

Unpolarised TMDs at N³LL from a global fit of Drell-Yan and SIDIS data

giuseppe bozzi


University and INFN, Cagliari

with Alessandro Bacchetta, Valerio Bertone, Chiara Bissolotti,
Matteo Cerutti, Fulvio Piacenza, Marco Radici and Andrea Signori

arXiv: 2206.07598 [hep-ph]



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare
Sezione di Cagliari



Quick facts

Perturbative accuracy: $N^3LL^{(-)}$

203 I SIDIS + (high/low)-energy DY data




(SIDIS) normalisation factors

21 free parameters


$$\chi^2/N_{data} = 1.06$$

TMD factorisation for DY



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_T dy dQ} \propto x_A x_B H^{DY}(Q, \mu) \sum_q c_q(Q^2) \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp A} d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp B} [F^{\bar{q}}(x_A, \mathbf{k}_{\perp A}^2; \mu, \zeta_A) F^q(x_B, \mathbf{k}_{\perp B}^2; \mu, \zeta_B)] \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp A} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp B} - \mathbf{q}_T)$$

TMD factorisation for DY




$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_T dy dQ} \propto x_A x_B H^{DY}(Q, \mu) \sum_q c_q(Q^2) \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp A} d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp B} [F^{\bar{q}}(x_A, \mathbf{k}_{\perp A}^2; \mu, \zeta_A) \hat{F}^{\bar{q}}(x_A, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta_A)] [F^q(x_B, \mathbf{k}_{\perp B}^2; \mu, \zeta_B) \hat{F}^q(x_B, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta_B)] \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp A} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp B} - \mathbf{q}_T)$$

$$= x_A x_B H^{DY}(Q, \mu) \sum_q c_q(Q^2) \int \frac{db_T}{2\pi} b_T J_0(b_T q_T) [F^{\bar{q}}(x_A, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta_A) \hat{F}^{\bar{q}}(x_A, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta_A)] [F^q(x_B, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta_B) \hat{F}^q(x_B, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta_B)]$$

TMD factorisation for SIDIS

quark TMD FF

quark TMD PDF




$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dz dq_T dQ} \propto x H^{SIDIS}(Q, \mu) \sum_q e_q(Q^2) \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{P}_\perp}{z^2} [F^q(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2; \mu, \zeta_A)] [D^{q \rightarrow h}(z, \mathbf{P}_\perp^2; \mu, \zeta_B)] \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp / z + \mathbf{q}_T)$$

TMD factorisation for SIDIS

quark TMD FF

quark TMD PDF



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dx dz dq_T dQ} &\propto x H^{SIDIS}(Q, \mu) \sum_q e_q(Q^2) \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{P}_\perp}{z^2} [F^q(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp^2; \mu, \zeta_A)] [D^{q \rightarrow h}(z, \mathbf{P}_\perp^2; \mu, \zeta_B)] \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{P}_\perp/z + \mathbf{q}_T) \\ &= x H^{SIDIS}(Q, \mu) \sum_q e_q(Q^2) \int \frac{db_T}{2\pi} b_T J_0(b_T q_T) [\hat{F}^{\bar{q}}(x, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta_A)] [\hat{D}^q(z, b_T^2; \mu, \zeta_B)] \end{aligned}$$

TMD structure

$$\begin{aligned} F_{f/P}(x, \mathbf{b}_T; \mu, \zeta) &= \sum_j C_{f/j}(x, b_*; \mu_b, \zeta_F) \otimes f_{j/P}(x, \mu_b) && : A \\ &\times \exp \left\{ K(b_*; \mu_b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b} + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_F - \gamma_K \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu'} \right] \right\} && : B \\ &\times \exp \left\{ g_{j/P}(x, b_T) + g_K(b_T) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}} \right\} && : C \end{aligned}$$

TMD structure

$$F_{f/P}(x, \mathbf{b}_T; \mu, \zeta) = \sum_j C_{f/j}(x, b_*; \mu_b, \zeta_F) \otimes f_{j/P}(x, \mu_b) : A$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ K(b_*; \mu_b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b} + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_F - \gamma_K \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu'} \right] \right\} : B$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ g_{j/P}(x, b_T) + g_K(b_T) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}} \right\} : C$$

- matching to collinear PDF at $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$
- **perturbative**

