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## strong coupling from tau decays



## Lower energies

## Higher energies

Larger coupling, more sensibivily to QCD corrections.
Larger non-perkurbakive physics (OPE, DVS),
Problems with pe. Eheory (renormalons,...).

Smaller coupling, less sensitive to QCD corrections, more precision required from exp. small contamination from nonperturbative physics, pe. series is "almost" convergent
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## Lower energies

Larger coupling, more sensilivily to QCD corrections.
Larger non-perturbative physics (OPE, DVS),
Problems with pl. Eheory (renormalons,...).
adapted from PDG '19


Higher energies
Smaller coupling, less sensitive to QCD corrections, more precision required from exp. small contamination from nonperturbative physics, pe. series is "almost" convergent

## strong coupling from tau decays



Massless (V\&A) correlators

## Braaten, Narison, and Pish '92

## Sum rules (using Cauchy's theorem)



$$
\frac{1}{s_{0}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} d s w(s) \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \Pi(s)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i s_{0}} \oint_{\substack{\text { spectral function } \\ \rho(s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \Pi(s)}} d z w(z) \Pi(z)
$$

strong coupling from tau decays


Massless (V\&A) correlators

## Braaten, Narison, and Pich '92

Sum rules (using Cauchy's theorem)

$$
\frac{1}{s_{0}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} d s w(s) \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \Pi(s)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i s_{0}} \oint_{\substack{\text { experiment } \\ \text { spectral function } \\ \rho(s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \Pi(s)}} d z w(z) \Pi(z)
$$



## theory overview

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=s_{0}} d z w(z) \Pi(z) \approx S_{\mathrm{EW}} N_{c}\left(1+\delta^{(0)}+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}+\delta_{\mathrm{OPE}}+\delta_{\mathrm{DVs}}\right) \\
& \text { Perturbation theory (OPE) } \quad \sum_{n=0}^{4}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} c_{n, k} \log ^{k}\left(\frac{-s}{\mu^{2}}\right)+\frac{C_{4}}{Q^{4}}+\frac{C_{6}}{Q^{6}}+\frac{C_{8}}{Q^{8}}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | Gorishnii, Kataev, Larin '9l <br> Surguladze\&Samuel '9। | Baikov, Chetyrkin, Kühn ‘08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{s}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{s}^{3}$ |

$$
\delta_{\mathrm{FO}}^{(0)}=0.1012+0.0533+0.0273+0.0133=0.1952
$$

(fixed order perturbation theory)

## theory overview
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Perturbation theory (OPE)

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{4}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} c_{n, k} \log ^{k}\left(\frac{-s}{\mu^{2}}\right)+\frac{C_{4}}{Q^{4}}+\frac{C_{6}}{Q^{6}}+\frac{C_{8}}{Q^{8}}+\cdots
$$

|  | Gorishnii, Kataev, Latin 'gl <br> Surguladze\&Samuel 'gl | Baikov, Chetyrkin, Kühn ‘08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{s}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{s}^{3}$ |

pt. correction is $\mathbf{\sim 2 0 \%}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{FO}}^{(0)}=0.1012+0.0533+0.0273+0.0133=0.1952$
(fixed order perturbation theory)

Duality Violations

$$
\rightarrow \rho_{\mathrm{DV}}(s)=e^{-\delta-\gamma s} \sin (\alpha+\beta s)
$$

Ansatz based on widely accepted assumptions about QCD: Regge behaviour and large- $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$. Main expected corrections: logarithmic and powers of $1 / \mathrm{s}$.

## theory: FOPT vs CIPT

Fixed Order (FO) or Contour Improved (CI) lead to different $\alpha_{s}$ values theoretical uncertainty?


Discrepancy between FOPT and CIPT (asymptotic separation): linked to an incompatibility of CIPT with the standard form of the OPE.

## theory: FOPT vs CIPT

Fixed Order (FO) or Contour Improved (CI) lead to different $\alpha_{s}$ values theoretical uncertainty?

$\delta_{\mathrm{FO}}^{(0)}=0.1012+0.0533+0.0273+0.0133=0.1952$
$\delta_{\mathrm{CI}}^{(0)}=0.1375+0.0262+0.0104+0.0072=0.1814$

Discrepancy between FOPT and CIPT (asymptotic separation): linked to an incompatibility of CIPT with the standard form of the OPE.

