow O(10^{12}) D$ mesons

- Theory uncertainties reduced by a factor of 10 computing missing α_s^5 , α^2 , α^3 , $\alpha \alpha_s^2$ and $\alpha^2 \alpha_s$ terms
- 150 times times better precision than today!



8

1409.3072

|η| < 2

0.8

Quark-gluon discrimination



High-precision gluon and quark jet studies

- Exploit FCC-*ee* H(gg) as a pure gluon factory: $H \rightarrow gg$ (BR ~ 8% accurately known) provides 120000 extra clean digluon events
- Multiple handles to study gluon radiation and gluon-jet properties:
 - ▶ Gluon vs. quark via $H \rightarrow gg$ vs $Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}$
 - ▶ Gluon vs. quark via $Z \to b\bar{b}g$ vs $Z \to q\bar{q}$
- > Multiple high-precision analyses possible:
 - Access to light-quark Higgs Yukawa couplings
 - BSM: Improve q/g/Q discrimination tools
 - pQCD: High-precision QCD coupling
 - non-pQCD: Gluon fragmentation, colour reconnection







Colour reconnection

- Colour Reconnection (CR) of partons impacts final state kinematics e.g. shifted angular correlations, invariant mass shifts, etc.
- Exact dynamic poorly understood
- Source of uncertainty in m_w, m_{top}, anomalous Gauge Couplings extractions in multijet final-states
- ➤ CR impacts all FCC-*ee* multi-jet final states: $e^+e^- \rightarrow WW(4j), H(2j, 4j), t\bar{t}, ...$
- Combined LEP e⁺e⁻ → WW(4j) data best described with 49% CR, 2.2σ away from no-CR
- String-drag effect on W mass (hinted at LEP)
- Exploit huge W stats (x10⁴ LEP) to measure m_w leptonically & hadronically and constrain CR



High-precision parton FFs

Parton-to-hadron fragmentation functions evolution known known at NNLO at high-z and at NNLO*+NNLL at low-z 1702.01329



FCC-*ee* (much broader z range) provides additional QCD coupling extractions, allowing for $\delta \alpha_s < 1\%$

Mathod	Current $\delta \alpha_{\rm s}({\rm m_z^2})/\alpha_{\rm s}({\rm m_z^2})$ uncertainty	Future $\delta \alpha_{\rm s}({ m m}_{ m z}^2)/lpha_{ m s}({ m m}_{ m z}^2)$ uncertainty			
method	(theory & experiment state-of-the-art)	(theory & experiment progress)			
soft FFs	$1.8\%_{ ext{th}} \oplus 0.7\%_{ ext{exp}} pprox 2\%$	$0.7\%_{\rm th} \oplus 0.7\%_{\rm exp} \approx 1\% \; (\sim 2 \; {\rm yrs}), <\!1\% \; ({ m FCC-ee})$			
	(NNLO * only (+NNLL), npQCD small)	(NNLO+NNLL. More precise e^+e^- data: 90–350 GeV)			
hard FFs	$1\%_{ m th} \oplus 5\%_{ m exp} pprox 5\%$	$0.7\%_{\rm th} \oplus 2\%_{\rm exp} \approx 2\%$ (+B-factories), <1% (FCC-ee)			
	(NLO only. LEP data only)	(NNLO. More precise e^+e^- data)			

07/07/22

13

QCD uncertainties on EWK observables

- With x10⁵ more Z's than LEP, EWK observables at FCC-ee will be dominated by systematics (QCD)
- $\succ e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ forward-backward asymmetry at LEP
- > Experimental EWPOs with the largest discrepancy wrt the SM: 2.8σ
- ➤ Total uncertainty: ~1.6%
 - Statistical: 1.5% (~0.05% at FCC-ee)
 - Systematics: 0.6% (QCD: 0.4% at FCC-ee)
- > QCD effects on $A_{FB}^{0,b}$:
 - Gluon splitting
 - Smearing of b-jet/thrust axis
 - b- and c-quark radiation and fragmentation (B/D hadron decay models)







14

Summary & outlook

The precision needed to fully exploit all future ee, pp, ep, eA, AA SM and BSM programs requires precise control of pQCD and non-pQCD physics



Backup Slides



CERN FCC-ee project

$\geq e^+e^-$ operation before pp at \sqrt{s} = 90, (125), 160, 240 and 350 GeV



Working point	Z, years 1-2 Z, later		WW	HZ	tt		(s-channel H)
$\sqrt{s} \; (\text{GeV})$	88, 91, 94		157, 163	240	340-350	365	$m_{ m H}$
Lumi/IP $(10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	115	230	28	8.5	0.95	1.55	(30)
Lumi/year $(ab^{-1}, 2 \text{ IP})$	24	48	6	1.7	0.2	0.34	(7)
Physics Goal (ab^{-1})	150		10	5	0.2	1.5	(20)
Run time (year)	2	2	2	3	1	4	(3)
	$5 \times 10^{12} { m Z}$		10^8 WW	10^6 HZ	$\begin{array}{c} 10^{6} t \bar{t} \\ +200 k \text{ HZ} \\ +50 k \text{ WW} \rightarrow \text{H} \end{array}$		
Number of events				+			(6000)
				$25k WW \rightarrow H$			
<i>#</i> of light-q jets/year:	O(10 ¹²)		$O(10^{7})$	O(10 ⁵)	—		$O(10^8)$
# of gluon-jets/year:	O(10 ¹¹)		$O(10^{6})$	O(10 ⁴)	_		O(10 ⁶)
# of heavy-O jets/vr	$O(10^{12})$		$O(10^7)$	O(10⁵)	O (10 ⁶)		$O(10^8)$

Future e^+e^- colliders under discussion



FCC-ee features luminosities a few time larger than other machines over 90 -300 GeV

> Negligible statistical uncertainty for Z, W, jets, ..., τ data sets

17

QCD coupling α_S

- Determines strength of the strong interaction between quarks and gluons
- > Determined at $Q = m_Z$, decreases as $\alpha_S \sim \ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2)$ with $\Lambda \sim 0.2$ GeV



Least precisely known of all interaction couplings!

$$\delta \alpha \sim 10^{-10} \ll \delta G_{\rm F} \ll 10^{-7} \ll \delta G \sim 10^{-5} \ll \delta \alpha_{\rm S} \sim 10^{-3}$$

Impacts all QCD cross sections and decays!