TMD structure

$$F_{f/P}(x, \mathbf{b}_T; \mu, \zeta) = \sum_j C_{f/j}(x, b_*; \mu_b, \zeta_F) \otimes f_{j/P}(x, \mu_b) : A$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ K(b_*; \mu_b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b} + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_F - \gamma_K \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu'} \right] \right\} : B$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ g_{j/P}(x, b_T) + g_K(b_T) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}} \right\} : C$$

- matching to collinear PDF at $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$
- **perturbative**

- CS and RGE evolution to large b_T
- **perturbative**

TMD structure

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{f/P}(x, \mathbf{b}_T; \mu, \zeta) &= \sum_j C_{f/j}(x, b_*; \mu_b, \zeta_F) \otimes f_{j/P}(x, \mu_b) & : A \\
 &\times \exp \left\{ K(b_*; \mu_b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b} + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_F - \gamma_K \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu'} \right] \right\} & : B \\
 &\times \exp \left\{ g_{j/P}(x, b_T) + g_K(b_T) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}} \right\} & : C
 \end{aligned}$$

- matching to collinear PDF at $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$
- **perturbative**

Accuracy	H and C	K and γ_F	γ_K	PDF and α_s evolution
LL	0	-	1	-
NLL	0	1	2	LO
NLL'	1	1	2	NLO
NNLL	1	2	3	NLO
NNLL'	2	2	3	NNLO
N^3LL	2	3	4	NNLO

- CS and RGE evolution to large b_T
- **perturbative**

TMD structure

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{f/P}(x, \mathbf{b}_T; \mu, \zeta) &= \sum_j C_{f/j}(x, b_*; \mu_b, \zeta_F) \otimes f_{j/P}(x, \mu_b) & : A \\
 &\times \exp \left\{ K(b_*; \mu_b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b} + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_F - \gamma_K \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu'} \right] \right\} & : B \\
 &\times \exp \left\{ g_{j/P}(x, b_T) + g_K(b_T) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}} \right\} & : C
 \end{aligned}$$

- matching to collinear PDF at $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$
- **perturbative**

Accuracy	H and C	K and γ_F	γ_K	PDF and α_s evolution
LL	0	-	1	-
NLL	0	1	2	LO
NLL'	1	1	2	NLO
NNLL	1	2	3	NLO
NNLL'	2	2	3	NNLO
N^3LL	2	3	4	NNLO

- CS and RGE evolution to large b_T
- **perturbative**

$N^3LL^- = N^3LL$ with NLO FF

TMD structure

$$F_{f/P}(x, \mathbf{b}_T; \mu, \zeta) = \sum_j C_{f/j}(x, b_*; \mu_b, \zeta_F) \otimes f_{j/P}(x, \mu_b) : A$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ K(b_*; \mu_b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b} + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_F - \gamma_K \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu'} \right] \right\} : B$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ g_{j/P}(x, b_T) + g_K(b_T) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}} \right\} : C$$

- matching to collinear PDF at $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$
- **perturbative**

$$(\mu_b = 2e^{-\gamma_E}/b_*)$$

- CS and RGE evolution to large b_T
- **perturbative**

- b^* prescription to avoid Landau pole

$N^3 LL^- = N^3 LL$ with NLO FF

TMD structure

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{f/P}(x, \mathbf{b}_T; \mu, \zeta) &= \sum_j C_{f/j}(x, b_*; \mu_b, \zeta_F) \otimes f_{j/P}(x, \mu_b) : A \\
 &\times \exp \left\{ K(b_*; \mu_b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b} + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_F - \gamma_K \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu'} \right] \right\} : B \\
 &\times \exp \left\{ g_{j/P}(x, b_T) + g_K(b_T) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}} \right\} : C
 \end{aligned}$$


- matching to collinear PDF at $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$
- **perturbative**

$$(\mu_b = 2e^{-\gamma_E}/b_*)$$

$$b_*(b) = b_{\max} \left(\frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{b^4}{b_{\max}^4}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{b^4}{b_{\min}^4}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$b_{\max} = 2e^{-\gamma_E}$$

$$b_{\min} = 2e^{-\gamma_E}/Q$$



$N^3LL^- = N^3LL$ with NLO FF

- CS and RGE evolution to large b_T
- **perturbative**

- b^* prescription to avoid Landau pole

TMD structure

$$F_{f/P}(x, \mathbf{b}_T; \mu, \zeta) = \sum_j C_{f/j}(x, b_*; \mu_b, \zeta_F) \otimes f_{j/P}(x, \mu_b) : A$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ K(b_*; \mu_b) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu_b} + \int_{\mu_b}^{\mu} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \left[\gamma_F - \gamma_K \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\mu'} \right] \right\} : B$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ g_{j/P}(x, b_T) + g_K(b_T) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\zeta_F}}{\sqrt{\zeta_{F,0}}} \right\} f_{NP} : C$$