Resolution to this problem: subtraction of the leading IR renormalon (Gluon condensate) which gives leading contribution to asymptotic separation

## Benitez-Rathgeb, DB, A. Hoang, M. Jamin, JHEP (2022), 2202. I 0957

Renormalon-free gluon-condensate scheme (RF GC Scheme) results:
Benitez-Rathgeb, DB, A. Hoang, M. Jamin, 2207.0 I I I 6

## analysis strategy

$$
\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=s_{0}} d z \underset{\text { Eheory }}{w(z) \Pi(z) \approx S_{\mathrm{EW}} N_{c}\left(1+\delta^{(0)}+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}+\delta_{\mathrm{OPE}}+\delta_{\mathrm{DV}}\right)}
$$

Desired properties from the choice of weights

1. Good perturbative behaviour.
2. Small condensate contributions.
3. Suppression of DVs.
analysis strategy

$$
\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=s_{0}} d z w(z) \Pi(z) \approx S_{\mathrm{EW}} N_{c}\left(1+\delta^{(0)}+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}+\delta_{\mathrm{OPE}}+\delta_{\mathrm{DV}}\right)
$$

Desired properties from the choice of weights

1. Good perturbative behaviour.
2. Small condensate contributions.
3. Suppression of CVs.

Choice of weights
$w_{0}(y)=1$
$w_{2}(y)=1-y^{2}$
$w_{3}(y)=(1-y)^{2}(1+2 y)$
$w_{4}(y)=\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}$

Tiny condensate contributions, sensitive to CVs
Only D=6
Only $\mathrm{D}=6$ and 8 Tau kinematical Moment $\left(R_{\tau}\right)$
Only $D=6$ and 10

Suppression of DVs comes with the price of additional (unknown) higher dim. contributions from the OPE.

## DV strategy

DB, M. Golterman, K. Maltman, S. Peris, M. V. Rodrigues and W. Schaaf, 2012.10440

- Accepl some DVs, serongly suppress contamination on the OPE side.


## Truncated OPE strategy

(1 A Pich, A. Rodriguez-Sanchez 1605.06830
Davier, Höcker, Malaescu, Yuan, Zhang 1312.1501

- Suppress DVs but need to ignore the higher order contributions on the OPE side (koo many parameters).
(Serious issues with the truncation of the OPE) DB, M. Golterman, K. Maltman, S. Peris '16 '19


## Data

## anatomy of the ALEPH and OPAL data sets

- V channel dominated by $\tau \rightarrow 2 \pi+\nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau \rightarrow 4 \pi+\nu_{\tau}$
- "Residual" channels subdominant (but important for $\alpha_{s}$ !)
- Monte Carlo (MC) inputs for several channels


Recently measured channels in $e^{+} e^{-}$can be used to improve the vector channel

## anatomy of the ALEPH and OPAL data sets

- V channel dominated by $\tau \rightarrow 2 \pi+\nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau \rightarrow 4 \pi+\nu_{\tau}$
- "Residual" channels subdominant (but important for $\alpha_{s}$ !)
- Monte Carlo (MC) inputs for several channels


Recently measured channels in $e^{+} e^{-}$can be used to improve the vector channel

- Combined data for $2 \pi$ and $4 \pi$ channels from ALEPH \& OPAL Data combination: same algorithm used in R-daka combination for muon 9-2.

```
Keshavarzi, Nomura,Teubner '18
```

- Exp. data only: 7 residual channels from $e^{+} e^{-}$using CVC (conserved vector current) and BaBar data for $\tau \rightarrow K K_{S} \nu_{\tau}$

Data sets from: BABAR, SND and CMD-3 (last $\sim 5$ yrs)
No Monke Carlo inputs; IB corrections to CVC negligible

- Results updated for recent branching ratio measurements


## improved vector isovector spectral function

Combination of $2 \pi+4 \pi$ channels
Good $\chi^{2}$ both locally and globally, no $\chi^{2}$ inflation needed


## No Monte Carlo input

Original data sets from: BABAR, SND and CMD-3

new vector-isovector spectral function


- Total
- $2 \pi+4 \pi$
$\triangle$ Residual


## Results

## strong coupling from the new spectral function

## Several fits, single moments or in combination

Many fit windows: $\left[s_{\min }, m_{\tau}^{2}\right]$
Consistency between different fits ( $\alpha_{s}$, condensates, DV params.)
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## strong coupling from the new spectral function

