Searching for jet quenching effect using high multiplicity inclusive and semi-inclusive jets in pp collisions with ALICE

Kotliarov Artem
for the ALICE Collaboration
Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences

ALICE

Signatures of QGP-like formation in small-collision systems

ALICE, Nature Physics 13 (2017) 535–539





CMS, JHEP 09 (2010) 091

ATLAS, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) 73



How do QGP signatures evolve with the system size?

1. Enhancement of strange hadron production in high-multiplicity pp collisions
2. Pronounced ridge structures in high-multiplicity pp collisions
3. Non-zero v_2 coefficient for low and high-p_T particles in p-Pb collisions

Do we observe signs of jet quenching in small systems?

Measurement of nuclear modification factor in p-Pb collisions

Yield suppression of high-p_T inclusive jets/hadrons relative to minimum bias pp

$$R_{AA} = \frac{d^2 N_{AA}/dy dp_T}{\langle T_{AA} \rangle \, d^2 \sigma_{pp}^{INEL}/dy dp_T}$$

- Limited precision of $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ for centrality biased events
- Undefined Glauber scaling for high-multiplicity pp collisions

No conclusive results on jet quenching in small systems

→ more sensitive approaches are needed

ALICE, PLB 827 (2022) 136943



Semi-inclusive measurements of hadron-jet acoplanarity

$$\left.\frac{1}{N_{\rm trig}^{\rm AA}}\frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm jet}^{\rm AA}}{{\rm d}p_{\rm T,\,jet}^{\rm ch}\,{\rm d}|\Delta\varphi|}\right|_{p_{\rm T,\,trig}\in\,\rm TT} = \left.\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\rm pp\to h\,+\,X}}\frac{{\rm d}^2\sigma^{\rm pp\to h\,+\,jet\,+\,X}}{{\rm d}p_{\rm T,\,jet}^{\rm ch}\,{\rm d}|\Delta\varphi|}\right)\right|_{p_{\rm T,\,trig}\in\,\rm TT} \times \frac{\langle T_{\rm AA}\rangle}{\langle T_{\rm AA}\rangle}$$

Cross section for trigger hadron production

Differential cross section for coincidence production of trigger hadron and recoil jet



Propagation of high-p_T parton through hot and dense medium

- Applicable in pp collisions
- Equality in case of no nuclear effects
- **Self-normalized observable, reference spectrum has no dependence on $\langle T_{AA}\rangle$**

Hadron-jet acoplanarity measurements in Pb-Pb collisions → talk by Yongzhen Hou on 8 July at 3:05 pm, "Heavy Ions" session

Event activity selection in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV

Online data triggers based on V0 detectors:

- Minimum-bias (MB) trigger $\rightarrow L_{\text{Int}} \approx 32\ \text{nb}^{-1}$
- High-multiplicity (HM) trigger $\rightarrow L_{\text{Int}} \approx 10^4\ \text{nb}^{-1}$

Offline event activity (EA) selection:

V0M = V0A + V0C → sum of signals

Characterization of EA in terms of V0M/⟨V0M⟩

⟨V0M⟩ - mean of MB distribution





Inclusive jet yield in different multiplicity classes

NEW







ALICE, Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 6, 514

- Jet p_T corrected for underlying events and instrumental effects
- **Event activity bias has a mild effect on the shape** for $p_{T,\,jet} > 20$ GeV/c
- Jet yield increases with event activity bias

Jet production ratio using different jet R

NEW

Ratio of jet p_T spectra



Ratio of p_T differential cross sections



- **Small R** → weak dependence on event activity bias
- **Large R** → hint of jet production ordering

- **No dependence** on $\sqrt{s}$ observed
- p-Pb ratio compatible with pp one

Self-normalized jet production vs self-normalized multiplicity



ALICE, Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 6, 514

- **Non-linear rising with multiplicity** observed for jet production in midrapidity, similar trend as for J/ψ [1]
- Electrons from W decay **follow linear trend**, talk by Mingrui Zhao on 7 July at 5.30 pm, "Heavy Ions" session
- PYTHIA 8 **overestimates** data at high particle multiplicities

[1] ALICE, Phys. Lett. B 810 (2020)

Semi-inclusive p_T distribution of recoil jets



- Jet p_T corrected for underlying event density

$$p_{T,jet}^{ch} = p_{T,jet}^{raw,ch} - \rho A_{jet}$$

- Negative and low p_T region

  → **contribution of combinatorial background jets**

- Yield of combinatorial jets **has no dependence on p_T of TT**

- Data-driven approach for removal of uncorrelated jet yield

$$\Delta_{recoil} = \frac{1}{N_{trig}} \frac{d^2 N_{jets}}{dp_{T,\,jet}^{ch} d|\Delta\varphi|}\bigg|_{TT\{20,30\}} - \frac{1}{N_{trig}} \frac{d^2 N_{jets}}{dp_{T,\,jet}^{ch} d|\Delta\varphi|}\bigg|_{TT\{6,7\}}$$

