# ψ(2S) production and nuclear modification factor in nucleus-nucleus collisions with ALICE

Biswarup Paul University and INFN Cagliari (Italy) On behalf of the ALICE Collaboration

**XLI International Conference on High Energy Physics** Bologna, Italy, 6<sup>th</sup> – 13<sup>th</sup> July 2022









# Motivation for $\psi(2S)$ measurement in Pb–Pb

- Sequential melting: Differences in the binding energies lead to a sequential melting of the quarkonium states with increasing temperature of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP)
   T. Matsui and H. Satz, PLB 178 (1986) 416

   A Rothkopf, Phys. Rept. 858 (2020) 1-117
- Quarkonium recombination: Increase of Cc̄ production cross section at the LHC energies → Enhanced quarkonium production via recombination at the phase boundary or during the QGP phase



P. Braun-Muzinger, J. Stachel, PLB 490 (2000) 196R. Thews et al, Phys. Rev. C 63 (2001) 054905

ψ(2S) to J/ψ ratio weakly depends on charm production cross section employed as input to the models in Pb–Pb collisions
 → important constraints on models

TAMU: X. Du and R. Rapp, NPA 943 (2015) 147 SHMc: A. Andronic et. al., Nature 561 no. 7723 (2018) 321



### $\psi(2S)$ measurements at the LHC energies



• Stronger  $\psi(2S)$  suppression observed at high- $p_{T}$  by ATLAS and CMS compared to J/ $\psi$  at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV

- For complete characterization of  $\psi(2S)$  production an extension to low- $p_{T}$  is needed where recombination mechanism is at play
- At low- $p_{T}$  only ALICE Run 1 results available, but large uncertainties prevent a firm conclusion  $\rightarrow$ Significantly higher statistics (by a factor of ~11) available in full Run 2 Pb–Pb data at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$  TeV! **ICHEP 2022 Biswarup Paul**

# A Large Ion Collider Experiment





For more ALICE quarkonium results, see talks from Raphaelle Marie Bailhache, 7<sup>th</sup> July at 18.30 Theraa M A Tork, 8<sup>th</sup> July at 18:35 Yanchun Ding, 9<sup>th</sup> July at 10:10 Maurice Louis Coquet, 9<sup>th</sup> July at 11.15 Himanshu Sharma, 9<sup>th</sup> July at 12:05 Central barrel: J/ $\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$  (|y| < 0.9)

Electrons reconstructed using ITS and TPC Particle identification: TPC dE/dx

Forward muon arm: J/ $\psi$ ,  $\psi(2S)$ ,  $\Upsilon(nS) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  (2.5 < y < 4)

Muons identified and tracked in the muon spectrometer

#### V0:

(V0A:  $2.8 < \eta < 5.1 & V0C: -3.7 < \eta < -1.7$ )

Trigger, background rejection and centrality measurements in A-A collisions

→ Inclusive quarkonium measurements are down to zero  $p_{T}$ 

#### Biswarup Paul

## $\psi(2S)$ reference cross section in pp collisions



- New measurement with 10 times more statistics than earlier publication allows for  $p_{\rm T}$  and y-differential studies of  $\psi(2S)$
- NRQCD+CGC+FONLL provides a good data description down to zero  $p_{\rm T}$
- $\psi(2S)$ -to-J/ $\psi$  ratio shows an increasing trend with  $p_T$  and an overall good agreement within uncertainties with theoretical models

```
NRQCD (Butenschon et al): PRL 106 (2011)
022003
NRQCD (Y-Q. Ma et al): PRL. 106 (2011)
042002
NRQCD+CGC (Y-Q. Ma et al): PRL 113 no. 19,
(2014) 192301
ICEM (V. Cheung et al): PRD 98 no. 11, (2018)
114029
FONLL (M, Cacciari et al): JHEP 10 (2012) 137
```

### $J/\psi$ in Pb–Pb collisions





- Rise of inclusive J/ $\psi R_{AA}$  at low  $p_T$ , stronger effect at midrapidity  $\rightarrow$  strong signature of recombination
- Models that include regeneration either at the freeze-out (SHMc) or during the medium evolution (TAMU) are both in agreement with data at low  $p_{\rm T}$