Consistency between different fits

| mom. | $\alpha_{s}$ | $c_{6}\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{6}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $w_{0}$ | $0.3077(65)$ | -- |
| $w_{0} \& w_{2}$ | $0.3091(69)$ | $-0.0059(13)$ |
| $w_{0} \& w_{3}$ | $0.3080(70)$ | $-0.0070(12)$ |
| $w_{0} \& w_{4}$ | $0.3079(70)$ | $-0.0068(12)$ |

$$
w_{0}(y)=1
$$

$$
w_{2}(y)=1-y^{2}
$$

$$
w_{3}(y)=(1-y)^{2}(1+2 y)
$$

$$
w_{4}(y)=\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

## strong coupling from the new spectral function

$$
w_{0}(y)=1
$$

Consistency between different fits

| mom. | $\alpha_{s}$ | $c_{6}\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{6}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $w_{0}$ | $0.3077(65)$ | -- |
| $w_{0} \& w_{2}$ | $0.3091(69)$ | $-0.0059(13)$ |
| $w_{0} \& w_{3}$ | $0.3080(70)$ | $-0.0070(12)$ |
| $w_{0} \& w_{4}$ | $0.3079(70)$ | $-0.0068(12)$ |

$$
w_{2}(y)=1-y^{2}
$$

$$
w_{3}(y)=(1-y)^{2}(1+2 y)
$$

$$
w_{4}(y)=\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Final value
pt. series truncation, scale variation
$\frac{1}{8}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{s}\left(m_{\tau}\right) & =0.3077 \pm 0.0065_{\text {stat }} \pm 0.0038_{\text {pert }} \\
& =0.3077 \pm 0.0075 \quad\left(n_{f}=3, \text { FOPT }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## stability of the DV ansatz

leading corrections to DV ansatz

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{DV}}(s)=\left(1+\frac{c}{s}+\cdots\right) e^{-\delta-\gamma s} \sin (\alpha+\beta s)
$$

Fits including the leading DV correction (scan of fits with fixed value of $c$ )
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Fits including the leading DV correction (scan of fits with fixed value of $c$ )



Leading corrections to DV ansatz

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{DV}}(s)=\left(1+\frac{c}{s}+\cdots\right) e^{-\delta-\gamma s} \sin (\alpha+\beta s)
$$

Fits including the leading DV correction (scan of fits with fixed value of $c$ )


Results are very stable against this modification of the Ansatz

## Results at $m_{\tau}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{s}\left(m_{\tau}\right) & =0.3077 \pm 0.0065_{\text {stat }} \pm 0.0038_{\text {pert }} \\
& =0.3077 \pm 0.0075 \quad\left(n_{f}=3, \text { FOPT }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Results evolved to $m_{Z}$

$$
\alpha_{s}\left(m_{Z}\right)=0.1171 \pm 0.0010
$$

$$
\left(\overline{\mathrm{MS}}, N_{f}=5\right)
$$



- Vector channel is special: CVC allows improvement near tau kin. end point.
- New vector isovector spectral function purely based on data, no MC input.
- Analysis can be improved with new data for the $2 \pi+4 \pi$ channels only!

- Improvements of this type not possible for the axial channel (no axial photon).
- Final result from the new vector spectral function is competitive.

$$
\alpha_{s}\left(m_{Z}\right)=0.1171 \pm 0.0010
$$



## Extra

## reconciling FOPT and CIPT: renormalon free (RF) scheme for the Gluon cond.

 General structure of the gluon condensate (GC) pole is known in QCD at NLO$$
\bar{a}_{Q} \equiv \frac{\beta_{1}}{2 \pi} \alpha_{s}(Q)
$$

normalizakion not determined
by theory (app. known)

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
B_{4,0}(u)= & {\left[1+\bar{c}_{4,0}^{(1)} \bar{a}_{Q}\right] \frac{N_{4,0}}{(2-u)^{1+4 \hat{b}_{1}}} \quad} & N_{4,0}\left(1+\bar{c}_{4,0}^{(1)} \bar{a}_{Q}\right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} r_{\ell}^{(4,0)} \bar{a}_{Q}^{\ell} \quad
\end{array} \quad r_{\ell}^{(4,0)}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell+4 \hat{b}_{1}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\ell+4 \hat{b}_{1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+4 \hat{b}_{1}\right)}
$$

Infrared-subtracted scheme for the GC condensate ("short distance scheme") Benitez-Rathgeb, DB, A. Hoang, M. Jamin, 2202. 10957

$$
\left\langle\bar{G}^{2}\right\rangle^{(n)} \equiv\left\langle G^{2}\right\rangle\left(R^{2}\right)-R^{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} N_{g} r_{\ell}^{(4,0)} \bar{a}_{R}^{\ell}
$$

reconciling FOPT and CIPT: renormalon free (RF) scheme for the Gluon cond. General structure of the gluon condensate (GC) pole is known in QCD at NLO

