Searching for the Schwinger Production of Magnetic Monopoles in Pb-Pb Collisions at the LHC

> Igor Ostrovskiy (University of Alabama) on behalf of the MoEDAL collaboration

Magnetic Monopoles

• Pierre Curie was the first to suggest that magnetic charges could exist

Séances de la Société Française de Physique (Paris), p76 (1894)

• In 1931 Paul Dirac showed that if *just one* magnetic monopole existed, then all electric charge in the universe **would be quantized**

Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 133, 60 (1931)

• In 1974 t'Hooft and, independently, Polyakov showed that *any* Grand Unified Theory (GUT) that incorporates electro-magnetism **can contain magnetic monopoles**

Nucl Phys B **79**, 276 (1974), Письма в ЖЭТФ **20**, 430 (1974)

 Mass is a free parameter. While the GUT scale monopole (~10¹⁶ GeV) received the most interest earlier, several recent models point to possibility of monopoles with masses accessible at the LHC

EPJC 75, 67 (2015), Nucl Phys B 969 115468 (2021)

Magnetic Monopole's basic properties

$$e \cdot g_{D} = \frac{\hbar c}{2} n \rightarrow g_{D} = \frac{n}{2\alpha} e \Rightarrow$$

$$1g_{D} = 68.5 \cdot e$$

$$\cdot If fundamental charge is e/3, then g_{M} = 3g_{D}$$

$$\frac{g_{D}^{2}}{\hbar c} \sim 34$$

$$\cdot Perturbative field theory does not apply$$

$$W \sim 2 \frac{MeV}{G \cdot m}$$

$$\cdot IMMs in the galactic field and LHC monopoles will be relativistic$$

$$-\frac{dE}{dx} \sim \frac{Z}{A}g_{M}^{2} \cdot [\ln(\beta^{2}\gamma^{2}) + ...]$$

$$\cdot Fast monopoles are highly ionizing! \frac{dE}{dx} \sim g_{M}^{2} = 4700 \text{ MIP for } 1g_{D}$$

$$\cdot Ionization of g_{M} increases with \beta, as opposite to e$$

MoEDAL – dedicated search at the LHC



- "Monopole and other Exotics Detector At LHC" optimized to search fo magnetic monopoles and other highly ionizing particles with magnetic and/or electric charge (dyons, nuclearites, Q-balls,)
- 67 physicists from 24 institutions. Approved by CERN 2009, started data-taking Spring 2015
- Deployed at IP8 in the LHCb's VELO cavern. Uses nuclear track detectors (NTDs), trapping volumes (MMTs) and TimePix detectors
- World-leading limits on g > 2g_D monopole production in p-p collisions
 - <u>First search for dyons</u>, <u>First search using γγ fusion production model</u>
 - See talks by R. Soluk and J. Pinfold for more details and plans for MoEDAL extension in Run-3

MMT







- The binding energies of magnetic monopoles in nuclei with large magnetic dipole moments estimated to be hundreds of keV Nucl Phys B 255, 465 (1985)
- Close to 1 ton of Al MMTs deployed by MoEDAL
- After exposure, the MMTs are analyzed by a SQUID at ETH Zurich

Difficulties with collider searches



Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **35**, No. 23, 2030012 (2020)

1. Most recent models predict monopoles with internal structure – **composite monopoles**. But production of composite monopoles in elementary particle collisions is expected to be **suppressed*** by a form factor, $e^{-4/\alpha} \sim 10^{-250}$. Consequently, all collider searches to date focused on point-like MM

2. Mass limits calculated with Feynman-like diagrams do not account for **nonperturbative nature** of large monopolephoton coupling. Any perturbativelycalculated cross section is indicative and can only be used to facilitate comparisons between experiments

*"Caveat on the caveat": purely nonperturbative treatment, which is lacking, may potentially lead to a different conclusion

The Schwinger mechanism

 Spontaneous creation of electron– positron pairs in presence of an extremely strong electric field

• $E_s = \frac{m_c^2 c^3}{q_e \hbar} \approx 1.32 \times 10^{18} \, V/m$

- Due to the inherent instability of QED vacuum in presence of a strong electric field
 - Pair production originates from the quantum mechanical decay of an electromagnetic field; vacuum pairs tunnel into existence
- Rate is calculable non-perturbatively using semi-classical instanton techniques

On Gauge Invariance and Vacuum Polarization JULIAN SCHWINGER Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received December 22, 1950)

$$\Gamma=rac{(eE)^2}{4\pi^3c\hbar^2}\sum_{n=1}^\inftyrac{1}{n^2}\mathrm{e}^{-rac{\pi m^2c^3n}{eE\hbar}}$$





The Schwinger mechanism at the LHC

- By electromagnetic duality, a strong magnetic field would produce monopoles via the same mechanism
- Ultraperipheral Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC have produced the strongest peak magnetic fields in the known universe
 - B ~ 10¹⁶ T, as compared to ~ 10¹¹⁻¹² T on a magnetar's surface
- Apart from the nonperturbatively calculated cross section, no exponential suppression is expected due to the coherence of the field over the scale comparable to the monopole size
 - In fact, the strong coupling and finite size only enhances the production of Schwinger monopoles!