 $\rightarrow$  not possible to disentangle between the two different regeneration scenarios using J/ $\psi$  only

### Centrality dependence of $\psi(2S)$ production in Pb–Pb collisions





- Stronger suppression for  $\psi(2S)$  compared to  $J/\psi$
- Flat centrality dependence of  $\psi(2S) R_{AA}$  within uncertainties, consistent with  $R_{AA} \sim 0.3 0.4$

### Centrality dependence of $\psi(2S)$ production in Pb–Pb collisions





- Stronger suppression for  $\psi(2S)$  compared to  $J/\psi$
- Flat centrality dependence of  $\psi(2S) R_{AA}$  within uncertainties, consistent with  $R_{AA} \sim 0.3 0.4$
- TAMU model reproduces the results for both J/ $\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$
- SHMc describes J/ $\psi$  data but tends to underestimate the  $\psi(2S)$  result in central Pb–Pb collisions

### $p_{\rm T}$ dependence of $\psi(2S)$ production in Pb–Pb collisions





• Stronger suppression at high- $p_{\rm T}$  and increasing trend of  $R_{\rm AA}$  towards low- $p_{\rm T}$  for both charmonium states

### $\rightarrow$ hint of regeneration

• Good agreement between CMS and ALICE data in the common  $p_{\rm T}$  range, regardless of the different rapidity coverage

### $p_{\rm T}$ dependence of $\psi(2S)$ production in Pb–Pb collisions





• Stronger suppression at high- $p_{\rm T}$  and increasing trend of  $R_{\rm AA}$  towards low- $p_{\rm T}$  for both charmonium states

### $\rightarrow$ hint of regeneration

- Good agreement between CMS and ALICE data in the common  $p_{\rm T}$  range, regardless of the different rapidity coverage
- TAMU model reproduces the  $p_{\rm T}$  dependence for both J/ $\psi$  and  $\psi$ (2S)

#### **ICHEP 2022**

### Centrality dependence of $\psi(2S)$ -to-J/ $\psi$ ratio in Pb–Pb collisions





- Flat centrality dependence of  $\psi(2S)$ -to-J/ $\psi$  ratio in ALICE
- NA50 results show a slightly more pronounced centrality dependence
- Indication of larger  $\psi(2S)$ -to-J/ $\psi$  ratio in ALICE than in NA50 in central event
- The TAMU model reproduces the cross section ratios over centrality, while SHMc tends to underestimate the ALICE data in central Pb–Pb collisions

TAMU: X. Du and R. Rapp, NPA 943 (2015) 147 SHMc: A. Andronic et. al., Nature 561 no. 7723 (2018) 321

#### ALI-PREL-523330

#### Biswarup Paul



### $p_{\rm T}$ dependence of $\psi(2S)$ -to-J/ $\psi$ ratio





• The double ratio values indicate a significant suppression of the  $\psi(2S)$  relative to J/ $\psi$ , reaching a value of about 0.5 at high  $p_{\rm T}$ 

ALI-PREL-511153

#### Biswarup Paul

# Conclusions and outlook



pp collisions:

- →  $\psi(2S)$  cross section and  $\psi(2S)$ -to-J/ $\psi$  ratio have been measured at  $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$  TeV, with significantly improved precision compared to earlier publication
- → Theoretical models reproduce the  $\psi(2S)$  cross section within uncertainties

### Pb–Pb collisions:

- → The  $\psi(2S)$  is more suppressed than the J/ $\psi$
- → Comparison of J/ $\psi$  and  $\psi(2S) R_{AA}$  with transport model shows a fair agreement within uncertainties
- → Transport model, which includes recombination of charm quarks in the QGP phase, reproduces the  $\psi(2S)$ -to-J/ $\psi$  ratio better than SHMc model for central events

### Prospects for Run 3/4

- → Significant increase of statistical precision expected with  $L_{int} \sim 10 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ , thanks to continuous readout
- → The Muon Forward Tracker (MFT) will allow to separate the prompt charmonium from the contribution originating from beauty hadron decays at forward rapidity



### Signal extraction





#### **Biswarup Paul**

#### **ICHEP 2022**