TT{x,y} → trigger-track with $p_T \in$ (x, y) GeV/c



Semi-inclusive azimuthal distribution of recoil jets



Construction of Δ_{recoil} observable as a function of TT-jet opening angle for a given $p_{\mathrm{T,\,jet}}$

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{recoil}} = \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\mathrm{jets}}}{\mathrm{d}p^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\mathrm{T,\,jet}}\, \mathrm{d}|\Delta\varphi|}\Bigg|_{\mathrm{TT}\{20,30\}} - \frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\mathrm{jets}}}{\mathrm{d}p^{\mathrm{ch}}_{\mathrm{T,\,jet}}\, \mathrm{d}|\Delta\varphi|}\Bigg|_{\mathrm{TT}\{6,7\}}$$

TT{x,y} → trigger-track with $p_T \in$ (x, y) GeV/c



Projection for specified jet p_T region



Measurements of hadron-jet acoplanarity with Δ_{recoil} ($\Delta\varphi$)

NEW

Fully corrected data:

- Substantial suppression of jets back-to-back w.r.t. TT in HM collisions
- Broadening of HM acoplanarity distribution with respect to MB
- Resembles jet quenching effects



Measurements of hadron-jet acoplanarity with Δ_{recoil} ($\Delta\varphi$)

NEW

Fully corrected data:

- Substantial suppression of jets back-to-back w.r.t. TT in HM collisions
- Broadening of HM acoplanarity distribution with respect to MB
- Resembles jet quenching effects

PYTHIA 8 Monash simulation:

- V0M = # of charged, final state particles within V0A & V0C acceptances
- Does not account for jet quenching effects
- **Exhibits qualitatively similar features as experimental data**

What can we learn from PYTHIA simulation about recoil jets in MB and HM events?



PYTHIA 8 simulation: recoil jet pseudorapidity distribution





* Grey boxes represent acceptances of V0 detectors

PYTHIA 8 simulation: recoil jet pseudorapidity distribution







- Most likely HM trigger is induced by high-p_T recoil jets

  ↔ **HM trigger imposes substantial bias on recoil jets**

- Lower enhancement in V0A is caused by asymmetric coverage of V0 detectors

* Grey boxes represent acceptances of V0 detectors

PYTHIA 8 simulation: recoil jet pseudorapidity distribution







- Most likely HM trigger is induced by high-p_T recoil jets

  ↔ **HM trigger imposes substantial bias on recoil jets**

- Lower enhancement in V0A is caused by asymmetric coverage of V0 detectors

  * Grey boxes represent acceptances of V0 detectors

PYTHIA 8 simulation: recoil jet pseudorapidity distribution







- Most likely HM trigger is induced by high-p_T recoil jets

  ↔ **HM trigger imposes substantial bias on recoil jets**

- Lower enhancement in V0A is caused by asymmetric coverage of V0 detectors

  * Grey boxes represent acceptances of V0 detectors

PYTHIA 8 simulation: recoil jet pseudorapidity distribution



ALI-SIMUL-347689



ALI-SIMUL-347693



ALI-SIMUL-347697



- Most likely HM trigger is induced by high-p_T recoil jets

  ↔ **HM trigger imposes substantial bias on recoil jets**

- Lower enhancement in V0A is caused by asymmetric coverage of V0 detectors

  * Grey boxes represent acceptances of V0 detectors

PYTHIA 8 simulation: recoil jet pseudorapidity distribution









- Most likely HM trigger is induced by high-p_T recoil jets

  ↔ **HM trigger imposes substantial bias on recoil jets**

- Lower enhancement in V0A is caused by asymmetric coverage of V0 detectors

  * Grey boxes represent acceptances of V0 detectors

PYTHIA 8 simulation: recoil jet pseudorapidity distribution









- Most likely HM trigger is induced by high-p_T recoil jets

  ↔ **HM trigger imposes substantial bias on recoil jets**

- Lower enhancement in V0A is caused by asymmetric coverage of V0 detectors

  * Grey boxes represent acceptances of V0 detectors

PYTHIA 8 simulation: number of high-p_T recoil jets vs event activity



PYTHIA 8 simulation: number of high-p_T recoil jets vs event activity





- Lower probability to observe 1 hard recoil jet in HM events

  → **result in suppression of acoplanarity**

PYTHIA 8 simulation: number of high-p_T recoil jets vs event activity





- Lower probability to observe 1 hard recoil jet in HM events
  → **results in suppression of acoplanarity**
- HM trigger → **bias toward multi-jet final state**

Summary

No jet quenching effects observed in high-multiplicity pp collisions. **Potentially, signal is too small**

Inclusive jet measurements

- Jet production **rises** with event activity
- Event activity bias has **weak impact on the spectrum slope for high-p_T jets**

Semi-inclusive jet measurements

- Broadening and suppression of back-to-back hadron-jet correlation in HM events relative to MB
- PYTHIA quantitatively reproduces the shape → **jet quenching signal is not genuine**
    - HM trigger enhances probability to measure high-p_T recoil jets in V0 acceptance
    - Bias towards multi-jet final state induced by HM trigger → **obscures possible jet quenching signal**
    - Multi-jet final state → **generic bias for HM measurements in small systems**

Thank you for your attention!