$$
\bar{a}_{Q} \equiv \frac{\beta_{1}}{2 \pi} \alpha_{s}(Q)
$$

normalizakion not determined
by Cheory (app. known)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{4,0}(u)= {\left[1+\bar{c}_{4,0}^{(1)} \bar{a}_{Q}\right] \frac{N_{4,0}}{(2-u)^{1+4 \hat{b}_{1}}} \quad N_{4,0}\left(1+\bar{c}_{4,0}^{(1)} \bar{a}_{Q}\right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} r_{\ell}^{(4,0)} \bar{a}_{Q}^{\ell} } \\
& \text { determined on general } \\
& \text { grounds from QCD } \text { contribution of the } G C \\
& \text { singulariky bo the } \\
& \text { perturbakive series }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
r_{\ell}^{(4,0)}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell+4 \hat{b}_{1}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\ell+4 \hat{b}_{1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+4 \hat{b}_{1}\right)}
$$

coefficients that diverge faclorially are known

Infrared-subtracted scheme for the GC condensate ("short distance scheme") Benitez-Rathgeb, DB, A. Hoang, M. Jamin, 2202. 10957
to be expanded (coherently)
IR scale
$\left\langle\bar{G}^{2}\right\rangle^{(n)} \equiv\left\langle G^{2}\right\rangle\left(R^{2}\right)-R^{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} N_{g} r_{\ell}^{(4,0)} \bar{a}_{R}^{\ell}$

## reconciling FOPT and CIPT: renormalon free (RF) scheme for the Gluon cond.

 General structure of the gluon condensate (GC) pole is known in QCD at NLO$$
\bar{a}_{Q} \equiv \frac{\beta_{1}}{2 \pi} \alpha_{s}(Q)
$$

normalization not determined
by theory (app. known)

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{4,0}(u)= & {\left[1+\bar{c}_{4,0}^{(1)} \bar{a}_{Q}\right] \frac{N_{4,0}}{(2-u)^{1+4 \hat{b}_{1}}} \rightarrow }
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{cc}
N_{4,0}\left(1+\bar{c}_{4,0}^{(1)} \bar{a}_{Q}\right) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} r_{\ell}^{(4,0)} \bar{a}_{Q}^{\ell} \\
& \text { determined on general } \\
\text { grounds from QCD } & \text { contribution of the GC } \\
& \text { singularily bo the } \\
\text { perturbative series }
\end{array}
$$

$$
r_{\ell}^{(4,0)}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ell+4 \hat{b}_{1}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\ell+4 \hat{b}_{1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+4 \hat{b}_{1}\right)}
$$

coefficients that diverge factorially are known

Infrared-subtracted scheme for the GC condensate ("short distance scheme")
Benitez-Rathgeb, DB, A. Hoang, M. Jamin, 2202. I 0957

$$
\left\langle\bar{G}^{2}\right\rangle^{(n)} \equiv\left\langle G^{2}\right\rangle\left(R^{2}\right)-R^{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} N_{g} r_{\ell}^{(4,0)} \bar{a}_{R}^{\ell}
$$

Its more convenient to work with scale invariant GC

$$
\left\langle\bar{G}^{2}\right\rangle^{(n)} \equiv\left\langle G^{2}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{RF}}-R^{4} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} N_{g} r_{\ell}^{(4,0)} \bar{a}_{R}^{\ell}+N_{g} \bar{c}_{0}\left(R^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d \log R^{2}}\left\langle G^{2}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{RF}}=0 \quad \text { scale invariant }
$$

Borel sum unchanged, for any value of the norm. Minimal scheme.

## reconciling FOPT and CIPT

The renormalon-free scheme for the gluon condensate is able to reconcile FO and CIPT results
Benitez-Rathgeb, DB, A. Hoang, M. Jamin, 2207.0 I I I6


We can now consistently average the two results to obtain

$$
\alpha_{s}\left(m_{\tau}\right)=0.3120 \pm 0.0082
$$

new vector isovector spectral function
Combination of $2 \pi+4 \pi$ channels
Good $\chi^{2}$ both locally and globally, no $\chi^{2}$ inflation needed



DB, Golterman, Maltman, Peris, Rodrigues and Schaaf, arXiv:20I2.I 0440

## new vector isovector spectral function

7 residual channels extracted from $e^{+} e^{-}$data + BaBar data for $\tau \rightarrow K K_{S} \nu_{\tau}$
Dramatic improvement in errors for higher multiplicity modes (near end point)

## No Monte Carlo input

Original data sets from: BABAR, CMD-3 and SND (results from 16 papers)



## new vector isovector spectral function

Combined $2 \pi+4 \pi$ (ALEPH and OPAL) + residual channels from data $99.95 \%$ of the Branching Fraction covered
new vector-isovector spectral function


- Total
- $2 \pi+4 \pi$
- Residual


## stability of fit parameters