- matching to collinear PDF at $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$
- **perturbative**

$$(\mu_b = 2e^{-\gamma_E}/b_*)$$

$$b_*(b) = b_{\max} \left(\frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{b^4}{b_{\max}^4}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{b^4}{b_{\min}^4}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$b_{\max} = 2e^{-\gamma_E}$$

$$b_{\min} = 2e^{-\gamma_E}/Q$$



$N^3 LL^- = N^3 LL$ with NLO FF

- CS and RGE evolution to large b_T
- **perturbative**

- b^* prescription to avoid Landau pole
- f_{NP} “parametrises” the **non-perturbative** transverse modes
- **fit** f_{NP} to data

Non-perturbative: f_{NP}

$$F(x, b; \mu, \zeta) = \left[\frac{F(x, b; \mu, \zeta)}{F(x, b_*(b); \mu, \zeta)} \right] F(x, b_*(b); \mu, \zeta)$$

Non-perturbative: f_{NP}

$$F(x, b; \mu, \zeta) = f_{NP} \left[\frac{F(x, b; \mu, \zeta)}{F(x, b_*(b); \mu, \zeta)} \right] F(x, b_*(b); \mu, \zeta)$$

- depends on choice of b^* and collinear PDFs
- requires definition of a functional form

Non-perturbative: f_{NP}

$$F(x, b; \mu, \zeta) = \left[\frac{F(x, b; \mu, \zeta)}{F(x, b_*(b); \mu, \zeta)} \right] f_{\text{NP}} F(x, b_*(b); \mu, \zeta)$$

- depends on choice of b^* and collinear PDFs
- requires definition of a functional form

Our functional form


$$f_{1\text{NP}}(x, b_T^2) \propto \text{F.T. of} \left(e^{-\frac{k_T^2}{g^1}} + \lambda^2 k_T^2 e^{-\frac{k_T^2}{g^{1B}}} + \lambda_2^2 e^{-\frac{k_T^2}{g^{1C}}} \right)$$

$$g_{\{1,1B,1C\}}(x) = N_{\{1,1B,1C\}} \frac{x^{\sigma_{\{1,2,3\}}} (1-x)^{\alpha_{\{1,2,3\}}^2}}{\hat{x}^{\sigma_{\{1,2,3\}}} (1-\hat{x})^{\alpha_{\{1,2,3\}}^2}}$$

- similar form for TMD FF
- 21 free parameters

SIDIS normalisation


DY beyond NLL




SIDIS normalisation

SIDIS beyond NLL


HERMES



DY beyond NLL




COMPASS multiplicities




SIDIS normalisation

SIDIS beyond NLL

HERMES




DY beyond NLL



COMPASS multiplicities

almost constant factor!




normalisation factor for SIDIS

- computed a priori, before the fit
- independent on the fitting parameters
- dependent on collinear PDFs

TMD global fits

	Accuracy	HERMES	COMPASS	DY fixed target	DY collider	N of points	χ^2/N_{points}
Pavia 2017 arXiv:1703.10157	NLL	✓	✓	✓	✓	8059	1.55
SV 2019 arXiv:1912.06532	N^3LL^-	✓	✓	✓	✓	1039	1.06
MAP22 arXiv:2206.07598	N^3LL^-	✓	✓	✓	✓	2031	1.06

Datasets





Cuts on kinematics

- $\langle Q \rangle > 1.3 \text{ GeV}$
- $0.2 < \langle z \rangle < 0.7$
- $q_T/Q \leq 0.2$ (Drell-Yan)
- $P_{hT}|_{max} = \min[\min[0.2Q, 0.5zQ] + 0.3 \text{ GeV}, zQ]$ (SIDIS)

Fit quality: SIDIS

COMPASS

HERMES




Good agreement for almost all bins

$$\chi^2/N_{data} = 0.87 \text{ (SIDIS total)}$$

Fit quality: Drell-Yan

E288




$$\chi^2/N_{data} = 1.24$$


(DY fixed-target)

Fit quality: Drell-Yan

E288




$\chi^2/N_{data} = 1.24$
(DY fixed-target)




Fit quality: Drell-Yan

E288




$\chi^2/N_{data} = 1.24$
(DY fixed-target)

CMS



$\chi^2/N_{data} = 0.55$
(DY CMS)