					Msbar mass erro	r budget (from thr	eshold scan)	
Process	σ (pb)	$\delta \alpha_s(\%)$	PDF + $\alpha_s(\%)$	Scale(%)	$(\delta M_t^{ m SD-low})^{ m exp}$	$(\delta M_t^{ m SD-low})$) ^{theo} $(\delta \overline{m}_t(\overline{m}_t))^{\text{conversion}}$	$\left(\left(\delta \overline{m}_t(\overline{m}_t) \right)^{\alpha_s} \right)$
ggH	49.87	± 3.7	-6.2 +7.4	-2.61 + 0.32	40 MeV	50 MeV	7 – 23 MeV	70 MeV
ttH	0.611	± 3.0	\pm 8.9	-9.3 + 5.9	\Rightarrow improvement	It in α_s crucial		$\delta\alpha_s(M_z) = 0.001$
Channel	$M_{ m H}[{ m GeV}]$	$\delta \alpha_s(\%)$	Δm_b Δ	Δm_c	Quantity	FCC-ee f	uture param.unc	. Main source
$H \rightarrow c\bar{c}$	126	± 7.1	$\pm 0.1\%$ \pm	2.3 %	Γ_Z [MeV]	0.1	0.1	$\delta lpha_s$
$H \rightarrow gg$	126	± 4.1	$\pm 0.1\%$ \pm	0 %	$R_b \ [10^{-5}]$	6	< 1	$\delta lpha_s$
00					R_{ℓ} [10 ⁻³]	1	1.3	$\delta \alpha_s$

Sven Heinemeyer – 1st FCC physics workshop, CERN, 17.01.2017

19

Impacts physics approaching Plank scale: EW vacuum stability, GUT, etc.



'probe photon'

хP

'target photon'

 $\succ \text{ Computed at NNLO: } \int_0^1 dx F_2^{\gamma}(x, Q^2, P^2) = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \frac{1}{2\beta_0} \Big\{ \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(Q^2)} c_{LO} + c_{NLO} + \frac{\frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{4\pi} c_{NNLO}}{4\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \Big\}$

 $q^2 = -Q^2$

 $p^2 = -P^2 \subset$

- > Poor $F_{\gamma}^2(x, Q^2)$ experimental measurements
- NLO extraction with large experimental uncertainties

 $\alpha_{s} (m_{z}) = 0.1198 \pm 0.0054$ (±4.5%) <u>hep-ph/02</u>05069

- > Future prospects:
 - > Fit with NNLO F_{γ}^2 evolution
 - Better data
 - Dedicated simulation studies (already exist at ILC)
 - > Huge $\gamma\gamma$ statistics at FCC-*ee* will lead to $\delta\alpha_S/\alpha_S < 1\%$



20

α_S from jet fragmentation

Soft parton-to-hadron FFS:

<u>1505.02624</u> – NNLO*+NNLL



Combined fit of the jet-energy evolution of the FF moments (peak, width, multiplicity, etc.) with α_s as single free parameters

 $\alpha_{s}(m_{z}) = 0.1205 \pm 0.0022 (\pm 2\%)$

(full NNLO corrections missing)

Hard parton-to-hadron FFS (NLO):

$\alpha_{s}(m_{z}) = 0.1176 \pm 0.0055 (\pm 4.7\%)$



Figure 3: Energy evolution of the charged-hadron multiplicity (left) and of the FF peak position (right) measured in e^+e^- and DIS data fitted to the NNLO^{*}+NNLL predictions. The obtained \mathscr{K}_{ch} normalization constant, individual NNLO^{*} $\alpha_s(m_z)$ values, and the goodness-of-fit per degree-of-freedom χ^2/ndf .

Eduardo Plooror (V/LIP)

Jet substructure

Need for state-of art jet substructure studies based on angularities

Variables of jet constituents: multiplicity, LHA, width/broadening, mass/thrust, C-parameter, ...

k=1: IRC-safe computable (NⁿLO + NⁿLL) via SCET (but uncertainties from non-pQCD effects)

 $\lambda_{\beta}^{\kappa} = \sum z_i^{\kappa} \theta_i^{\beta},$ i∈jet

(normalized $E^n \times \theta^n$ products)

22



23

Showering differences in MC generators

- > Les Houches Angularity (LHA) is angularity with k = 1 and β = 0.5
- Not directly measured at LEP
- MC parton showers differ on gluon (less on quark) radiation patterns



Ultra-precise W,Z and top physics at FCC-ee



> Mostly thanks to the incredibly huge statistics available!

Reduced QCD uncertainties on A_{FB}

QCD uncertainties recomputed from Pythia8.226 and VINCIA2.2



 $\triangleright e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ A_{FB} asymmetry for jet-charged-based analyses:



Much smaller uncertainties exhibited by jet-charged-based analyses

> Improved PS & non-pQCD tunes w. e^+e^- data needed to reduce syst. uncert.