Strong magnetic fields can produce magnetic monopoles.



Nucl Phys B 194, 38 (1982)

MoEDAL search for Schwinger monopoles

- The 2018 LHC heavy-ion run
 - Relativistic, bare Pb nuclei, $\gamma = 2675$
 - CM energy of 5.02 TeV per collision
 - Ultra-peripheral collisions with B_{peak} ~ 10^{20} G and ω ~ 10^{26} s⁻¹ (inverse decay time)
- 880 kg of MoEDAL's MMTs exposed to integrated luminosity of 0.235 nb⁻¹
 - $\sim 2.10^9$ Pb-Pb collisions in total
 - ~6.10⁸ ultraperipheral



Two cross section approximations

- Two complementary calculations with uncorrelated uncertainties
- Free-particle approximation (FPA)
 - The spacetime dependence of the electromagnetic field of the heavy ions is treated exactly, but monopole self-interactions are neglected
 - Phys. Rev. D 104, 015033 (2021)
- Locally-constant field approximation (LCFA)
 - The spacetime dependence of the field is neglected but self-interactions are treated exactly
 - Phys. Rev. D 100, 015041 (2019)
- While neither is complete, both are expected to yield conservative lower limits
 - For FPA, the leading effects of self-interactions have been shown to enhance the cross section
 - Same for the LCFA and the leading effects of spacetime • dependence



107

101

10-5

Cross :

Kinematics

- Based on the FPA approach because at the LHC energies the momentum distribution is mainly due to the time dependence of the electromagnetic field
 - Phys. Rev. D 104, 015033 (2021)



MoEDAL Monte Carlo simulation



- Schwinger monopoles are generated in MC simulations using the FPA kinematic distribution
- The simulation code is developed in Gauss (LHCb's simulation framework) that uses Geant4 as the simulation engine
- MoEDAL added monopole physics, detailed experiment's geometry and material content
- Monopoles are propagated through the MoEDAL detectors, and the trapping efficiency is calculated
- Combined with the luminosity and calculable cross section, the expected rate of trapped monopoles can then be predicted and compared to the observed number of isolated magnetic charge trapped in MMTs after the Pb-Pb run

Results of the search

- No statistically significant signal was observed
- The existence of a monopole with $g > 0.5g_D$ in the MMTs was excluded at more than 3σ
- First reliable limits on mass: <75 GeV for 1 – 3 gD monopoles
 - Based on nonperturbative cross section calculation
 - No suppression for composite monopoles



lgor Ostrovskiy, UA

Results of the search

 Alternatively, can interpret the results as experimental limits on Schwinger production cross section (assuming FPA kinematics)





Summary

- The existence of magnetic monopoles is well motivated, but their mass and production mechanism are uncertain
- MoEDAL is a dedicated search for magnetic monopoles and other exotic particles at the LHC that established world-leading limits on production of monopoles and dyons in p-p collisions
- Enabled by recent theoretical advances, MoEDAL performed the first search for magnetic monopoles produced in Pb-Pb collisions via the Schwinger mechanism at the LHC
 - First limit on MM masses based on nonperturbative cross section
 - Applies to composite monopoles
- MoEDAL will continue taking data in Run 3, extending its reach to monopoles with larger mass and magnetic charge, as well as expanding the search to other long-lived and milli-charged particles

Bonus: Expected rate of trapped monopoles



Bonus: MoEDAL in the VELO cavern



Bonus: What's next for MoEDAL

- More work ahead!
 - LHC Run-3
 - Inclusion of the NTD data
 - Extension of MoEDAL detectors to search for long-lived and millicharged particles (MAPP and MALL)
 - Search for high-charge (> 5g_D) monopoles in the CMS beampipe
 - Expected improvement in theoretical calculations

Bonus: CMS Run-1 beampipe



Bonus: Complementarity

Insensitive to SM backgrounds

Designed & Optimized for HIP

Can directly detect & trap magnetic charge

Response calibrated by ` heavy ions Designed & optimized for SM relativistic MIPs & photons

Sophisticated triggers

Can infer magnetic charge from tracking and dE/dx

Response estimated from detailed Monte Carlo

Different systematics and mode of detection of MoEDAL compared to the ATLAS/CMS experiments \rightarrow important validation of and insights into the potential joint observation

MoEDAL

ATLAS/CMS

Bonus: Recent Results

- "Magnetic monopole search with the full MoEDAL trapping detector in 13 TeV pp collisions interpreted in photonfusion and Drell-Yan production" σ [fb]
 - Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 021802 (2019)
- For the first time at the LHC, monopoles were searched for via photon-fusion mechanism (in addition of Drell-Yan)
- Best cross section limits $q > 2 q_D$
- World leading mass limits (1.5–3.75 TeV) on magnetic charges $g > 2g_D$



Bonus: Recent Results



- "First search for dyons with the full MoEDAL trapping detector in 13 TeV pp collisions"
 - Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 071801 (2021)
 - Mass limits 0.79–1.91 TeV on dyons with up to 5g_D magnetic and 1e 200e electric charges

Bonus: State of the field and Run-3 projection









Composite