CDF



$\chi^2/N_{data} = 0.93$
(DY Tevatron)


Fit quality: Drell-Yan

E288




$\chi^2/N_{data} = 1.24$
(DY fixed-target)

CMS




$\chi^2/N_{data} = 0.55$
(DY CMS)

CDF



$\chi^2/N_{data} = 0.93$
(DY Tevatron)

ATLAS



$\chi^2/N_{data} = 5.05$
(DY ATLAS)

Fitting parameters

Parameter	Average over replicas
g_2 [GeV]	0.248 ± 0.008
N_1 [GeV 2]	0.316 ± 0.025
α_1	1.29 ± 0.19
σ_1	0.68 ± 0.13
λ [GeV $^{-1}$]	1.82 ± 0.29
N_3 [GeV 2]	0.0055 ± 0.0006
β_1	10.23 ± 0.29
δ_1	0.0094 ± 0.0012
γ_1	1.406 ± 0.084
λ_F [GeV $^{-2}$]	0.078 ± 0.011
N_{3B} [GeV 2]	0.2167 ± 0.0055
N_{1B} [GeV 2]	0.134 ± 0.017
N_{1C} [GeV 2]	0.0130 ± 0.0069
λ_2 [GeV $^{-1}$]	0.0215 ± 0.0058
α_2	4.27 ± 0.31
α_3	4.27 ± 0.13
σ_2	0.455 ± 0.050
σ_3	12.71 ± 0.21
β_2	4.17 ± 0.13
δ_2	0.167 ± 0.006
γ_2	0.0007 ± 0.0110

Fitting parameters

Parameter	Average over replicas
g_2 [GeV]	0.248 ± 0.008
N_1 [GeV 2]	0.316 ± 0.025
α_1	1.29 ± 0.19
σ_1	0.68 ± 0.13
λ [GeV $^{-1}$]	1.82 ± 0.29
N_3 [GeV 2]	0.0055 ± 0.0006
β_1	10.23 ± 0.29
δ_1	0.0094 ± 0.0012
γ_1	1.406 ± 0.084
λ_F [GeV $^{-2}$]	0.078 ± 0.011
N_{3B} [GeV 2]	0.2167 ± 0.0055
N_{1B} [GeV 2]	0.134 ± 0.017
N_{1C} [GeV 2]	0.0130 ± 0.0069
λ_2 [GeV $^{-1}$]	0.0215 ± 0.0058
α_2	4.27 ± 0.31
α_3	4.27 ± 0.13
σ_2	0.455 ± 0.050
σ_3	12.71 ± 0.21
β_2	4.17 ± 0.13
δ_2	0.167 ± 0.006
γ_2	0.0007 ± 0.0110

- $\lambda \sim 2$: weighted Gaussian important

Fitting parameters

Parameter	Average over replicas
g_2 [GeV]	0.248 ± 0.008
N_1 [GeV 2]	0.316 ± 0.025
α_1	1.29 ± 0.19
σ_1	0.68 ± 0.13
λ [GeV $^{-1}$]	1.82 ± 0.29
N_3 [GeV 2]	0.0055 ± 0.0006
β_1	10.23 ± 0.29
δ_1	0.0094 ± 0.0012
γ_1	1.406 ± 0.084
λ_F [GeV $^{-2}$]	0.078 ± 0.011
N_{3B} [GeV 2]	0.2167 ± 0.0055
N_{1B} [GeV 2]	0.134 ± 0.017
N_{1C} [GeV 2]	0.0130 ± 0.0069
λ_2 [GeV $^{-1}$]	0.0215 ± 0.0058
α_2	4.27 ± 0.31
α_3	4.27 ± 0.13
σ_2	0.455 ± 0.050
σ_3	12.71 ± 0.21
β_2	4.17 ± 0.13
δ_2	0.167 ± 0.006
γ_2	0.0007 ± 0.0110

- $\lambda \sim 2$: weighted Gaussian important
- $\lambda_2 \neq 0$: third Gaussian non-negligible


Fitting parameters

Parameter	Average over replicas
g_2 [GeV]	0.248 ± 0.008
N_1 [GeV 2]	0.316 ± 0.025
α_1	1.29 ± 0.19
σ_1	0.68 ± 0.13
λ [GeV $^{-1}$]	1.82 ± 0.29
N_3 [GeV 2]	0.0055 ± 0.0006
β_1	10.23 ± 0.29
δ_1	0.0094 ± 0.0012
γ_1	1.406 ± 0.084
λ_F [GeV $^{-2}$]	0.078 ± 0.011
N_{3B} [GeV 2]	0.2167 ± 0.0055
N_{1B} [GeV 2]	0.134 ± 0.017
N_{1C} [GeV 2]	0.0130 ± 0.0069
λ_2 [GeV $^{-1}$]	0.0215 ± 0.0058
α_2	4.27 ± 0.31
α_3	4.27 ± 0.13
σ_2	0.455 ± 0.050
σ_3	12.71 ± 0.21
β_2	4.17 ± 0.13
δ_2	0.167 ± 0.006
γ_2	0.0007 ± 0.0110

- $\lambda \sim 2$: weighted Gaussian important
- $\lambda_2 \neq 0$: third Gaussian non-negligible
- g_2 very small standard deviation





Fitting parameters

Parameter	Average over replicas
g_2 [GeV]	0.248 ± 0.008
N_1 [GeV 2]	0.316 ± 0.025
α_1	1.29 ± 0.19
σ_1	0.68 ± 0.13
λ [GeV $^{-1}$]	1.82 ± 0.29
N_3 [GeV 2]	0.0055 ± 0.0006
β_1	10.23 ± 0.29
δ_1	0.0094 ± 0.0012
γ_1	1.406 ± 0.084
λ_F [GeV $^{-2}$]	0.078 ± 0.011
N_{3B} [GeV 2]	0.2167 ± 0.0055
N_{1B} [GeV 2]	0.134 ± 0.017
N_{1C} [GeV 2]	0.0130 ± 0.0069
λ_2 [GeV $^{-1}$]	0.0215 ± 0.0058
α_2	4.27 ± 0.31
α_3	4.27 ± 0.13
σ_2	0.455 ± 0.050
σ_3	12.71 ± 0.21
β_2	4.17 ± 0.13
δ_2	0.167 ± 0.006
γ_2	0.0007 ± 0.0110





- $\lambda \sim 2$: weighted Gaussian important
- $\lambda_2 \neq 0$: third Gaussian non-negligible
- g_2 very small standard deviation
- correlation matrix nearly diagonal



TMD PDFs and FFs



TMD PDFs and FFs



TMD PDFs and FFs



The Nanga Parbat framework



Nanga Parbat: a TMD fitting framework

Nanga Parbat is a fitting framework aimed at the determination of the non-perturbative component of TMD distributions.

Download

You can obtain NangaParbat directly from the github repository:

<https://github.com/MapCollaboration/NangaParbat>

For the last development branch you can clone the master code:

```
git clone git@github.com:MapCollaboration/NangaParbat.git
```

Conclusions

- Extraction of **TMD PDFs and FFs** from DY and SIDIS data at **N³LL(-)**
- 484 DY (Fermilab, LHC, RHIC) + 1547 SIDIS (Compass, Hermes): **2031 data points**
- **Normalisation factors** used for SIDIS data
- Very good description of entire dataset ($\chi^2 = 1.06$) **except for ATLAS data**
- **Code and TMD grids** available at the NangaParbat website
- **Plans for the future:**
 - improve perturbative accuracy
 - matching with fixed order
 - include theoretical uncertainties
 - flavour dependence

Backup

Normalisation of SIDIS multiplicities

Introduction of a normalisation prefactor

$$\text{PREFACTOR}(x, z, Q) = \frac{\frac{d\sigma^h}{dxdQ^2dz} \Big|_{\text{nonmix.}}}{\int W d^2q_T}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma^h}{dxdQ^2dz} \Big|_{O(\alpha_S)} = \sigma_0 \sum_{f,f'} \frac{e_f^2}{z^2} (\delta_{f'f} + \delta_{f'g}) \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \left\{ [D_1^{h/f'} \otimes C_1^{f'f} \otimes f_1^{f/N}](x, z, Q) \right\} \Big|_{\text{nonmix.}}$$

$$\int W \Big|_{O(\alpha_S)} = \sigma_0 \sum_{f,f'} \frac{e_f^2}{z^2} (\delta_{f'f} + \delta_{f'g}) \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} [D_1^{h/f'} \otimes C_{\text{TMD}}^{f'f} \otimes f_1^{f/N}](x, z, Q)$$

Independent of the fitting parameters!!

Non-mixed terms in collinear SIDIS cross section

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^h}{dx dQ^2 dz} \Big|_{O(\alpha_s^1)} &= \sigma_0 \sum_{ff'} \frac{e_f^2}{z^2} (\delta_{f'f} + \delta_{f'g}) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left\{ \left[D_1^{h/f'} \otimes C_1^{f'f} \otimes f_1^{f/N} \right] (x, z, Q) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1-y}{1+(1-y)^2} \left[D_1^{h/f'} \otimes C_L^{f'f} \otimes f_1^{f/N} \right] (x, z, Q) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^{qq} &= \frac{C_F}{2} \left\{ -8\delta(1-x)\delta(1-z) \right. \\ &\quad + \delta(1-x) \left[P_{qq}(z) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2} + L_1(z) + L_2(z) + (1-z) \right] \\ &\quad + \delta(1-z) \left[P_{qq}(x) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu_F^2} + L_1(x) - L_2(x) + (1-x) \right] \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{1}{(1-x)_+} \frac{1}{(1-z)_+} \frac{1+z}{(1-x)_+} - \frac{1+x}{(1-z)_+} + 2(1+xz) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Experimental uncertainties

$$m_i \pm \sigma_{i,\text{stat}} \pm \sigma_{i,\text{unc}} \pm \sigma_{i,\text{corr}}^{(1)} \pm \cdots \pm \sigma_{i,\text{corr}}^{(k)}$$

uncorrelated

correlated

additive

multiplicative

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (m_i - t_i) V_{ij}^{-1} (m_j - t_j)$$

$$\sigma_{i,\text{corr}}^{(l)} \equiv \delta_{i,\text{corr}}^{(l)} m_i$$

covariance matrix

$$V_{ij} = s_i^2 \delta_{ij} + \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k_a} \delta_{i,\text{add}}^{(l)} \delta_{j,\text{add}}^{(l)} + \sum_{l=1}^{k_m} \delta_{i,\text{mult}}^{(l)} \delta_{j,\text{mult}}^{(l)} \right) m_i m_j$$

χ^2 chisquare

systematic shift

$$d_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \lambda_\alpha \sigma_{i,\text{corr}}^{(\alpha)}$$

shift



$$\frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial \lambda_\alpha} = 0$$

nuisance parameters


$$\bar{t}_i = t_i + d_i \quad \text{shifted prediction}$$

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{m_i - \bar{t}_i}{s_i} \right)^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \lambda_\alpha^2$$

recover the form of
the uncorrelated definition

penalty term



Collins-Soper kernel



Different SIDIS cuts

$$P_{hT}|_{max} = \min[\min[0.2Q, 0.5zQ] + 0.3 \text{ GeV}, zQ]$$

- (a) $c_1 = 0.4, c_2 = 0.4, c_3 = 0$
- (b) $c_1 = 0.15, c_2 = 0.4, c_3 = 0.2$
- (c) $c_1 = 0.2, c_2 = 0.5, c_3 = 0.3$ (baseline)
- (d) $c_1 = 0.2, c_2 = 0.6, c_3 = 0.4$
- (e) $c_1 = 0.2, c_2 = 0.7, c_3 = 0.5$



Different logarithmic orders

	N ³ LL-		NNLL		NLL	
Data set	N_{dat}	$\langle \chi^2 \rangle \pm \delta \langle \chi^2 \rangle$	N_{dat}	$\langle \chi^2 \rangle \pm \delta \langle \chi^2 \rangle$	N_{dat}	$\langle \chi^2 \rangle \pm \delta \langle \chi^2 \rangle$
ATLAS	72	5.01 ± 0.26	/	/	/	/
PHENIX 200	2	3.26 ± 0.31	2	0.81 ± 0.11	/	/
STAR 510	7	1.16 ± 0.04	7	0.99 ± 0.03	/	/
Other sets	170	0.83 ± 0.01	170	2.37 ± 0.11	/	/
DY collider	251	2.06 ± 0.07	179	2.3 ± 0.1	/	/
E772	53	2.48 ± 0.12	53	2.05 ± 0.22	/	/
Other sets	180	0.87 ± 0.04	180	0.71 ± 0.04	180	0.81 ± 0.04
DY fixed-target	233	1.24 ± 0.04	233	1.01 ± 0.05	180	0.81 ± 0.04
HERMES	344	0.71 ± 0.04	344	1.1 ± 0.06	344	0.51 ± 0.02
COMPASS	1203	0.95 ± 0.02	1203	0.6 ± 0.06	1203	0.41 ± 0.01
SIDIS	1547	0.89 ± 0.02	1547	0.71 ± 0.05	1547	0.43 ± 0.01
Total	2031	1.08 ± 0.01	1959	0.89 ± 0.01	1727	0.47 ± 0.01